arXiv:1609.08031v1 [math.AG] 26 Sep 2016

Smoothings and Rational Double Point Adjacencies for Cusp Singularities

Philip Engel* and Robert Friedman

September 27, 2016

Introduction

Let (X, x) be the germ of an isolated singularity. Some basic questions that one can ask about the deformation theory of (X, x) are: Is (X, x) smoothable? If so, how can we describe the smoothing components of (X, x)? What are the singularities adjacent to (X, x)? In particular, for a surface singularity, what are the rational double points adjacent to (X, x)? In case (X, x) is itself a rational double point, the answers to these questions are well-known. In this case, (X, x) is smoothable and there is a unique smoothing component, as it is a hypersurface singularity, and the adjacent rational double points correspond to subgraphs of the Dynkin diagram corresponding to (X, x).

The next level of complexity for a normal surface singularity is the case where (X, x) is a minimally elliptic singularity in Laufer's terminology, i.e. a Gorenstein elliptic singularity, and here the simplest case is when the fundamental cycle of (X, x) is reduced. In this case, (X, x) is either a simple elliptic, cusp, or triangle singularity. These three types admit a resolution whose exceptional fiber is a smooth elliptic curve, a cycle of smooth rational curves, or either cuspidal, two smooth rational curves meeting at a tacnode, or three smooth rational curves meeting at a point, respectively.

The deformation theory of simple elliptic and triangle singularities is by now well understood, by work of Pinkham and Looijenga [22], [23], [16], [17]. The crucial point here is that the singularities in question have a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. Thus questions about smoothings and adjacent singularities can be related to global questions about the existence of certain compact algebraic surfaces

*Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1502585.

with configurations of curves on them: (generalized) del Pezzo surfaces with a smooth section of the anticanonical divisor in the case of simple elliptic singularities, $K3$ surfaces with so-called $T_{p,q,r}$ configurations in the case of triangle singularities. For example, in case (X, x) is simple elliptic, let $(\widetilde{X}, E)$ be the minimal resolution of X and set $E^2 = -d$. Then we may realize the germ $(\widetilde{X}, E)$ on an elliptically ruled surface with zero section E and infinity section E' satisfying $(E')^2 = d$. We preserve the divisor E' on the nearby fibers of a negative weight deformation of (X, x) to get pairs (X_t, E'), where X_t is a normal projective surface such that $E' \in |-K_{X_t}|$.

It follows that nontrivial negative weight deformations of (X, x) correspond to generalized del Pezzo surfaces X_t, hence $d \leq 9$ whenever (X, x) is smoothable (the converse also holds). Furthermore, the adjacent configurations of rational double points to (X, x) are those that appear on such an X_t. These in turn can be described by the configurations of curves, all of whose components are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ and of self-intersection -2, which appear as the exceptional curves on a minimal resolution $Y_t \to X_t$. For d small, (X, x) is a complete intersection singularity ($d \leq 4$) or a Pfaffian singularity ($d = 5$). In this case, there is an associated root system R given by taking the vectors of square -2 in $(K_{Y_t})^{\perp}$. (By the usual algebraic geometry conventions, all root systems in this paper will be **negative definite**.) Then the possible Dynkin diagrams of configurations of rational double points on some X_t are given by root systems contained in R satisfying a mild extra condition coming from the period map, which can in turn be described via embeddings into the extended Dynkin diagram of R. For d large, however, there can be several smoothing components of (X, x) and $(K_{Y_t})^{\perp}$ need not be a root lattice. Nonetheless, the possible adjacent rational double point configurations can still be described lattice-theoretically.

For the case of cusp singularities, Looijenga gave a beautiful description of the possible adjacencies in his breakthrough paper [18], but only in the case of multiplicity at most 5. In this case, the cusp singularity is either a complete intersection or Pfaffian, hence automatically smoothable and there is a unique smoothing component. As in the case of simple elliptic singularities of multiplicity at most 5, there is again a diagram which determines the possible adjacent singularities, and it is the intersection graph for the root basis of a generalized root system of hyperbolic type.

As above, a key ingredient in Looijenga's analysis is the existence of a complete surface containing the cusp (X, x) on which one globalizes deformations. But one must leave the world of algebraic geometry to produce such a surface. The Inoue surface V_0 associated to a cusp (X, x) is a compact

complex surface of type VII_0 such that $D + D' \in |K_{V_0}|$, where an analytic neighborhood of $D' = \sum_{i=1}^{r'} D'_i$ is a minimal resolution of the cusp (X, x), and by definition an analytic neighborhood of $D = \sum_{j=1}^{r} D_j$ is a minimal resolution of the *dual cusp* $(\widehat{X}, \hat{x})$ to (X, x). (For notational reasons, it is more convenient to denote by D' the minimal resolution of the cusp we are interested in deforming and by D the minimal resolution of its dual.) Here D is a cycle of smooth rational curves, or an irreducible nodal curve if $r = 1$, and similarly for D'. By convention, $D_i \cdot D_{i\pm1} = 1$, where the integer i is taken mod r, and $D_i \cdot D_j = 0$ otherwise. The *type* or *self-intersection sequence* of D is the sequence $(D_1^2, \ldots, D_r^2)$ mod cyclic permutations and order-reversing permutations. There is a somewhat complicated recipe for obtaining D, together with its self-intersection sequence, from D'.

Looijenga showed that, if $\overline{\overline{V}}_0$ is the compact singular analytic surface obtained by contracting the curves D' and D, then the deformation functor $\mathbf{Def}_{\overline{\overline{V}}_0}$ of $\overline{\overline{V}}_0$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbf{Def}_{(\widehat{X},\hat{x})} \times \mathbf{Def}_{(X,x)}$. Thus, the deformations of (X, x), viewed as deformations of $(X, x) \amalg (\widehat{X}, \hat{x})$ for which the deformation of $(\widehat{X}, \hat{x})$ is trivial, can be identified with deformations of the pair $(\overline{V}_0, D)$ keeping D constant, where $\overline{V}_0$ is the compact singular analytic surface obtained by contracting D'. A smoothing of (X, x) then yields a pair (Y_t, D_t), where Y_t is a smooth rational surface and $D_t \in |-K_{Y_t}|$ is a cycle of rational curves of the same type as $D \subseteq V_0$. Briefly, we say that D *sits on a rational surface* Y (we identify D_t with D). Hence a smoothing component of (X, x) determines a deformation type $[(Y, D)]$ of *anticanonical pairs* (Y, D), i.e. pairs consisting of a smooth rational surface Y and a section $D \in |-K_Y|$ which is a cycle of rational curves of the same type as the minimal resolution of the dual cusp to (X, x). We define a smoothing component of (X, x) to be *of type* $[(Y, D)]$ in this case. In particular, the existence of such a pair (Y, D) is a *necessary* condition for the cusp (X, x) to be smoothable. In [18], Looijenga conjectured that it is also a *sufficient* condition:

Conjecture 0.1 (Looijenga's conjecture)**.** *The cusp (X, x) is smoothable if and only if the minimal resolution of the dual cusp sits on a rational surface.*

Motivated by the corresponding picture for degenerations of $K3$ surfaces, the second author and R. Miranda showed in [8] that Looijenga's conjecture was equivalent to the existence of a certain semistable model Y_0 for the smoothing, whose description we recall in Section 2. The main idea is to show that the semistable fiber $Y_0 = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} V_i$ deforms in a smooth one parameter family $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$. Here V_0 is the Inoue surface with the cycles D' and D, and the V_i, $i \geq 1$, are rational surfaces meeting V_0 along the cycle D'.

A theorem of Shepherd-Barron [24] shows that the divisor $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$ is exceptional in $\mathcal{Y}$, although we might also have to contract some rational curves in the general fibers. (The theorem of Shepherd-Barron is stated in the context of degenerations of $K3$ surfaces, but the proof applies in this setting as well.) The result is a singular complex threefold $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ and a flat morphism $\bar{\pi}\colon \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \to \Delta$ such that the fiber over 0 is the singular Inoue surface $\overline{V}_0$ and the general fiber is a rational surface, possibly with rational double points. In particular, (X, x) is smoothable. However, the complexity of constructing the possible semistable singular fibers seemed, in the words of [8], "rather daunting."

Quite recently two very different proofs of Looijenga's conjecture have appeared. The first proof, due to Gross-Hacking-Keel [11], is based on ideas from mirror symmetry. The second proof, due to the first author [3], uses ideas from symplectic geometry to construct the semistable models that are required in the approach of [8]. In fact, we show in Section 3 that the methods of [3] yield the following:

Theorem 0.2. *Let (X, x) be a cusp singularity with dual cusp of type D. For each deformation type $[(Y, D)]$ of an anticanonical pair, there exists a smoothing component of (X, x) of type $[(Y, D)]$. Hence the number of smoothing components of (X, x) is at least as large as the number of deformation types $[(Y, D)]$.*

There are examples where the number of smoothing components of (X, x) is in fact larger than the number of deformation types $[(Y, D)]$. For smoothable simple elliptic singularities of high multiplicity, this phenomenon was already observed by Looijenga-Wahl [19]. However, it seems likely that, as in the simple elliptic case, all smoothing components of a cusp singularity of type $[(Y, D)]$ are essentially isomorphic.

This paper has two goals: to analyze in more detail the geometry of one parameter smoothings of cusp singularities via their semistable models, and then to use this study to describe the possible adjacencies of a cusp singularity to a union of rational double points (briefly, a *rational double point configuration*). To describe the first goal, we begin by recalling some facts and terminology about anticanonical pairs using [7] as a reference (but these many of these ideas also appear in [10] and, in essence, date back to [18]).

Definition 0.3. Given a deformation type $[(Y, D)]$ of anticanonical pairs, we have the positive cone

$$\mathcal{C} = \{x \in H^2(Y; \mathbb{R}) : x^2 > 0\},$$

which has two components. Exactly one component $\mathcal{C}^+$ contains the classes of ample divisors. Define $\mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^+$ to be the "generic ample cone," i.e. the ample cone of a very general deformation of (Y, D). It is invariant under the monodromy group of the deformation. Define $\Lambda = \Lambda(Y, D)$ be the orthogonal complement in $H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ of the classes $[D_i]$ of the components of D and set $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} = \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{gen}}$ be the closure of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}}$ in the positive cone $\mathcal{C}$ and finally set $\mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$ to be the interior in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ of the intersection $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{gen}} \cap \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$.

One can then describe the monodromy group of the pair (Y, D) as follows:

Definition 0.4. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(Y, D)$, the group of *admissible isometries* of (Y, D), be the the group of integral isometries γ of $H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\gamma([D_i]) = [D_i]$ for all i, $\gamma(\mathcal{C}^+) = \mathcal{C}^+$, and

$$\gamma(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{gen}}(Y)) = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{gen}}(Y).$$

In particular, Γ acts on the sets $\mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}} \cap \Lambda$.

Given a one parameter logarithmic smoothing $\overline{\pi}\colon (\overline{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta$ of the pair $(\overline{V}_0, D)$ over the disk Δ, we can find a "good" semistable model $\pi\colon (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta$ (possibly after a base change). Here good roughly means that $\mathcal{Y}$ is smooth and that the scheme-theoretic fiber Y_0 over $0 \in \Delta$ has reduced normal crossings and satisfies $\omega_{Y_0} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}(-D)$, where ω_{Y_0} is the dualizing sheaf. We shall refer to the family $\pi\colon (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta$ as a *Type III degeneration of rational surfaces*. The detailed properties of Y_0 are described in Definition 2.1.

We turn next to the description of the monodromy of a Type III degeneration of rational surfaces. It is easy to show that, if (Y, D) is an anticanonical pair, then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to H_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z}) \to \Lambda \to 0,$$

where $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \gamma$ is the radical of intersection pairing $\bullet$ on $H_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z})$. In particular, we can define the pairing between an element of Λ and an element of $H_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z})$. Our first main result is then roughly as follows:

Theorem 0.5. *Let $\pi\colon (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta$ be a Type III degeneration of rational surfaces as above. Let T be the monodromy of the fiber, which acts on Λ and on $H_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z})$. Then:*

(i) *For the action of T on $H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$, $T = \mathrm{Id}$*

(ii) *There is a unique class $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that, for all $x \in H_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z})$,*

$$T(x) = x - \langle \lambda, x \rangle \gamma.$$

(iii) *With λ as above, $\lambda^2 = v$, where v is the number of triple points of the singular fiber Y_0.*

(iv) *For a unique choice of sign, $\pm\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{gen}} \cap \Lambda$.*

(v) *The class λ generates over $\mathbb{Q}$ the cokernel of the specialization map* $\mathrm{sp}\colon H^2(Y_0;\mathbb{Q}) \to H^2(Y_t;\mathbb{Q})$.

It is natural to always choose the sign so that $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{gen}} \cap \Lambda$. The class λ is only well-defined modulo the action of $\Gamma(Y, D)$. We define the *monodromy invariant* to be the image of λ in $\Gamma\backslash(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{gen}} \cap \Lambda)$, but continue by abuse of notation to denote it by λ. Our next result, which is a significant generalization of Theorem 0.2, states that all possible λ arise.

Theorem 0.6. *Let D' be the minimal resolution of a cusp singularity for which the dual D sits on a rational surface. Then for every deformation type of anticanonical pairs (Y, D) and for every class $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{gen}} \cap \Lambda$, there exists a Type III degeneration of rational surfaces whose general fiber is deformation equivalent to (Y, D) and whose monodromy invariant is λ mod $\Gamma(Y, D)$.*

Though the statements of Theorem 0.2 and Theorem 0.6 are purely algebraic, the method of proof relies on symplectic geometry, and in particular the geometry of Lagrangian torus fibrations. There is a naturally defined (singular) integral-affine structure on the dual complex $\Gamma(Y_0)$ of the central fiber of a Type III degeneration of rational surfaces, defined in Section 2.2. When Y_0 is *generic*, see Definition 2.8, work of Symington [26] allows us to construct a Lagrangian *almost toric fibration* of a symplectic 4-manifold with a degenerate symplectic anticanonical divisor D:

$$\mu\colon (X, D, \omega) \to \Gamma(Y_0).$$

In Section 3.3, we prove that (X, D) and (Y_t, D_t) are naturally diffeomorphic, and that the fiber class of μ is identified under this diffeomorphism with γ while the class $[\omega]$ is identified with λ. Conversely, if one can construct a Lagrangian fibration

$$\mu\colon (X, D, \omega) \to S^2$$

such that the natural integral-affine structure on the base of μ admits an appropriate triangulation, then the base is, as an integral-affine manifold, the dual complex of the central fiber of a Type III degeneration. Thus, producing a degeneration with prescribed monodromy invariant λ reduces to the construction of a Lagrangian torus fibration $(X, D, \omega) \to S^2$ such that $[\omega] = \lambda$. This is the goal of Sections 4 and 5.

By analogy with the case of $K3$ surfaces, it is natural to conjecture that the monodromy invariant λ mod Γ is a complete invariant of the singular fiber of a Type III degeneration of rational surfaces up to locally trivial deformation and standard birational modifications. We discuss this conjecture in more detail in Section 5.

Finally, we apply the above results to the study of rational double point adjacencies of cusps. First, we recall Looijenga's definition of certain distinguished elements of square -2 in $\Lambda(Y, D)$.

Definition 0.7. Let (Y, D) be an anticanonical pair, and let $\beta \in \Lambda(Y, D)$ with $\beta^2 = -2$. Then β is a *Looijenga root* or briefly a *root* if there exists a deformation of (Y, D) over a connected base S and a fiber (Y_s, D_s) over $s \in S$ such that, in $\Lambda(Y_s, D_s)$, β is the class of a smooth curve $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ disjoint from D_s. We let $R \subseteq \Lambda(Y, D)$ be the set of roots. If D has at most 5 components (equivalently, the dual cusp has multiplicity at most 5), then R is a generalized root system of hyperbolic type, and in particular it spans Λ. However, when the number of components of D is larger than 5, there are many possibilities for the behavior of R.

We can then describe the possible rational double point adjacencies of a cusp (X, x) as follows. Let D be the minimal resolution of the dual cusp and let (Y, D) be an anticanonical pair of type D.

Definition 0.8. Let Υ be a negative definite sublattice of $\Lambda(Y, D)$. Then Υ is *good* if

(i) Υ is spanned by elements of R.

(ii) There exists a homomorphism $\varphi\colon \Lambda(Y, D) \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi \cap R = \Upsilon \cap R$.

The lattice Υ determines an RDP configuration (possibly consisting of more than one singular point) by taking the appropriate type (i.e. Dynkin diagram) of a set of simple roots for $\Upsilon \cap R$. We say that the corresponding rational double point configuration is *of type* Υ.

We can then state our result about adjacencies of cusps to rational double points as follows:

Theorem 0.9. *Suppose that (X, x) is adjacent to a rational double point configuration on a smoothing component of type $[(Y, D)]$. Then the components of the exceptional fibers of a minimal resolution span a good negative*

definite sublattice Υ *of* $\Lambda(Y, D)$*, and the rational double point configuration is of type* Υ*.*

Conversely, if Υ *is a good negative definite sublattice of* $\Lambda(Y, D)$*, then there exists an adjacency of* (X, x) *to a rational double point configuration of type* Υ *on some smoothing component of type* $[(Y, D)]$

An equivalent and more geometric form of the above characterization is given in Proposition 9.6.

Remark 0.10. It is natural to conjecture that, given the good sublattice Υ of $\Lambda(Y, D)$, then there exists an adjacency of (X, x) to a rational double point configuration of type Υ on *every* smoothing component of type $[(Y, D)]$.

The methods of this paper say nothing about adjacencies of the cusp (X, x) to non-rational singularities. If (X, x) is adjacent to a union of singularities, then at most one can be non-rational and all others are rational double points. Moreover, the non-rational singularity, if it exists, is either a cusp singularity or a simple elliptic singularity. Wahl described various adjacencies between elliptic singularities in [27], and P. Hacking (unpublished) has shown that these are the only possible adjacencies for cusp singularities. More generally, one can make fairly precise conjectures about the possible adjacencies from a cusp to a union of an elliptic singularity and a number of rational double points (conjecturally a union of A_k singularities), which generalize Looijenga's results for multiplicity at most 5. However, we shall not do so here.

A more detailed description of the contents of this paper is as follows: Section 1 deals with certain preliminary results on the geometry and topology of anticanonical pairs. In Section 2, we study Type III degenerations of rational surfaces and in particular the possible singular fibers Y_0. Such a fiber has reduced normal crossings, and there is a unique component isomorphic to an Inoue surface V_0, with the dual cycle D contained in the smooth locus of Y_0. We call the pair (Y_0, D) a Type III anticanonical pair. Although the Inoue surface V_0 is not Kähler, there are analogues of a mixed Hodge structure and a limiting mixed Hodge structure for Y_0. We study the relevant spectral sequences and determine when they degenerate. A general theme is that it is more useful to consider the topology of the pair (Y_0, D) as opposed to that of Y_0. We also introduce a singular integral-affine structure on the dual complex $\Gamma(Y_0)$, and describe the almost toric fibration $(X, D, \omega) \to \Gamma(Y_0)$. We also describe the deformation theory of the pair (Y_0, D) in an appropriate sense. Section 3 deals with the monodromy of a smoothing of the pair (Y_0, D). In particular, we prove Theorem 0.5. We also

prove that (X, D, ω) is naturally diffeomorphic to the general fiber Y_t of the Type III degeneration of rational surfaces with central fiber Y_0. Theorem 0.2 follows. Furthermore, we show that the monodromy invariant λ from Theorem 0.5 is identified under this diffeomorphism with the class of the symplectic form $[\omega]$. In Sections 4 and 5 we prove Theorem 0.6. Section 4 collects results concerning birational modification and base change of Type III degenerations, and records their effect on the integral-affine structure defined on $\Gamma(Y_0)$. In Section 5, we construct a Type III degeneration with arbitrary monodromy invariant $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}} \cap \Lambda$, the method being to first construct the dual complex of the central fiber via surgeries on integral-affine surfaces. Section 6 uses the description of the monodromy and the limiting cohomology to give an asymptotic formula for the period map of a general fiber in a Type III degeneration of rational surfaces. Sections 7 and 8 are devoted to a study of an analogue of the period map for a Type III anticanonical pair. We calculate the differential of the period map in Section 7 and, using this calculation, show in Section 8 that the period map is surjective for topologically trivial deformations of the pair (Y_0, D). Moreover, for suitable lattices Υ inside an appropriate quotient of $\operatorname{Pic} Y_0$, we show that we can arrange a deformation of the pair (Y_0, D) so that the image of Υ is in the kernel of the period map for all smooth fibers (Y_t, D). Finally, in Section 9, we prove Theorem 0.9. The strategy of the proof is as follows. Given a good lattice Υ in $\Lambda(Y, D)$, we show that there exists a $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}} \cap \Lambda$ such that Υ is orthogonal to λ. By Theorem 0.6, there exists a Type III degeneration of rational surfaces $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D})$ with invariant λ. Using (v) of Theorem 0.5, we can identify Υ with a quotient of $\operatorname{Pic} Y_0$. By the surjectivity of the period map for (Y_0, D), we can assume after deforming (Y_0, D) that Υ is exactly the kernel of the period map and that the same is true for all nearby smooth fibers (Y_t, D). It then follows by invoking the full strength of Shepherd-Barron's contraction result that, after blowing down all components of the central fiber not equal to V_0 as well as some curves in the general fiber, we exactly contract the curves in a general fiber Y_t whose classes lie in Υ. Thus we obtain an adjacency of the cusp to a rational double point of type Υ.

Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank Eduard Looijenga and Radu Laza for helpful discussions and correspondence.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Topology of anticanonical pairs

Definition 1.1. Let $D = D_1 + \cdots + D_r$ be a reduced cycle of smooth rational curves for $r \geq 2$, or an irreducible nodal curve whose normalization is smooth rational for $r = 1$. The integer $r = r(D)$ is the *length* of D. By convention, we take the indices i mod r and $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$ for $j \neq i \pm 1$. An *orientation* for D is a choice of generator for $H_1(D; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. For $i \geq 3$, an orientation determines and is determined by an indexing of the components of D as above up to cyclic permutation, and we always assume that the indexing and the orientation are compatible in this sense. Given an indexing of the components, we always assume that there is an associated *type* of D, which by definition is a sequence $(d_1, \ldots, d_r)$ of integers d_i, such that $d_i \leq -2$ for all i and $d_i \leq -3$ for at least one i. If D is given as a Cartier divisor in a surface, we always assume that $d_i = D_i^2$.

An *anticanonical pair* or simply *pair* (Y, D) is a rational surface Y with an anticanonical divisor $D \in |-K_Y|$ which forms a reduced cycle of smooth rational curves $D = D_1 + \cdots + D_r$ unless $r = 1$ in which case D is a nodal rational curve. The pair (Y, D) is of *type* D if the type of D is $(D_1^2, \ldots, D_r^2)$. We say (Y, D) is *toric* if V is a smooth toric surface and D is the toric boundary.

Let (Y, D) be an anticanonical pair. Enumerate the components of D as $D_1, \ldots, D_r$ and the set of nodes T as $t_1, \ldots, t_r$, with the convention that $D_i \cap D_{i+1} = \{t_i\}$. If $[D_i]$ denotes the class of the component D_i in $H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$, let $\Lambda = \Lambda(Y, D) = \{[D_1], \ldots, [D_r]\}^\perp \subseteq H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$. By definition Λ is a primitive sublattice of $H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$. Its dual lattice $\Lambda^\vee$ is described as follows: let $\widehat{\operatorname{span}}\{[D_1], \ldots, [D_r]\}$ be the saturation of the subgroup of $H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ generated by $[D_1], \ldots, [D_r]$. Then

$$\Lambda^\vee = H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z}) / \widehat{\operatorname{span}}\{[D_1], \ldots, [D_r]\}.$$

Via the Gysin spectral sequence (the Leray spectral sequence applied to the inclusion $i \colon Y - D \hookrightarrow Y$) there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z}) / \operatorname{span}\{[D_1], \ldots, [D_r]\} \to H^2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0,$$

where the right hand $\mathbb{Z}$ is the kernel of the Gysin map. Thus, if $\overline{H}^2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z})$ denotes $H^2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z})$ mod torsion, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \Lambda^\vee \to \overline{H}^2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

Dually, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to H_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z}) \to \Lambda \to 0,$$

arising from the exact sequence of the pair $(Y, Y - D)$:

$$0 = H_3(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_3(Y, Y - D; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Poincaré-Alexander duality identifies $H_3(Y, Y - D; \mathbb{Z})$ with $H^1(D; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, and the image of $H_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ corresponds exactly to those 2-cycles which have algebraic intersection 0 with every component of D, and thus via Poincaré duality with Λ. In terms of compactly supported cohomology, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_3(Y, Y - D; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z}) & & \\ \cong\downarrow & & \cong\downarrow & & \cong\downarrow & & \\ H^1(D; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^2_c(Y - D; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(D; \mathbb{Z}). \end{array}$$

Let V be a small tubular neighborhood of D in Y, homotopy equivalent to D, so that $Y - V$ is homotopy equivalent to $Y - D$. Let $\partial = \partial V$. Using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence applied to the decomposition $Y = (Y - D) \cup V$, one easily computes that $H^1(\partial; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(D; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and that $H^2(\partial; \mathbb{Z})$ has rank one. The exact sequence for the pair $(Y - V, \partial)$, which we shall write as $(Y - D, \partial)$, is then (all groups with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients)

$$H^1(Y - D) = 0 \to H^1(\partial) \to H^2(Y - D, \partial) \to H^2(Y - D) \to H^2(\partial).$$

Lefschetz duality identifies $H^2(Y - D, \partial)$ with $H_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z})$ and identifies the image of $H^1(\partial)$ with the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}$ above. Moreover, Lefschetz duality is compatible with Poincaré duality on ∂, which identifies $H^1(\partial)$ with $H_2(\partial)$, and with the exact sequence of the pair $(Y - D, \partial)$, so that the above exact sequence is identified with

$$H_2(\partial) \to H_2(Y - D) \to H_2(Y - D, \partial) \to H_1(\partial) \to 0.$$

Poincaré duality identifies $\overline{H}_2(Y - D, \partial)$ with $\overline{H}^2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z})$, and hence there is an inclusion of $\Lambda^\vee$ in $\overline{H}_2(Y - D, \partial)$ for which the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \overline{H}_2(Y - D, \partial) \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^\vee. \end{array}$$

It is easy to check that a generator of $H_2(\partial)$ is the class γ which is the tube (with respect to some Hermitian metric) over a simple closed curve in D_i enclosing a double point: for example, there exists a curve γ' on ∂ projecting onto the generator of $H_1(D;\mathbb{Z})$, and then $\gamma \bullet \gamma' = \pm 1$. Identifying γ with its image in $H_2(Y-D;\mathbb{Z})$, we see that the kernel of $H_2(Y-D;\mathbb{Z}) \to \Lambda$ is $\mathbb{Z}\gamma$. Note that γ is only well-defined up to sign, but is determined by an orientation of the cycle D.

1.2 The generic ample cone and effective cone

We recall the following terminology from [7] (see also [10]). Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq H^2(Y;\mathbb{R})$ be the positive cone and let $\mathcal{C}^+$ be the component of $\mathcal{C}$ containing the classes of ample divisors. Inside $\mathcal{C}^+$, we have the convex cone $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{gen}}$, which is the closure in $\mathcal{C}^+$ of the ample cone for a generic small deformation of Y. If Y is itself generic (meaning that there are no smooth rational curves on Y of self-intersection -2), then

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{gen}} = \{x \in \mathcal{C}^+ : x \cdot [E] \geq 0 \text{ for all exceptional curves } E \\ \text{and } x \cdot [D_i] \geq 0 \text{ for all } i\}.$$

For a general Y, we may replace the condition $x \cdot [E] \geq 0$ for all exceptional curves E by the condition $x \cdot \alpha \geq 0$ for all effective numerical exceptional curves α, i.e. cohomology classes α such that $\alpha^2 = \alpha \cdot [K_Y] = -1$ and α is the class of an effective divisor, or equivalently $\alpha \cdot [H] \geq 0$ for some ample divisor H [7, §5]. We set $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{gen}}$ equal to the interior of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{gen}}$.

We can omit the assumption that $x \in \mathcal{C}$ lies in the component $\mathcal{C}^+$:

Lemma 1.2. *If $x \in \mathcal{C}$, and $x \cdot \alpha \geq 0$ for all effective numerical exceptional curves α and $x \cdot [D_i] \geq 0$ for all i, then $x \in \mathcal{C}^+$.*

Proof. An easy induction on the number of blowups required to obtain Y from a minimal surface shows that the union of the D_i and the effective numerical exceptional curves supports a nef and big divisor H. Thus, for x as in the hypothesis of the lemma, $x \cdot H \geq 0$ and so $x \in \mathcal{C}^+$. □

Definition 1.3. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{gen}}$ be the interior of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{gen}} \cap \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} = \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Thus by definition, for $x \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$, $x \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{gen}} \iff x \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathcal{C}^+$ and $x \cdot \alpha > 0$ for all effective numerical exceptional curves α. Note that, since the set of walls defined by the numerical exceptional classes and the $[D_i]$ is locally finite in $\mathcal{C}^+$, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{gen}}$ is a nonempty open convex subset of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Definition 1.4. A *corner blow-up* of (Y, D) is a blow-up at a node of D and an *internal blow-up* of (Y, D) is a blow-up on the smooth locus of D. Both the corner and internal blow-up have an anticanonical divisor $\pi^* D - E$ where π is the blow-up and E is the exceptional divisor. An *internal exceptional curve* is an exceptional curve which is not a component of D.

The dual of the ample cone is the effective cone. The extremal rays of the effective cone are the classes of curves of negative self-intersection—generically, the internal exceptional curves and components of D. With a mild assumption, every effective divisor on Y is linearly equivalent to a union of components D and disjoint internal exceptional curves:

Proposition 1.5. *Let (Y, D) be an anticanonical pair with $r(D) \geq 3$ and no -2-curves. If A is an effective curve on Y, then A is linearly equivalent to a curve of the form*

$$\sum a_j D_j + \sum b_i E_i,$$

where the E_i are disjoint internal exceptional curves and $a_j, b_j \geq 0$.

Proof. We begin with the following lemma:

Lemma 1.6. *Let G be an effective, nef, and nonzero divisor on Y. Then there exists a component D_j of D and an element of $|G|$ of the form $B + D_j$, where B is effective.*

Proof. We may clearly assume that no component of D is a fixed component of $|G|$. Since $H^2(Y; \mathcal{O}_Y(G)) = 0$, Riemann-Roch implies that

$$h^0(Y; \mathcal{O}_Y(G)) = h^1(Y; \mathcal{O}_Y(G)) + \frac{1}{2}(G^2 + G \cdot D) + 1.$$

Hence $h^0(Y; \mathcal{O}_Y(G)) \geq 2$ if $G \cdot D \neq 0$. If $G \cdot D = 0$, then $h^1(Y; \mathcal{O}_Y(G)) \geq 1$ by [7, Lemma 4.13], and so again $h^0(Y; \mathcal{O}_Y(G)) \geq 2$. It follows that $\dim |G| \geq 1$, so that, for every point y of Y, there exists a curve in $|G|$ passing through y. Hence, if there exists a component D_j of D such that $G \cdot D_j = 0$, then there exists a curve in $|G|$ meeting D_j and thus necessarily of the form $B + D_j$ for some effective B. In particular, if $G \cdot D \leq 2$, then the assumption $r(D) \geq 3$ implies that such a component D_j must exist.

If $G^2 = 0$, then by [7, Theorem 4.19], G is either of the form kC, $k \geq 1$, where $C \cdot D = 2$, or of the form kE where $E \cdot D = 0$. In either case, there is a component D_j of D such that $G \cdot D_j = 0$ and we are done by the previous paragraph. Thus, we may assume that $G^2 > 0$, i.e. that G is big, and that $G \cdot D_j > 0$ for every j. By Ramanujam's lemma (cf. [7, Lemma 4.10]), the

restriction map $H^0(Y;\mathcal{O}_Y(G)) \to H^0(D;\mathcal{O}_Y(G)|D)$ is surjective. The line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(G)|D$ has positive degree on every component of D. Choosing a component D_j, it is then easy, using the assumption $r(D) \geq 3$, to construct a nonzero section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(G)|D$ which vanishes identically on D_j. Lifting this section to a section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(G)$ then gives a curve in $|G|$ which contains D_j as desired. □

Returning to the proof of Proposition 1.5, every curve in $|A|$ is of the form $\sum a_j D_j + \sum b_i C_i$, where the $a_j, b_i \geq 0$ and the C_i are distinct irreducible curves which are not components of D. Clearly the sum $\sum a_j$ is bounded. We may thus choose such a curve for which $\sum a_j$ is maximal, possibly zero. Since there are no -2-curves on Y, one of the following holds:

(i) Some C_i is not exceptional.

(ii) Every C_i is exceptional but two intersect.

(iii) The C_i are disjoint exceptional curves.

If C_i is not exceptional, then it is nef and we can apply Lemma 1.6 to find an effective curve C_i' linearly equivalent to C_i which contains D_k for some k. But then there is a curve in $|A|$ of the form $\sum a_j' D_j + \sum b_i C_i'$ as above with $\sum_j a_j' > \sum a_j$, contradicting the hypothesis on $\sum a_j$ is maximal. Likewise, if there exist i_1, i_2 such that $C_{i_1} \cdot C_{i_2} > 0$, then $G = C_{i_1} + C_{i_2}$ is nef, and we again reach a contradiction by Lemma 1.6. Thus we are in Case (iii), which is the statement of Proposition 1.5. □

Remark 1.7. It is easy to see that the assumption $r(D) \geq 3$ is necessary. For example, the class of a fiber on the a minimal anticanonical pair $(\mathbb{F}_N, D_1 + D_2)$, where D_1 and D_2 are both irreducible sections, cannot be expressed as a linear combination of the components of D.

2 Type III degenerations

2.1 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A *Type III degeneration of anticanonical pairs* is a proper morphism $\pi\colon (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta$, where $\mathcal{Y}$ is a smooth complex 3-fold, Δ is the unit disk, and $\mathcal{D} \to \Delta$ is a locally trivial relative normal crossings divisor in $\mathcal{Y}$ whose intersection with the fiber Y_t is denoted by D_t for all t, such that:

(i) The general fibers $\pi^{-1}(t) = (Y_t, D_t)$, $t \neq 0$ are anticanonical pairs and the central fiber $\pi^{-1}(0) = (Y_0, D_0)$ with $Y_0 = \bigcup_{i=0}^{f-1} V_i$ is a reduced normal crossings divisor.

(ii) V_0 is the Hirzebruch-Inoue surface with dual cycles D and D'. The normalization $\tilde{V}_i$ of V_i is a smooth rational surface for $i \neq 0$. Furthermore $D_0 = D$.

(iii) Let C_i denote the union of the double curves $C_{ij} = V_i \cap V_j$ which lie on V_i. Then the inverse image of C_i under the normalization map is an anticanonical divisor on $\tilde{V}_i$ for $i > 0$ and $C_0 = D'$. Equivalently, $K_{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(-\mathcal{D})$.

(iv) The triple point formula holds:

$$\left(C_{ij}|_{\tilde{V}_i}\right)^2 + \left(C_{ij}|_{\tilde{V}_j}\right)^2 = \begin{cases} -2 & \text{if } C_{ij} \text{ is smooth} \\ 0 & \text{if } C_{ij} \text{ is nodal.} \end{cases}$$

(v) The dual complex $\Gamma(Y_0)$ is a triangulation of the sphere.

A *Type III anticanonical pair* is a pair (Y_0, D_0), where Y_0 is a reduced surface with simple normal crossings and D_0 is a Cartier divisor on Y_0, satisfying (ii)-(v) above. We say (Y_0, D_0) is *d-semistable* if in addition

$$T^1_{Y_0} = Ext^1(\Omega^1_{Y_0}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{(Y_0)_{\text{sing}}},$$

which is an analytic refinement of the triple point formula. By [8, (2.6) and (2.9)], every Type III anticanonical pair can be deformed via a locally trivial deformation to one which is d-semistable, and (as we shall discuss later) every d-semistable Type III anticanonical pair can be smoothed in a one parameter family with smooth total space.

Notation 2.2. To simplify the notation, we will henceforth suppress the tildes on $\tilde{V}_i$ so that (V_i, C_i) denotes a smooth anticanonical pair. In addition, we introduce the convention

$$C_{ij} = C_{ij}|_{V_i} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{ji} = C_{ij}|_{V_j}$$

so that C_{ij} always denotes a curve on the smooth surface V_i. Then C_{ij} and C_{ji} have the same image in Y_0 but may not be isomorphic. In fact, the image of C_{ij} in Y_0 is nodal if and only if exactly one of C_{ij} or C_{ji} is nodal. We define

$$c_{ij} := \begin{cases} -c_{ij}^2 & \text{if } r(C_i) \geq 2 \\ 2 - c_{ij}^2 & \text{if } r(C_i) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, the triple point formula states that $c_{ij} + c_{ji} = 2$ in all cases.

Definition 2.3. The *charge* of a pair (V, C) is the integer

$$Q(V, C) := 12 + \sum (c_i - 3)$$

where

$$c_i = \begin{cases} -C_i^2 & \text{if } r(C) > 1 \\ 2 - C_i^2 & \text{if } r(C) = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Then $Q(V, C) = 0$ if and only if (V, C) is toric and otherwise $Q(V, C) > 0$. A corner blow-up keeps the charge constant, while an internal blow-up increases the charge by one.

Proposition 2.4 (Conservation of Charge)**.** *Let (Y_0, D_0) be a Type III anticanonical pair. Then, $\sum Q(V_i, C_i) = 24$.*

Proof. See [8], Proposition 3.7. □

2.2 The integral-affine structure on the dual complex

Definition 2.5. An *integral-affine manifold* of dimension n is a manifold with charts to $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that the transition functions are valued in the integral-affine transformation group $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$. A *lattice manifold* is an integral-affine manifold whose transition functions are in $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Note that a lattice manifold contains a lattice of points with integer coordinates in some (any thus any) chart. We will endow $\Gamma(Y_0)$ with the structure of a triangulated lattice surface with singularities. Define the following notation for the dual complex:

(i) The vertices v_i correspond to the components V_i,

(ii) the directed edges $e_{ij} = (v_i, v_j)$ correspond to double curves C_{ij},

(iii) the triangular faces $f_{ijk} = (v_i, v_j, v_k)$ correspond to triple points p_{ijk}.

We denote the i-skeleton of $\Gamma(Y_0)$ by $\Gamma(Y_0)^{[i]}$. There is a natural (non-singular) lattice manifold structure on

$$\Gamma(Y_0) - \{v_i \colon Q(V_i, C_i) > 0 \text{ or } i = 0\}$$

which we now define, see also Remark 1.11 of Version 1 of [11]. We declare each triangular face f_{ijk} to be integral-affine equivalent to a *basis triangle*, that is, a lattice triangle of area 1/2. Then, we define the integral-affine structure on the union of two triangular faces f_{ijk} and $f_{ik\ell}$ that share an

edge e_{ik} by gluing two basis triangles in the plane along their shared edge. We glue in the unique way such that

$$c_{ik}e_{ik} = e_{ij} + e_{i\ell},$$

where we view the directed edges e_{ij}, e_{ik} and $e_{i\ell}$ as integral vectors. By Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 of [3], this defines a unique integral-affine structure on $\Gamma(Y_0) - \Gamma(Y_0)^{[0]}$ which naturally extends to the vertices v_i corresponding to toric pairs (V_i, C_i).

Definition 2.6. Let (Y, D) be a pair with cycle components $D = D_1 + \cdots + D_n$. The *pseudo-fan* of (Y, D) is an integral-affine surface $\mathfrak{F}(Y, D)$ PL-equivalent to the cone over the dual complex of D. For each intersection point $t_i = D_i \cap D_{i+1}$ there is an associated face $f_{i,i+1}$ of this cone integral-affine equivalent to a basis triangle. Let e_i denote the primitive integral vector that originates at the cone point corresponding to some component D_i. We glue $f_{i-1,i}$ and $f_{i,i+1}$ together by an element of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ in the unique manner such that $e_{i-1} + e_{i+1} = d_i e_i$ where

$$d_i = \begin{cases} -D_i^2 & \text{if } n > 1 \\ 2 - D_i^2 & \text{if } n = 1 \end{cases}.$$

The integral-affine structure has at most one singularity, at the cone point. Compare to Section 1.2 of [11].

Note that the union of the triangles containing a vertex $v_i \in \Gamma(Y_0)$ is the pseudo-fan $\mathfrak{F}(V_i, C_i)$.

Example 2.7. We can visualize the lattice structure on $\Gamma(Y_0)$ by cutting it open along a spanning tree of the singular vertices. The resulting disk has an integral-affine chart to $\mathbb{R}^2$ whose image is a lattice polygon. Figure 1 below depicts such an open chart. The intersection complex of Y_0 is overlaid by the dual complex, and each component of the anticanonical cycle of (V_i, C_i) is labelled by its self-intersection. The surface Y_0 visibly satisfies conditions (ii)-(v) of Definition 2.1.

There are three anticanonical pairs (V_i, C_i) with charge $Q(V_i, C_i) = 1$, whose cycles of self-intersections are $(3, -1, -1, -2)$, $(6, -1, -1, -5)$, and $(2, -1, -1, -1)$. The Hirzebruch-Inoue surface V_0 meets the other components of Y_0 along the cycle $D' = C_0$ whose components have self-intersections $(-6, -9)$. Every other component of Y_0 is toric.



Figure 1: A Type III anticanonical pair Y_0 and the lattice manifold structure on its dual complex.

Definition 2.8. We say that Y_0 is *generic* if $Q(V_i, C_i) \leq 1$ for all $i \neq 0$.

Because of the formula $Q(D) + Q(D') = 24$, genericity is equivalent to the condition that $\Gamma(Y_0)$ has $Q(D) + 1$ singularities.

Remark 2.9. Integral-affine manifolds appear naturally in symplectic geometry as bases of Lagrangian torus fibrations. Let $\mu\colon (X, \omega) \to B$ be a Lagrangian 2-torus fibration. There are natural coordinates from B to $\mathbb{R}^2$ well defined up to $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$ defined as follows: Choose a base point $p_0 \in B$ and let $U \ni p_0$ be a contractible open set. Let $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ be a basis of $H_1(\mu^{-1}(b_0); \mathbb{Z})$. Let $p \in U$ be a point, and let $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to U$ satisfy $\gamma(0) = p_0$, $\gamma(1) = p$. Let C_α and C_β be cylinders in X which fiber over γ and whose boundaries in $\mu^{-1}(b_0)$ are homologous to α and β, respectively. We may then define coordinates $U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$(x(p), y(p)) = \left(\int_{C_\alpha} \omega, \int_{C_\beta} \omega \right).$$

These integrals are well-defined because the fibers of μ are Lagrangian. The only ambiguities are the choice of base point, and basis of H_1 of a fiber; thus the coordinates are well-defined up to $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$, and in fact lie in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$ if there is a consistent choice of orientation on the fibers of μ.

Conversely, given an integral-affine manifold B (without singularities), there is a natural lattice $T_{\mathbb{Z}}B \subset TB$ in the tangent bundle consisting of tangent vectors which are integral in some, and thus any, chart. Dualizing gives a lattice $T^*_{\mathbb{Z}}B \subset T^*B$ in the cotangent bundle. The cotangent bundle T^*B admits a natural symplectic structure, and addition of a section of $T^*_{\mathbb{Z}}B$ is a symplectomorphism. Thus, there is a Lagrangian torus fibration

$$\mu : T^*_{\mathbb{Z}}B \backslash T^*B \to B$$

and this procedure locally describes an inverse to the above procedure. In the local coordinates (x, y) of an integral-affine chart on B, the symplectic form is $\omega = dx \wedge dp + dy \wedge dq$ where $p, q \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ are coordinates on the torus fibers which descend from the coordinates on fibers of the cotangent bundle.

Symington [26] was able to extend this correspondence in dimension two to Lagrangian fibrations with certain singular fibers. Suppose there is a Lagrangian fibration of a symplectic 4-manifold $\mu\colon (X, \omega) \to B$ whose general fiber is a 2-torus, but degenerates over some fibers to a necklace of k Lagrangian 2-spheres. Then the base B admits an integral-affine structure with

an A_k *singularity* at the image of a singular fiber, around which the $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ component of monodromy of the integral-affine structure is conjugate to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Conversely, given an integral affine surface B with A_k singularities, there is a Lagrangian torus fibration over B which is unique up to fiber-preserving symplectomorphism if the base either has boundary or is non-compact.

Returning to Type III degenerations, suppose that Y_0 is generic. Then every singularity of $\Gamma(Y_0)$ other than v_0 is of type A_1—this follows easily from Propositions 2.9 and 2.10 of [3]. Thus, there is a unique Lagrangian torus fibration

$$\mu : (X, \omega) \to \Gamma(Y_0) - \{v_0\}$$

with irreducible nodal fibers exactly over the vertices v_i corresponding to pairs with $Q(V_i, C_i) = 1$. The edges in $\Gamma(Y_0)^{[1]}$ also have an interpretation in the symplectic 4-manifold X: For any straight line segment $y = mx$ of rational slope in the base, there is a Lagrangian cylinder which fibers over it, whose fiber is the circle $p = -mq$. Thus, there is a Lagrangian cylinder A_{ij} which fibers over each edge e_{ij}.

Proposition 3.14 below proves that X is in fact diffeomorphic to $Y_t - D_t$. Furthermore, under this diffeomorphism, the class of the symplectic form and the class of the fiber of μ are naturally identified with the two invariants describing the monodromy on $H_2(Y_t - D_t; \mathbb{Z})$. We prove that every monodromy-invariant class in $H_2(Y_t - D_t; \mathbb{Z})$ may be constructed by patching together the A_{ij} by chains lying over $\Gamma(Y_0)^{[0]}$. Thus, the monodromy-invariant classes are represented by Lagrangians and perpendicular to $[\omega]$.

Proposition 2.10. *Let Y_0 be a generic Type III anticanonical pair. The Lagrangian fibration $\mu_0 \colon (X_0, \omega) \to \Gamma(Y_0) - \{v_0\}$ extends to a continuous map $\mu : (X, D, \omega) \to \Gamma(Y_0)$ where X is a smooth, compact 4-manifold, $D = \mu^{-1}(v_0)$ is a cycle of 2-spheres with the appropriate self-intersections, and ω is the symplectic form on $X_0 = X - D$.*

Proof. Let $U \ni v_0$ be a contractible open set in $\Gamma(Y_0)$ containing no singularities other than v_0. Then the Lagrangian torus fibration over $U - \{v_0\}$ is, as a smooth 2-torus fibration, uniquely determined by the $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ monodromy around v_0. We note that the Hirzebruch-Inoue surface is topologically quite simple: It is a two-torus fibration over an annulus that undergoes symplectic boundary reduction over its two circular boundaries to produce the cycles D and D'. It then follows easily from computing the monodromy around

the vertex of the pseudo-fan $\mathfrak{F}(V_0, C_0)$ that $\mu_0^{-1}(U - \{v_0\})$ is diffeomorphic to $V_0 - D - D'$ and that the boundary component of the annulus over which D fibers corresponds to v_0. Thus, we may extend μ_0 in the desired manner by gluing X_0 to a neighborhood of D in V_0 and declaring $\mu(D) = v_0$. □

2.3 Topology and geometry of the central fiber

We now collect various results on the cohomology of Y_0 (both topological and analytic) and the limiting cohomology. For notational simplicity, we shall just consider the case where Y_0 has global normal crossings; minor modifications handle the general case. Let $p_{ijk} = V_i \cap V_j \cap V_k$ denote a triple point, and let

$$a_0 \colon \coprod_i V_i \to Y_0$$
$$a_1 \colon \coprod_{i<j} C_{ij} \to Y_0$$
$$a_2 \colon \coprod_{i<j<k} p_{ijk} \to Y_0$$

be the natural morphisms.

Lemma 2.11. *$H^0(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) \cong \mathbb{C}$, and $H^1(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) = H^2(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) = 0$.*

Proof. The sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{Y_0}$ is quasi-isomorphic to the complex

$$(a_0)_* \left(\bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{V_i} \right) \to (a_1)_* \left(\bigoplus_{i<j} \mathcal{O}_{C_{ij}} \right) \to (a_2)_* \left(\bigoplus_{i<j<k} \mathcal{O}_{p_{ijk}} \right).$$

Thus there is a spectral sequence converging to $H^*(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0})$ whose E_1 page is

$H^1(V_0; \mathcal{O}_{V_0})$		
$\bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}$	$\bigoplus_{i<j} \mathbb{C}$	$\bigoplus_{i<j<k} \mathbb{C}$

where $H^1(V_0; \mathcal{O}_{V_0}) \cong \mathbb{C}$. The E_2 page is then

$\mathbb{C}$		
$\mathbb{C}$		$\mathbb{C}$

and the only possible nonzero differential is $d_2^{0,1} \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, with $H^1(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) \cong \operatorname{Ker} d_2^{0,1}$ and $H^2(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) \cong \operatorname{Coker} d_2^{0,1}$. By Serre duality, $H^2(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0})$ is dual to $H^0(Y_0; \omega_{Y_0}) = H^0(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}(-D)) = 0$. Thus $H^2(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) = 0$, so that $d_2^{0,1}$ is an isomorphism and hence $H^1(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) = 0$ as well. □

Corollary 2.12. $\operatorname{Pic} Y_0 \cong H^2(Y_0;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(\mathcal{Y};\mathbb{Z}) \cong \operatorname{Pic} \mathcal{Y}$.

Proof. The first isomorphism is immediate from the exponential sheaf sequence and Lemma 2.11, and the second is clear because $\mathcal{Y}$ deformation-retracts onto Y_0. To prove the final statement, it suffices to note that $H^1(\mathcal{Y};\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}) = H^2(\mathcal{Y};\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}) = 0$ via the Leray spectral sequence. □

Lemma 2.13. *$H^0(Y_0;\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$, $H^1(Y_0;\mathbb{C}) = 0$, and $H^4(Y_0;\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^f$. Moreover, if $d\colon \bigoplus_i H^2(V_i;\mathbb{C}) \to \bigoplus_{i<j} H^2(C_{ij};\mathbb{C})$ is the natural map, then*

$$H^2(Y_0;\mathbb{C}) \cong \operatorname{Ker} d$$
$$H^3(Y_0;\mathbb{C}) \cong \operatorname{Coker} d \oplus \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. The Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence for $H^*(Y_0;\mathbb{C})$ has E_1 page

$\mathbb{C}^f$		
$\mathbb{C}$		
$\bigoplus_i H^2(V_i;\mathbb{C})$	$\bigoplus_{i<j} H^2(C_{ij};\mathbb{C})$	
$\mathbb{C}$		
$\mathbb{C}^f$	$\mathbb{C}^e$	$\mathbb{C}^v$

The E_2 page is

$\mathbb{C}^f$		
$\mathbb{C}$		
$\operatorname{Ker} d$	$\operatorname{Coker} d$	
$\mathbb{C}$		
$\mathbb{C}$		$\mathbb{C}$

It then suffices to prove that $d_2^{0,1}$ is an isomorphism. However, $H^1(V_0;\mathbb{C}) \cong H^1(V_0;\mathcal{O}_{V_0})$, $H^0(p_{ijk};\mathbb{C}) \cong H^0(p_{ijk};\mathcal{O}_{p_{ijk}})$, and $H^0(C_{ij};\mathbb{C}) \cong H^0(C_{ij};\mathcal{O}_{C_{ij}})$, so the fact that $d_2^{0,1}$ is an isomorphism for this spectral sequence follows from the corresponding fact for the spectral sequence for $\mathcal{O}_{Y_0}$ appearing in Lemma 2.11.

□

Remark 2.14. For the Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence, $E_3 = E_\infty$. We will see later that $\operatorname{Coker} d = 0$.

Lemma 2.15. $\operatorname{Pic} Y_0 = H^2(Y_0;\mathbb{Z})$ *is torsion free and*

$$\operatorname{Pic} Y_0 \cong \operatorname{Ker}\left(\bigoplus_i H^2(V_i;\mathbb{Z}) \to \bigoplus_{i<j} H^2(C_{ij};\mathbb{Z})\right).$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.12, $\operatorname{Pic} Y_0 \cong H^2(Y_0;\mathbb{Z})$. The arguments of Lemma 2.13, but with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients, then give an exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Coker} d_2^{0,1} \to H^2(Y_0;\mathbb{Z}) \to \operatorname{Ker}\left(\bigoplus_i H^2(V_i;\mathbb{Z}) \to \bigoplus_{i<j} H^2(C_{ij};\mathbb{Z})\right) \to 0,$$

where now $d_2^{0,1}$ refers to the differential in the corresponding spectral sequence with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients. By Lemma 2.13, $\operatorname{Coker} d_2^{0,1}$ is torsion. It suffices to prove that $H_1(Y_0;\mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free, and in fact we will show directly that $H_1(Y_0;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Examining the Mayer-Vietoris homology spectral sequence computing $H_n(Y_0;\mathbb{Z})$, we must show that the differential $d_{2,0}^2 \colon E_{2,0}^2 = H_2(|\Gamma(Y_0)|;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \to E_{0,1}^2 = \bigoplus_i H_1(V_i;\mathbb{Z}) = H_1(V_0;\mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism, where $|\Gamma(Y_0)|$ is the topological realization of the dual complex of Y_0. It is straightforward to check that, in this special case, if 1 is a generator of $H_2(|\Gamma(Y_0)|;\mathbb{Z})$, then $d_{2,0}^2(1)$ is represented by the 1-cycle in V_0 formed by connecting the double points in the cycle $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r'} C_{0i} = D'$ by (real) curves in the components. By [12, (4.10)], inclusion induces isomorphisms $\pi_1(D',*) \cong \pi_1(V_0,*) \cong \pi_1(D,*)$ and thus $H_1(D',\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(V_0,\mathbb{Z})$. Hence $d_{2,0}^2(1)$ is a generator of $H_1(V_0;\mathbb{Z})$, so that $d_{2,0}^2$ is surjective and $H_1(Y_0;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. □

Let $\Omega_{Y_0}^*/\tau_{Y_0}^*$ be the quotient of the complex $\Omega_{Y_0}^*$ by the subcomplex of torsion differentials (i.e. those sections supported on $(Y_0)_{\text{sing}}$). Here $\Omega_{Y_0}^* = \bigwedge^* \Omega_{Y_0}^1$, where $\Omega_{Y_0}^1$ is the sheaf of Kähler differentials on Y_0. By [4, (1.5)], we have the following:

Lemma 2.16. (i) *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \Omega_{Y_0}^1/\tau_{Y_0}^1 \to (a_0)_*\left(\bigoplus_i \Omega_{V_i}^1\right) \to (a_1)_*\left(\bigoplus_{i<j} \Omega_{C_{ij}}^1\right) \to 0.$$

(ii) $\Omega_{Y_0}^2/\tau_{Y_0}^2 \cong (a_0)_*\left(\bigoplus_i \Omega_{V_i}^2\right)$. □

Corollary 2.17. (i) *With notation as in Lemma 2.13,* $H^0(Y_0;\Omega_{Y_0}^1/\tau_{Y_0}^1) = 0$, $H^1(Y_0;\Omega_{Y_0}^1/\tau_{Y_0}^1) \cong \operatorname{Ker} d$, *and* $H^2(Y_0;\Omega_{Y_0}^1/\tau_{Y_0}^1) \cong \operatorname{Coker} d$.
(ii) $H^0(Y_0;\Omega_{Y_0}^2/\tau_{Y_0}^2) = 0$, $H^1(Y_0;\Omega_{Y_0}^2/\tau_{Y_0}^2) \cong H^1(V_0;\Omega_{V_0}^2) \cong \mathbb{C}$, *and*

$$H^2(Y_0;\Omega_{Y_0}^2/\tau_{Y_0}^2) \cong \bigoplus_i H^2(V_i;\Omega_{V_i}^2) \cong \bigoplus_i H^4(V_i;\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^f. \quad \square$$

By [4, (1.5)], there is a spectral sequence with E_1 term $H^q(Y_0; \Omega^p_{Y_0}/\tau^p_{Y_0})$ converging to $H^{p+q}(Y_0; \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 2.18. *The above spectral sequence degenerates at E_1.*

Proof. The E_1 page looks like

$\mathbb{C}^f$		
$\mathbb{C}$		
$\operatorname{Ker} d$	$\operatorname{Coker} d$	
$\mathbb{C}$		

Comparing this with Lemma 2.13, we see that no differentials in the spectral sequence can ever be nonzero. □

A similar discussion handles the case of the complex $\Omega^*_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^*_{Y_0}$. We record for future reference the only cases we shall need:

Lemma 2.19. $H^0(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}) = 0$ *and* $H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}) \cong H^2(Y_0; \mathbb{C})/\bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[D_i]$. *Moreover* $H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}) \cong H^2(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0})$.

Proof. From the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0} \to \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0} \to \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{D_i} \to 0,$$

we get an exact sequence

$$H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}) = 0 \to H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}) \to \bigoplus_i H^0(\mathcal{O}_{D_i})$$
$$\to H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}) = \operatorname{Ker} d \to H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}) \to 0.$$

As the map $\bigoplus_i H^0(\mathcal{O}_{D_i}) \to \operatorname{Ker} d \subseteq \bigoplus_j H^2(V_j; \mathbb{C})$ is injective,

$$H^0(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}) = 0$$

and $H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}) \cong H^2(Y_0; \mathbb{C})/\bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[D_i]$. The last statement follows since $H^1(D_i; \mathcal{O}_{D_i}) = H^2(D_i; \mathcal{O}_{D_i}) = 0$. □

We turn next to limiting cohomology. We have the relative log complex $\Lambda^*_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta} = \Omega^*_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}(\log Y_0)$, and we denote the restriction of the relative log complex to Y_0 by $\Lambda^*_{Y_0}$. Note that, for $t \neq 0$, the restriction to the fiber Y_t is just $\Omega^*_{Y_t}$. The complex $\Lambda^*_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}(\log \mathcal{D}) = \Omega^*_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}(\log(Y_0 + \mathcal{D}))$ is defined in the

obvious way. Its restriction to Y_t for $t \neq 0$ is $\Omega^*_{Y_t}(\log D)$ and its restriction to Y_0 will be denoted by $\Lambda^*_{Y_0}(\log D)$. By [25] (see [21, Theorem 11.16]), the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(Y_0; \Lambda^*_{Y_0})$ may be identified with the cohomology of the canonical fiber $Y_\infty = \mathcal{Y} \times_{\Delta^*} \widetilde{\Delta^*}$, where Δ^* is the punctured unit disk and $\widetilde{\Delta^*}$ is its universal cover. It follows that the sheaves $\mathbb{R}^q\pi_*\Lambda^*_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}$ are locally free. Minor modifications of the above arguments show that $\mathbb{H}^*(Y_0; \Lambda^*_{Y_0}(\log D))$ may be identified with the cohomology of $Y_\infty - D_\infty = (\mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{D}) \times_{\Delta^*} \widetilde{\Delta^*}$ and hence that the sheaves $\mathbb{R}^q\pi_*\Lambda^*_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}(\log \mathcal{D})$ are locally free.

We compute the cohomology of the sheaves $\Lambda^*_{Y_0}$ and $\Lambda^*_{Y_0}(\log D)$ as follows.

Lemma 2.20. *$H^q(Y_0; \Lambda^p_{Y_0})$ and $H^q(Y_0; \Lambda^p_{Y_0}(\log D))$ are zero except in the following cases:*

(i) *$p = 0$, hence $\Lambda^0_{Y_0} = \Lambda^0_{Y_0}(\log D) = \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}$, and $q = 0$.*

(ii) *$p = q = 1$.*

(iii) *$p = 2$. In this case $\Lambda^2_{Y_0} = \omega_{Y_0} = \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}(-D)$ and $\Lambda^2_{Y_0}(\log D) = \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}$. Hence $H^2(Y_0; \Lambda^2_{Y_0}) \cong H^0(Y_0; \Lambda^2_{Y_0}(\log D)) \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $H^q(Y_0; \Lambda^2_{Y_0})$ and $H^q(Y_0; \Lambda^2_{Y_0}(\log D))$ are zero in all other cases.*

Proof. The cases $p = 0$, $p = 2$, and hence (i) and (iii), follow easily from Lemma 2.11.

To deal with the case $p = 1$, let us first show that $H^0(Y_0; \Lambda^1_{Y_0}) = 0$ (which is in fact already proved in [8, Lemma (2.7)]). Let K be the kernel of the natural morphism

$$(a_1)_* \left(\bigoplus_{i<j} \mathcal{O}_{C_{ij}} \right) \to (a_2)_* \left(\bigoplus_{i<j<k} \mathcal{O}_{p_{ijk}} \right).$$

By [21, p. 268] or [4, Proposition (3.5)], there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0} \to \Lambda^1_{Y_0} \to K \to 0.$$

Moreover $H^0(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}) = 0$ by Corollary 2.17. The argument on p. 108 of [4] then shows that $H^0(Y_0; \Lambda^1_{Y_0}) = 0$. By Serre duality, $H^2(Y_0; \Lambda^1_{Y_0}) = 0$ as well. This handles the case of $\Lambda^1_{Y_0}$.

Finally, we deal with $\Lambda^1_{Y_0}(\log D)$. First note that we have the usual Poincaré residue sequence

$$0 \to \Lambda^1_{Y_0} \to \Lambda^1_{Y_0}(\log D) \to \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{D_i} \to 0.$$

It follows that $H^2(Y_0;\Lambda^1_{Y_0}(\log D)) \cong H^2(Y_0;\Lambda^1_{Y_0}) = 0$. Moreover, since $H^0(Y_0;\Lambda^1_{Y_0}) = 0$, it suffices to prove that the coboundary map

$$\delta\colon \bigoplus_i H^0(\mathcal{O}_{D_i}) \to H^1(Y_0;\Lambda^1_{Y_0})$$

is injective.

As before, let $K = \mathrm{Ker}(a_1)_*\left(\bigoplus_{i<j}\mathcal{O}_{C_{ij}}\right) \to (a_2)_*\left(\bigoplus_{i<j<k}\mathcal{O}_{p_{ijk}}\right)$. It follows easily that $H^0(Y_0;K) \cong \mathbb{C}^{f-1}$ and that $H^1(Y_0;K) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Then there is a commutative diagram whose top row is exact

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(Y;K) & \longrightarrow & H^1(Y_0;\Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(Y_0;\Lambda^1_{Y_0}) \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{C}^{f-1} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_i H^1(V_i;\Omega^1_{V_i}) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \bigoplus_{i<j} H^1(C_{ij};\Omega^1_{C_{ij}}) & & \end{array}$$

The classes $[D_i]$ are linearly independent in $H^0(V_0;\Omega^1_{V_0})$ and map to 0 in $\bigoplus_{i<j} H^1(C_{ij};\Omega^1_{C_{ij}})$, since the D_i are disjoint from the double curves C_{ij}. Moreover, the image of $H^0(Y_0;K) \cong \mathbb{C}^{f-1}$ in $H^1(Y_0;\Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0})$ consists of the $\mathbb{C}$-span of the Chern classes of the f line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(V_i)\big|_{Y_0}$, subject to the relation

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(V_0)\big|_{Y_0}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(V_{f-1})\big|_{Y_0}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(Y_0)\big|_{Y_0} = \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}.$$

In particular, the image of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(V_i)\big|_{Y_0}$ in $H^1(V_j;\Omega^1_{V_j})$ is $[C_{ij}]$ if $i \neq j$ and $-[C_i]$ if $i = j$. Then by the same argument as before, the classes $[D_i]$ are linearly independent in $H^0(V_0;\Omega^1_{V_0})$ modulo the image of $H^0(Y;K)$. It follows that their images in $H^1(Y_0;\Lambda^1_{Y_0})$ are linearly independent. Thus, δ is injective. □

We then have:

Corollary 2.21. (i) *The spectral sequence whose E_1 term is $H^q(Y_0;\Lambda^p_{Y_0})$ and which converges to $\mathbb{H}^{p+q}(Y_0;\Lambda^*_{Y_0})$ degenerates at E_1.*

(ii) *The spectral sequence whose E_1 term is $H^q(Y_0;\Lambda^p_{Y_0}(\log D))$ and which converges to $\mathbb{H}^{p+q}(Y_0;\Lambda^*_{Y_0}(\log D))$ degenerates at E_1.*

(iii) *The sheaves $R^q\pi_*\Lambda^p_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}$ and $R^p\pi_*\Lambda^p_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}(\log \mathcal{D})$ are locally free, and are the successive quotients of a filtration of $\mathbb{R}^q\pi_*\Lambda^*_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}(\log \mathcal{D})$ by holomorphic subbundles.*

Proof. To see (i) and (ii), a glance at the E_1 pages of the spectral sequences shows that there are no nonzero differentials for any E_r, $r \geq 1$. The argument that (i) and (ii) $\implies$ (iii) is standard, given that the sheaves $\mathbb{R}^q\pi_*\Lambda^*_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}(\log \mathcal{D})$ are locally free (see for example the proof of [21, Corollary 11.24]). □

Corollary 2.22. *Let d be as in Lemma 2.13. The following are equivalent:*

(i) $\operatorname{Coker} d = 0$.

(ii) $H^2(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}) = 0$.

(ii)′ $H^2(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}(\log D)) = 0$.

(iii) *The image of the specialization map* $\mathrm{sp}_{\mathbb{C}}\colon H^2(Y_0; \mathbb{C}) \to H^2(Y_t; \mathbb{C})$ *has codimension one.*

(iii)′ *The image of the specialization map* $\mathrm{sp}_{\mathbb{C}}\colon H^2(Y_0 - D_0; \mathbb{C}) \to H^2(Y_t - D_t; \mathbb{C})$ *has codimension one.*

(iv) *The specialization map* $\mathrm{sp}_{\mathbb{C}}\colon H^2(Y_0; \mathbb{C}) \to H^2(Y_t; \mathbb{C})$ *is not surjective.*

(iv)′ *The specialization map* $\mathrm{sp}_{\mathbb{C}}\colon H^2(Y_0 - D_0; \mathbb{C}) \to H^2(Y_t - D_t; \mathbb{C})$ *is not surjective.*

Proof. (i) $\iff$ (ii) follows from Corollary 2.17. The equivalence (i) $\iff$ (ii)′ follows from the last statement of Lemma 2.19. Next, we have an exact sequence defined in the proof of Lemma 2.20:

$$H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}) \to H^1(Y_0; \Lambda^1_{Y_0}) \to H^1(Y_0; K) \to H^2(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}) \to 0,$$

using the fact that $H^1(Y_0; \Lambda^1_{Y_0}) = 0$. Moreover $\dim H^1(Y_0; K) = 1$, again by the proof of Lemma 2.20. Thus $H^2(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}) = 0 \iff$ the homomorphism $H^1(Y_0; \Lambda^1_{Y_0}) \to H^1(Y_0; K)$ is surjective $\iff$ the image of the homomorphism $H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}) \to H^1(Y_0; \Lambda^1_{Y_0})$ has codimension one $\iff$ the homomorphism $H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}) \to H^1(Y_0; \Lambda^1_{Y_0})$ is not surjective. Finally, $H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}) \cong H^2(Y_0; \mathbb{C})$ and $H^1(Y_0; \Lambda^1_{Y_0}) \cong H^2(Y_t; \mathbb{C})$, and it is easy to identify the homomorphism $H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}) \to H^1(Y_0; \Lambda^1_{Y_0})$ with the specialization map (compare [21, §11.3]). This proves the equivalence of (ii) with (iii) and (iv), and the equivalence of (ii)′ with (iii)′ and (iv)′ is similar. □

2.4 Smoothings of Type III pairs

Let (Y_0, D) be a d-semistable Type III anticanonical pair. For simplicity, we shall just consider the case $r(D) > 1$ in what follows, i.e. all components D_i of D are smooth. The case where D is irreducible is handled by minor modifications of the arguments. Let $D_i^2 = -d_i$. The tangent space for the deformation functor of Y_0, keeping the divisor D with normal crossings, is given by

$$\mathbb{T}^1_{Y_0}(-\log D) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D), \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}),$$

and there is the usual local to global exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to H^1(Y_0; T_{Y_0}(-\log D)) \to \mathbb{T}^1_{Y_0}(-\log D) \to H^0(Y_0; T^1_{Y_0}) \\ \to H^2(Y_0; T_{Y_0}(-\log D)) \to \mathbb{T}^2_{Y_0}(-\log D) \to H^1(Y_0; T^1_{Y_0}). \end{aligned}$$

The term $H^1(Y_0; T_{Y_0}(-\log D))$ is the tangent space to the functor of locally trivial deformations. By d-semistability, $T^1_{Y_0} \cong \mathcal{O}_{(Y_0)_{\mathrm{sing}}}$. The functor of locally trivial deformations is unobstructed, the map $\mathbb{T}^1_{Y_0}(-\log D) \to H^0(Y_0; T^1_{Y_0})$ is surjective, and the map $\mathbb{T}^2_{Y_0}(-\log D) \to H^1(Y_0; T^1_{Y_0})$ is an isomorphism because of the following:

Lemma 2.23. $H^2(Y_0; T_{Y_0}(-\log D)) = 0$.

Proof. By [4, (2.10)], $H^2(Y_0; T_{Y_0}(-\log D))$ is Serre dual to

$$H^0(Y_0; (\Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}) \otimes \omega_{Y_0}) = H^0(Y_0; (\Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0})(-D)).$$

But $H^0(Y_0; (\Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0})(-D)) \subseteq H^0(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0})$, and

$$H^0(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}) = 0$$

by Lemma 2.19. □

Note that the long exact Ext sequence associated to the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^1_{Y_0} \to \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D) \to \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{D_i} \to 0$$

gives the usual sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \bigoplus_i H^0(D_i; N_{D_i/Y_0}) \to \mathbb{T}^1_{Y_0}(-\log D) \to \mathbb{T}^1_{Y_0} \to \\ \to \bigoplus_i H^1(D_i; N_{D_i/Y_0}) \to \mathbb{T}^2_{Y_0}(-\log D) \to \mathbb{T}^2_{Y_0} \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $\mathbb{T}^2_{Y_0}(-\log D) \to \mathbb{T}^2_{Y_0}$ is an isomorphism, as both are identified with $H^1(Y_0; T^1_{Y_0})$.

Fixing a nowhere zero section ξ of $H^0(Y_0; T^1_{Y_0})$, Lie bracket defines a surjective homomorphism $T_{Y_0}(-\log D) \to T^1_{Y_0}$ whose kernel we denote by $S_{Y_0}(-\log D)$. By the proof of [4, (4.4)], the dual $(S_{Y_0}(-\log D))^\vee$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda^1_{Y_0}(\log D)$, which can be defined independently of the existence of a smoothing of Y_0 or of the pair (Y_0, D).

Lemma 2.24. (i) *In the above notation,* $H^2(Y_0; S_{Y_0}(-\log D)) = 0$.

(ii) *There is an exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(Y_0; T^1_{Y_0}) \cong \mathbb{C} \to H^1(Y_0; S_{Y_0}(-\log D)) \to H^1(Y_0; T_{Y_0}(-\log D)) \\ \to H^1(Y_0; T^1_{Y_0}) \cong H^1((Y_0)_{\mathrm{sing}}; \mathcal{O}_{(Y_0)_{\mathrm{sing}}}) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *The image of* $H^1(Y_0; S_{Y_0}(-\log D))$ *in* $H^1(Y_0; T_{Y_0}(-\log D))$ *is the tangent space to the locally trivial deformations of* (Y_0, D) *which are* d*-semistable.*

(iv) *The image of* $H^1(Y_0; S_{Y_0}(-\log D))$ *in* $H^1(Y_0; T_{Y_0}(-\log D))$ *has codimension* $f - 1$.

(v) *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \to H^1(Y_0; S_{Y_0}(-\log D)) \to H^1(Y_0; S_{Y_0}) \to \bigoplus_i H^1(D_i; N_{D_i/Y_0}) \to 0,$$

and hence the image of $H^1(Y_0; S_{Y_0}(-\log D))$ *in* $H^1(Y_0; S_{Y_0})$ *has codimension* $\sum_i (d_i - 1)$.

Proof. (i) By Serre duality,

$$H^2(Y_0; S_{Y_0}(-\log D))^\vee \cong H^0(Y_0; (S_{Y_0}(-\log D))^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}(-D)).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(Y_0; (S_{Y_0}(-\log D))^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}(-D)) &\cong H^0(Y_0; \Lambda^1_{Y_0}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}(-D)) \\ &\subseteq H^0(Y_0; \Lambda^1_{Y_0}(\log D)). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.20, $H^0(Y_0; \Lambda^1_{Y_0}(\log D)) = 0$, hence $H^2(Y_0; S_{Y_0}(-\log D)) = 0$ as well. The exact sequence in (ii) is then the long exact cohomology sequence associated to

$$0 \to S_{Y_0}(-\log D) \to T_{Y_0}(-\log D) \to T^1_{Y_0} \to 0.$$

(iii) This follows from [4, (4.5)].

(iv) The codimension in question is

$$h^1(Y_0, T^1_{Y_0}) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{(Y_0)_{\mathrm{sing}}}) = \dim \mathrm{Pic}(Y_0)_{\mathrm{sing}}.$$

The proof that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{(Y_0)_{\mathrm{sing}}}) = f - 1$ follows easily from the identities $2e = 3v$ and $v - e + f = 2$ [9, p. 25].

(v) The exact sequence in the first statement of (v) follows by taking the cohomology long exact sequence associated to

$$0 \to S_{Y_0}(-\log D) \to S_{Y_0} \to \bigoplus_i N_{D_i/Y_0} \to 0$$

and using (i). The final statement follows since N_{D_i/Y_0} is a line bundle on D_i of degree $-d_i < 0$. □

We now analyze the smoothings of the pair (Y_0, D), following the method of [4] (although we could also use the arguments of [13]).

Theorem 2.25. *Let (Y_0, D) be a d-semistable Type III anticanonical pair. Then the pair (Y_0, D) is smoothable. More precisely, there is a unique smoothing component $(X, 0)$ of the locally semi-universal deformation of the pair (Y_0, D), and moreover:*

(i) *X is smooth and the discriminant locus in X is a smooth hypersurface.*

(ii) $\dim X = \dim \mathbb{T}^1_{Y_0}(-\log D) - f + 1$.

(iii) *Given a germ of a map of the disk $(\Delta, 0)$ to $(X, 0)$, the pulled back family $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta$ is a Type III degeneration of anticanonical pairs, i.e. the total space is smooth $\iff$ the map $\Delta \to X$ is transverse to the discriminant locus.*

Proof. By the arguments of [4, (5.10)], there is a germ of a smoothing component $(\widehat{X}, 0)$ of the surface Y_0. Here 0 corresponds to the surface Y_0, $\widehat{X}$ is smooth at 0 and its tangent space at 0 is given by the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{C} \to H^1(Y_0; S_{Y_0}) \to T_{\widehat{X},0} \to H^0(Y_0; T^1_{Y_0}) \cong \mathbb{C} \to 0.$$

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \to \widehat{X}$ be the corresponding family. For each component D_i of D, consider the component $\mathcal{Z}_i$ of the relative Hilbert-Douady scheme of curves in $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ containing D_i. We have the following exact sequence for the normal bundle of D_i in $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$:

$$0 \to N_{D_i/Y_0} \to N_{D_i/\widehat{\mathcal{X}}} \to \mathcal{O}_{D_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T_{\widehat{X},0} \to 0.$$

Hence, taking Euler characteristics, we have

$$\chi(Y_0; N_{D_i/\widehat{\mathcal{X}}}) = \chi(Y_0; N_{D_i/Y_0}) + \dim \widehat{X} = \dim \widehat{X} - d_i + 1.$$

The dimension of $\mathcal{Z}_i$ at the point corresponding to D_i is at least $\chi(Y_0; N_{D_i/\widehat{\mathcal{X}}})$. Since $D_i^2 < 0$, the corresponding Hilbert scheme over a point x of $\widehat{X}$ is either empty or smooth of dimension zero. It follows that (as germs) $\mathcal{Z}_i$ can be identified with its image $Z_i \subseteq \widehat{X}$, and that Z_i is a smooth submanifold of $\widehat{X}$ of codimension at most $d_i - 1$. Setting $X = \bigcap_i Z_i$, X is a subspace of $\widehat{X}$ and every component of X has codimension at most $\sum_i (d_i - 1)$. On the other hand, clearly the Zariski tangent space of X is contained in the subspace T' of $T_{\widehat{X},0}$ where the normal crossings divisor $D = \sum_i D_i$ deforms to first order. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{C} \to H^1(Y_0; S_{Y_0}(-\log D)) \to T' \to H^0(Y_0; T^1_{Y_0}) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Comparing the above sequence with that in the first paragraph of the proof for $T_{\widehat{X},0}$ and using Lemma 2.24, we see that the codimension of T' in $T_{\widehat{X},0}$ is either $\sum_i (d_i - 1)$ or $\sum_i (d_i - 1) + 1$, and the first case arises $\iff$ the map $T' \to H^1(Y_0; T^1_{Y_0})$ is surjective. By the discussion on X above, we see that X is smooth, $\dim X = \dim \widehat{X} - \sum_i (d_i - 1)$, $T_{X,0} = T'$, and the homomorphism $T_{X,0} \to H^0(Y_0; T^1_{Y_0})$ is surjective. The proofs of the remaining statements of the theorem are then straightforward. □

3 Monodromy

3.1 A formula for the monodromy

We keep the notation of the previous section: $\pi\colon (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta$ is a Type III degeneration of anticanonical pairs. Let $(Y, D) = (Y_t, D_t)$ be a general fiber of π. Then the monodromy diffeomorphism of the family acts on $H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$, $H_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z})$, and on $\overline{H}_2(Y - D, \partial)$. Our goal is to analyze this action, primarily on $H_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z})$.

We begin with a discussion of the topology of $\mathcal{Y}$. Let $c\colon Y = Y_t \to Y_0$ be the Clemens collapsing map. For each triple point $p \in Y_0$, $c^{-1}(p) = \tau_p$ is a 2-torus in Y. The argument of [9, Lemma (1.9)] shows that, for every p and q, the 2-tori τ_p and τ_q are homologous in $Y - D$ (up to sign). Denote this common homology class (well-defined up to sign) by τ. Next, let $\alpha = \alpha^k_{ij}$ be a (real) simple closed curve in a double curve C_{ij} simply enclosing the triple point $p = p_{ijk}$; we can assume that α is contained in a small disk around

p. An examination of the local form for c (see for example [20, §2.2]) shows that $c^{-1}(\alpha) = \tau_p$ is homologous to τ.

Explicitly, there exist local analytic coordinates centered in a ball of radius s at p such that, for $|t| \ll s$, π is given by $xyz = t$. We may model the collapsing map c as follows: Given (x, y, z) such that $xyz = t$, let $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3_{\geq 0}$ be the unique point such that $abc = 0$ and $(a, b, c) = (|x|, |y|, |z|) - (k, k, k)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the Clemens collapse is

$$c(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{ax}{|x|}, \frac{by}{|y|}, \frac{cz}{|z|}\right).$$

Thus,

$$\tau_p = c^{-1}(0, 0, 0) = \{(x, y, z) \colon |x| = |y| = |z| = |t|^{1/3}\}.$$

Restrict (x, y, z) to lie in the polydisk $|x|, |y|, |z| \leq s$. There is a topologically trivial two-torus fibration $(x, y, z) \mapsto (|x|, |y|, |z|)$ whose image is a two-simplex σ_p and whose fibers are homologous to τ_p. The curve α can be taken to be $(0, 0, re^{i\theta})$ and thus there is some $r' > 0$ such that

$$c^{-1}(\alpha) = \{(x, y, z) \colon |x| = |y| = r', |z| = |t|/(r')^2\}.$$

Hence $c^{-1}(\alpha)$ and τ_p are homologous.

Next suppose that $\alpha_{0j} \subseteq C_{0j}$ is a loop around a triple point contained in V_0. Then $c^{-1}(\alpha_{0j}) = c^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha}_{0j})$, where $\tilde{\alpha}_{0j} \subseteq V_0$ is the tube over α_{0j} in $V_0 - C_0 - D$. By the explicit description of the topology of V_0 (see [12, §4]), $\tilde{\alpha}_{0j}$ is equal to γ, where $\gamma \subseteq V_0 - C_0 - D$ is the tube over a simple closed curve in D_i enclosing a double point as in Section 1. Identifying the 2-torus γ in V_0 with $c^{-1}(\gamma)$ in Y, we see that, in the above notation, τ and $c^{-1}(\alpha_{0j})$ are homologous to γ. In particular, they are homologous to 0 in $H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$, but are nontrivial in $H_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z})$.

Similarly, for i arbitrary (possibly 0), if α_{ij} is a loop in a double curve C_{ij} simply enclosing the triple point p_{ijk}, then we can define the class $\tau \in H_2(V_i - C_i; \mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 3.1. *With notation as in Section 1,*

(i) *Let T be the action of monodromy on $H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$, $H_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z})$, or $\overline{H}_2(Y - D, \partial)$. Then T is unipotent and, if $N = T - \mathrm{Id}$, then $N^2 = 0$.*

(ii) *For the action of T on $H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$, $T = \mathrm{Id}$ and $N = 0$.*

(iii) *There is a unique class $\lambda \in \Lambda^\vee$ such that, for all $x \in \overline{H}_2(Y - D; \mathbb{Z})$,*

$$N(x) = -(x \bullet \lambda)\gamma.$$

Moreover, $\lambda^2 = v$, where v is the number of triple points.

Proof. Since $\pi\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ is a degeneration with reduced normal crossings, general results (for example [1]) show that T is unipotent on $H_2(Y;\mathbb{Z})$. Since $T([D_i]) = [D_i]$, T induces a unipotent automorphism of Λ as well. For $H_2(Y - D;\mathbb{Z})$, T preserves the filtration

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}\gamma \to H_2(Y - D;\mathbb{Z}) \to \Lambda \to 0,$$

and is unipotent on the graded pieces (in fact it is Id on $\mathbb{Z}\gamma$) and hence is unipotent on $H_2(Y - D;\mathbb{Z})$. A similar argument handles $\overline{H}_2(Y - D, \partial)$. We will deal with the index of unipotency shortly.

We can then apply [1, Theorem 5.6] to conclude that $N = 0$ on $H^2(Y;\mathbb{Z})$. In that notation, $s = 0$ since the τ_p are homologous to 0 in $H^2(Y;\mathbb{Z})$, and the classes denoted by $\psi(\gamma')$ there are all of the form $c^{-1}(\alpha)$ for α a simple closed curve in a double curve C_{ij} simply enclosing a triple point p, hence as we have seen they are all homologous to 0 in our case. Thus $N = 0$.

It follows that the induced automorphism T of Λ is Id as well. Thus, for the action of T on $H_2(Y - D;\mathbb{Z})$, if $N = T - \mathrm{Id}$, then $\operatorname{Im} N \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\gamma$ and thus N is necessarily of the form $N(x) = -(x \bullet \lambda)\gamma$ for a unique class $\lambda \in \Lambda^\vee$ (with the understanding that $N(x)$ is defined for all $x \in H_2(Y - D;\mathbb{Z})$ via the homomorphism $H_2(Y - D;\mathbb{Z}) \to \Lambda$), and hence $N^2 = 0$.

We finally prove that $\lambda^2 = v$. The idea of the proof is similar to the argument of [9, Proposition (1.10)], but is complicated by the fact that we cannot simply consider N^2 because $N^2 = 0$. Instead, we will use the dual action of monodromy $\hat{T}$ on $\overline{H}_2(Y - D, \partial)$. Let $\hat{N} = \hat{T} - \mathrm{Id}$. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Lambda^\vee \to \overline{H}_2(Y - D, \partial) \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

Let $\hat{\gamma}$ denote an element of $\overline{H}_2(Y - D, \partial)$ which maps onto a generator of the quotient $\mathbb{Z}$ above, i.e. $(\gamma \bullet \hat{\gamma}) = 1$. By duality $\hat{N}(\Lambda^\vee) = 0$ and $\hat{N}(\hat{\gamma}) \in \Lambda^\vee$, so that $\hat{N}^2 = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. $\hat{N}(\hat{\gamma}) = \lambda \bmod \mathbb{Z}\gamma$.

Proof. Since monodromy is a diffeomorphism, for all $x \in H_2(Y - D)$ and for all $y \in \overline{H}_2(Y - D, \partial)$, we have

$$(Tx \bullet \hat{T}y) = (x \bullet y).$$

Using $T = \mathrm{Id} + N$ and $\hat{T} = \mathrm{Id} + \hat{N}$, we have

$$(x \bullet y) = (Tx \bullet \hat{T}y) = ((\mathrm{Id} + N)x \bullet (\mathrm{Id} + \hat{N})y),$$

and thus

$$(Nx \bullet y) + (x \bullet \hat{N}y) = -(Nx \bullet \hat{N}y) = 0,$$

since $Nx \in \mathbb{Z}\gamma$ is orthogonal to the image of $\hat{N}$. In particular, taking $y = \hat{\gamma}$, we see that, for all $x \in H_2(Y - D)$,

$$(Nx \bullet \hat{\gamma}) = -(x \bullet \lambda) = -(x \bullet \hat{N}\hat{\gamma}),$$

and hence $\hat{N}(\hat{\gamma}) = \lambda \bmod \mathbb{Z}\gamma$. □

Corollary 3.3. *If $\overline{N}$ denotes the map $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbb{Q}\gamma$ induced by N, then*

$$\overline{N} \circ \hat{N}(\hat{\gamma}) = -(\lambda^2)\gamma.$$

Proof. This is clear since, by definition, $\overline{N} \circ \hat{N}(\hat{\gamma}) = -(\lambda \bullet \lambda)\gamma$. □

To relate this to the number v of triple points, the essentially local arguments used in the proof of [1, Theorem 4.4] show:

Lemma 3.4. *For all $y \in \overline{H}_2(Y - D, \partial)$,*

$$\overline{N} \circ \hat{N}(y) = -v(\gamma \bullet y)\gamma. \quad \square$$

Combining Corollary 3.3 with Lemma 3.4, we see that

$$\overline{N} \circ \hat{N}(\hat{\gamma}) = -(\lambda^2)\gamma = -v(\gamma \bullet \hat{\gamma})\gamma = -v\gamma,$$

and hence $\lambda^2 = v$ as claimed. □

3.2 Further properties of λ

To say more about the class λ, we describe a geometric representative Σ for λ. For each double curve C_{ij}, choose a simple closed curve ℓ_{ij} connecting the two triple points p_{ijk}, $p_{ij\ell}$ lying in C_{ij}. Let C_{ij}^0 be the complement in C_{ij} of two small open disks enclosing the two triple points on C_{ij} and let $\ell_{ij}^0 = \ell_{ij} \cap C_{ij}^0$ be the result of truncating ℓ_{ij} near each of the triple points. Then $T_{ij} = c^{-1}(\ell_{ij}^0) = \ell_{ij}^0 \times f_{ij}$ is a cylinder, where f_{ij} is the fiber of the Clemens collapsing map over C_{ij}^0. Near the triple point p_{ijk} we have the local model $xyz = t$ and a torus fibration $(x, y, z) \to (|x|, |y|, |z|)$. As above, we choose an appropriate neighborhood of p_{ijk} so that the image of this torus fibration is a 2-simplex σ_p. The sum $[f_{ij}] + [f_{jk}] + [f_{ki}] = 0$ is zero in the homology of $\sigma_p \times \tau_p$—for instance,

$$f_{ij} = c^{-1}(\epsilon, 0, 0) = \{(x, y, z) \colon x = \epsilon',\ |y| = |z|\}$$
$$f_{ki} = c^{-1}(0, \epsilon, 0) = \{(x, y, z) \colon y = \epsilon',\ |x| = |z|\}$$
$$f_{jk} = c^{-1}(0, 0, \epsilon) = \{(x, y, z) \colon z = \epsilon',\ |x| = |y|\}$$



Figure 2: The cylinders T_{ij} and pairs of pants S_{ijk} that glue together to produce Σ.

are three representatives which sum to zero in $H_1(\sigma_p \times \tau_p; \mathbb{Z})$. Thus, there is a pair of pants S_{ijk} whose boundary is $\pm(f_{ij} + f_{jk} + f_{ki})$, depending on the choice of orientation. We may patch together the cylinders T_{ij} and the pairs of pants S_{ijk} to form a closed surface Σ in $Y_t - D_t$. See Figure 2.

Lemma 3.5. *The surface Σ is orientable.*

Proof. Fix a triple point $p = p_{ijk}$ and fix once and for all an orientation on τ_p. This orients the classes $\tau \in H_2(V_i - C_i)$, and similarly for $H_2(V_j - C_j)$ and $H_2(V_k - C_k)$. Using the fact that the dual complex $\Gamma(Y_0)$ is simply connected, it is easy to see that this determines a consistent orientation on τ_q for every triple point q. If α_{ij}^k is the boundary of the small disk on C_{ij} enclosing p_{ijk} counterclockwise (in the complex orientation on C_{ij}), then orient the fiber f_{ij}^k over $\alpha_{ij}^k \cap \ell_{ij}$ so that $\alpha_{ij}^k \times f_{ij}^k$ gives the natural orientation on τ_p. Choose the orientation on the surface S_{ijk} such that $\partial S_{ijk} = -(f_{ij}^k + f_{jk}^i + f_{ki}^j)$. For the other triple point $p_{ij\ell}$ on C_{ij}, note that $\alpha_{ij}^\ell = -\alpha_{ij}^k$ as homology classes in $H_1(C_{ij}^0)$, and hence $f_{ij}^\ell = -f_{ij}^k$. If T_{ij} is oriented so that $\partial T_{ij} = f_{ij}^k + f_{ij}^\ell$, then the orientations on the T_{ij} and S_{ijk} give a consistent orientation on Σ. $\square$

The homology class $[\Sigma]$ as described above is well-defined up to sign. But, if we choose a different pair of pants S_{ijk} whose boundary is $f_{ij} \cup f_{jk} \cup f_{ki}$ then $[\Sigma]$ changes by adding a multiple of $[\tau_p] = \gamma$. Hence $[\Sigma]$ is only naturally defined mod $\mathbb{Z}\gamma$.

As oriented 2-manifolds $T_{ij} = \ell^0_{ij} \times f^k_{ij}$, where ℓ^0_{ij} is oriented so that the endpoint is $\alpha^k_{ij} \cap \ell_{ij}$ and the starting point is $\alpha^\ell_{ij} \cap \ell_{ij}$. With these choices of orientation, $\ell^0_{ij} \bullet \alpha_{ij} = -1$ for the pairing $H_1(C^0_{ij}, \partial C^0_{ij}) \otimes H_1(C^0_{ij}) \to \mathbb{Z}$. This is independent of the superscript k, in the sense that if we replace p_{ijk} by the other triple point $p_{ij\ell}$ contained in C_{ij}, then both ℓ^0_{ij} and α_{ij} change orientation, so that $\ell^0_{ij} \bullet \alpha_{ij}$ is unchanged.

Lemma 3.6. *$[\Sigma] \cdot [\Sigma] = \lambda \cdot \lambda = v$ and $[\Sigma] \cap D_t = 0$. In particular, $[\Sigma] \neq 0$ in $H_2(Y_t - D_t; \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. First note that Σ is supported in a neighborhood of the singular locus of Y_0, and thus $\Sigma \cap D_t = 0$ since D_0 does not intersect the singular locus of Y_0. To compute $[\Sigma] \cdot [\Sigma]$, we sum local contributions to the intersection number. Each cylinder T_{ij} may be perturbed to a cylinder T'_{ij} by perturbing the path ℓ_{ij} to a path ℓ'_{ij} which only intersects ℓ_{ij} at the two triple points. Then $T_{ij} \cap T'_{ij} = 0$.

In the model of the Clemens collapse above, $c^{-1}(\alpha^k_{ij})$, $c^{-1}(\alpha^i_{jk})$, and $c^{-1}(\alpha^j_{ki})$ are three fibers of $\sigma_p \times \tau_p \to \sigma_p$ which map to the three center points of the edges of σ_p. Then f'_{ij}, f'_{jk}, and f'_{ki} are three circles in each of these three fibers, homologous to f_{ij}, f_{jk}, and f_{ki}. Let q and q' be two points in the interior of σ_p.

Let A_{ij}, A_{jk}, and A_{ki} be three cylinders which fiber over segments connecting q and the midpoints of the edges of σ_p, one boundary component of each cylinder being f_{ij}, f_{jk}, and f_{ki}. Then the union of the boundary components of A_{ij}, A_{jk}, and A_{ki} which are contained in the fiber over q is null-homologous in this fiber. Thus, there is a chain B_{ijk} supported in the fiber over q such that $\partial(B_{ijk} \cup A_{ij} \cup A_{jk} \cup A_{ki}) = -(f_{ij} + f_{jk} + f_{ki})$. In other words, we can replace the pair of pants S_{ijk} by $B_{ijk} \cup A_{ij} \cup A_{jk} \cup A_{ki}$.

Define A'_{ij}, A'_{jk}, A'_{ki}, and B'_{ijk} analogously to replace a pair of pants S'_{ijk} whose boundary is $-(f'_{ij} + f'_{jk} + f'_{ki})$. By choosing paths from q and q' to the midpoints appropriately, we can ensure that there is only one torus fiber in which the representatives of S_{ijk} and S'_{ijk} can intersect. Furthermore, we may assume that the two cylinders passing though this fiber are A_{ij} and A'_{jk}. Then, our two representatives of S_{ijk} and S'_{ijk} have exactly one positively oriented intersection. Hence, each pair of pants S_{ijk} contributes 1 to the self-intersection of $[\Sigma]$, and each cylinder T_{ij} contributes 0. Thus

$[\Sigma] \cdot [\Sigma] = v$. □

Proposition 3.7. $[\Sigma] \bmod \mathbb{Z}\gamma = \pm\lambda$.

Proof. Note that $[\Sigma] \in H_2(\mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{D}; \mathbb{Z})$ can be constructed for arbitrarily small t. Thus, $[\Sigma]$ is supported in an arbitrarily small tubular neighborhood of $\bigcup_{ij} \ell_{ij}$. But $\bigcup_{ij} \ell_{ij}$ has real dimension one. Hence the class $[\Sigma]$ in $H_2(\mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{D}; \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(Y_0 - D_0; \mathbb{Z})$ is zero. That is, $[\Sigma]$ is in the kernel of the specialization map $H_2(Y_t - D_t; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(Y_0 - D_0; \mathbb{Z})$.

Any element of $\mathrm{Im}(H^2(Y_0 - D_0; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^2(Y_t - D_t; \mathbb{Q}))$ pairs with $[\Sigma]$ to zero, since the specialization map preserves the pairing between homology and cohomology. Furthermore $[\Sigma] \neq 0$ in $H_2(Y_t - D_t, \mathbb{Q})$ by Lemma 3.6. By the equivalence of (iii)′ and (iv)′ of Corollary 2.22, $\mathrm{Im}(H^2(Y_0 - D_0; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^2(Y_t - D_t; \mathbb{Q}))$ has codimension one in $H^2(Y_t - D_t; \mathbb{Q})$, and hence

$$\mathrm{Im}(H^2(Y_0 - D_0; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^2(Y_t - D_t; \mathbb{Q})) = \{\alpha \colon \alpha([\Sigma]) = 0\}.$$

By definition, the T-invariant classes in $x \in \overline{H}_2(Y_t - D_t; \mathbb{Z})$ are those satisfying $x \bullet \lambda = 0$. Since the image of the specialization map on cohomology is contained in the set of T-invariant classes, we conclude that $\lambda = c[\Sigma] \bmod \mathbb{Z}\gamma$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Q}^*$. Since $\lambda \cdot \lambda = [\Sigma] \cdot [\Sigma] \neq 0$, we must have $c = \pm 1$. □

A priori $\lambda \in \Lambda^\vee$, but we may now conclude:

Corollary 3.8. *The class $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

Proof. Visibly, $\lambda = \pm[\Sigma]$ is the image of a class in $H_2(Y_t - D_t; \mathbb{Z})$. □

Proposition 3.9. *Let* $\mathrm{sp} \colon H^2(Y_0; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(Y_t; \mathbb{Z})$ *be the specialization map in cohomology.*

(i) $\mathrm{Coker}\,\mathrm{sp} \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) *In the notation of Lemma 2.13,* $\mathrm{Coker}\, d = 0$.

(iii) *An element $\alpha \in H^2(Y_t; \mathbb{Z})$ lifts to an element of $H^2(Y_0; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Pic}\, Y_0 \cong \mathrm{Pic}\, \mathcal{Y} \iff \alpha(\lambda) = 0 \iff \alpha \cdot \lambda = 0$, where in the last equality we identify $H_2(Y_t; \mathbb{Z})$ with $H^2(Y_t; \mathbb{Z})$ via Poincaré duality.*

Proof. (i) First we claim that $\mathrm{Coker}\,\mathrm{sp}$ is torsion free. Consider the Gysin spectral sequence for $\mathcal{Y} - Y_0 = \mathcal{Y}^*$, i.e. the Leray spectral sequence for the inclusion $i \colon \mathcal{Y}^* \to \mathcal{Y}$, with $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\mathcal{Y}; R^q i_* \mathbb{Z})$, converging to $H^*(\mathcal{Y}^*; \mathbb{Z})$. Its E_2 page is (all cohomology is with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients):

$\bigoplus_{i<j<k} H^0(p_{ijk})$				
$\bigoplus_{i<j} H^0(C_{ij})$	$\bigoplus_{i<j} H^1(C_{ij})$	$\bigoplus_{i<j} H^2(C_{ij})$		
$\bigoplus_i H^0(V_i)$	$\bigoplus_i H^1(V_i)$	$\bigoplus_i H^2(V_i)$	$\bigoplus_i H^3(V_i)$	$\bigoplus_i H^4(V_i)$
$H^0(\mathcal{Y})$	$H^1(\mathcal{Y})$	$H^2(\mathcal{Y})$	$H^3(\mathcal{Y})$	$H^4(\mathcal{Y})$

This yields an exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_i H^0(V_i;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^f \to H^2(\mathcal{Y};\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(\mathcal{Y}^*;\mathbb{Z})$$

and $H^2(\mathcal{Y}^*;\mathbb{Z})/\operatorname{Im}(H^2(\mathcal{Y};\mathbb{Z}))$ has a filtration with one quotient equal to $\operatorname{Ker}(\bigoplus_i H^1(V_i;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^3(\mathcal{Y};\mathbb{Z}))$ and the second contained in

$$\operatorname{Ker}\big(\bigoplus_{i,j} H^0(C_{ij};\mathbb{Z}) \to \bigoplus_i H^2(V_i;\mathbb{Z})\big).$$

Both $\bigoplus_i H^1(V_i;\mathbb{Z}) = H^1(V_0;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\bigoplus_{ij} H^0(C_{ij};\mathbb{Z})$ are torsion free. Thus $H^2(\mathcal{Y}^*;\mathbb{Z})/\operatorname{Im}(H^2(\mathcal{Y};\mathbb{Z}))$ is torsion free. By the Wang sequence, since $N = T - I = 0$ on $H^2(Y_t;\mathbb{Z})$, restriction to a fiber induces an isomorphism $H^2(\mathcal{Y}^*;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(Y_t;\mathbb{Z})$. As $H^2(\mathcal{Y};\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(Y_0;\mathbb{Z})$, the homomorphism $H^2(\mathcal{Y};\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(\mathcal{Y}^*;\mathbb{Z})$ can be identified with the specialization map $H^2(Y_0;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(Y_t;\mathbb{Z})$. Thus $\operatorname{Coker}\operatorname{sp} \cong H^2(\mathcal{Y}^*;\mathbb{Z})/\operatorname{Im}(H^2(\mathcal{Y};\mathbb{Z}))$ is torsion free.

The specialization map in cohomology is dual to the map $H_2(Y_t;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(Y_0;\mathbb{Z})$. Since $\lambda \in \operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{sp}\colon H_2(Y_t;\mathbb{Q}) \to H_2(Y_0;\mathbb{Q}))$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ since $\lambda^2 > 0$, we see that the kernel of $H_2(Y_t;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(Y_0;\mathbb{Z})$ has rank at least one. Dually, the rank of $\operatorname{Coker}\operatorname{sp}$ is at least one. Then $\operatorname{Coker}\operatorname{sp}$ has rank exactly one by Corollary 2.22, and hence $\operatorname{Coker}\operatorname{sp} \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) This follows immediately from Corollary 2.22.

(iii) By (i), the cokernel of $H^2(Y_0;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(Y_t;\mathbb{Z})$ is $\mathbb{Z}$, and in particular the image of $H^2(Y_0;\mathbb{Z})$ in $H^2(Y_t;\mathbb{Z})$ is a primitive sublattice of corank one containing

$$\{\alpha \in H^2(Y_t;\mathbb{Z}) : \alpha(\lambda) = 0\}.$$

Since $\{\alpha \in H^2(Y_t;\mathbb{Z}) : \alpha(\lambda) = 0\}$ is also primitive of corank one, it is equal to the image of $H^2(Y_0;\mathbb{Z})$ in $H^2(Y_t;\mathbb{Z})$, which is a restatement of (iii). □

Remark 3.10. The above shows that the local invariant cycle theorem holds for $H^2(Y_t - D_t)$, in fact over $\mathbb{Z}$: A class $x \in H^2(Y_t - D_t;\mathbb{Z})$ is in the image of $H^2(Y_0 - D_0;\mathbb{Z}) \iff N(x) = 0$. Note that this result fails for $H^2(Y_t)$ (even with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients).

The class λ has the following additional property, in the notation of Definition 1.3:

Proposition 3.11. *Viewing λ as an element of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$, for a unique choice of sign, $\pm\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 1.2, it suffices to show that (i) $\lambda \cdot \alpha \neq 0$ for all effective numerical exceptional curves α and that (ii) if α_1 and α_2 are two different effective numerical exceptional curves, then $\lambda \cdot [\alpha_1]$ and $\lambda \cdot [\alpha_2]$ have the same sign. To see (i), suppose that α is an effective numerical exceptional curve and that $\lambda \cdot \alpha = 0$. Note that the condition that α is effective is independent of $t \in \Delta^*$. By Proposition 3.9, there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$ such that $c_1(\mathcal{L}\big|_{Y_t}) = \alpha$ for $t \neq 0$. Thus $h^0(Y_t; \mathcal{L}\big|_{Y_t}) > 0$ for all $t \neq 0$, and hence by semicontinuity $h^0(Y_0; \mathcal{L}\big|_{Y_0}) > 0$ and there is a nonzero section s of $\mathcal{L}$. Choose a such a nonzero section s. After removing all possible components of Y_0 from the divisor (s) of zeroes of s on $\mathcal{Y}$, we can assume that (s) is flat over Δ, i.e. all fibers of $\pi\big|_{(s)}$ have dimension one. Restricting to Y_0, there exists an effective Cartier divisor C on Y_0 such that $C \cdot D = 1$. But every such divisor C is a sum of the form $\sum_i n_i D_i$, where $n_i \geq 0$, plus components disjoint from D. Since $D \cdot D_i \leq 0$ for every i, this is impossible.

The proof of (ii) is similar: If α_1 and α_2 are two different effective numerical exceptional curves such that $\lambda \cdot [\alpha_1]$ and $\lambda \cdot [\alpha_2]$ have opposite signs, then there exist positive integers n and m such that $\lambda \cdot (n\alpha_1 + m\alpha_2) = 0$. Arguing as in the proof of (i), there exists an effective Cartier divisor C on Y_0 such that $C \cdot D = n + m > 0$, and we reach a contradiction as before. □

Remark 3.12. In the above proof, it is crucial that $H^1(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) = 0$ so that $\operatorname{Pic} Y_0 \cong \operatorname{Pic} \mathcal{Y} \cong H^2(Y_0; \mathbb{Z})$.

From now on, by convention, we fix the orientation on D so that $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$.

Definition 3.13. If $\pi\colon (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta$ is a Type III degeneration, we define the *monodromy invariant of* $\mathcal{Y}$ to be the class $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}} \cap \Lambda$ modulo the action of $\Gamma(Y, D)$, the group of admissible isometries. The monodromy invariant only depends on the central fiber (Y_0, D), and so we shall also use the above to define the monodromy invariant of a d-semistable Type III anticanonical pair.

A Type III degeneration is *of $\mathbb{Q}$-type λ* if its monodromy invariant is of the form $r\lambda$, $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$. The $\mathbb{Q}$-type only depends on the family $(\mathcal{Y}^*, \mathcal{D}^*) \to \Delta^*$ and is preserved by base change. In particular, let $\overline{\pi}\colon (\overline{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta$ a one parameter family whose central fiber is the contraction of the cusp D' in

V_0 and whose general fiber has only RDP singularities. By the argument of [8, Theorem 2.5], possibly after a base change, the family $\overline{\pi}\colon (\overline{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta$ is birational to a Type III degeneration $\pi\colon (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta$ via a birational isomorphism which is a minimal resolution on the fibers away from 0. Thus, it makes sense to say $\overline{\pi}\colon \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \to \Delta$ is of $\mathbb{Q}$-type λ.

3.3 A symplectic description of monodromy

In this section we describe the monodromy of $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ in terms of the Lagrangian torus fibration over $\Gamma(Y_0)\backslash\{v_0\}$.

Proposition 3.14. *Suppose that Y_0 is generic as in Definition 2.8. Let*

$$\mu\colon (X, D, \omega) \to \Gamma(Y_0)$$

be the map constructed in Proposition 2.10. There is a diffeomorphism $\phi\colon (X, D) \to (Y_t, D_t)$ such that:

(i) $\phi(D_i) = D_i$,

(ii) $\phi_*([\mu^{-1}(p)]) = \gamma$, *and*

(iii) $\phi_*([\omega]) = \pm\lambda \mod \mathbb{Z}\gamma$.

Proof. We remark that (ii) is vacuous unless we restrict ϕ to $X - D$. First, we construct the diffeomorphism ϕ. Let $B = \Gamma(Y_0) - \{v_0\}$ and let B_0 denote the non-singular locus of B where the fibers of μ are smooth. Then $\mu^{-1}(B_0)$ is the quotient of the symplectic manifold T^*B_0 by a lattice $T^*_{\mathbb{Z}}B_0 \subset T^*B_0$ of Lagrangian sections of $T^*B_0 \to B_0$:

$$\mu^{-1}(B_0) \cong T^*_{\mathbb{Z}}B_0\backslash T^*B_0.$$

Consider the intersection complex $\Gamma(Y_0)^\vee$ of Y_0, which has a face for each surface V_i, an edge for each double curve C_{ij}, and a trivalent vertex for each triple point p_{ijk}. There is diffeomorphism from $\Gamma(Y_0)^\vee$ into the dual complex $\Gamma(Y_0)$ such that:

1. The image of a vertex of $\Gamma(Y_0)^\vee$ lies in the associated triangle of $\Gamma(Y_0)$.
2. The image of an edge of $\Gamma(Y_0)^\vee$ crosses the associated edge of $\Gamma(Y_0)$.
3. The image of a face of $\Gamma(Y_0)^\vee$ contains the associated vertex of $\Gamma(Y_0)$.

See Figure 1 for example. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$H_1(X_b;\mathbb{Z}) \cong T^*_{\mathbb{Z},b}B_0 \subset T^*_b B_0.$$

Let $e^\vee_{ij}$ be an edge in the one-skeleton of $\Gamma(Y_0)^\vee$. It intersects the associated edge e_{ij} in the one-skeleton of $\Gamma(Y_0)$ at one point $b \in B_0$. Up to sign, there is a unique primitive co-vector $\eta_{ij} \in T^*_{\mathbb{Z},b}B_0 \subset T^*_b B_0$ which vanishes on the edge e_{ij}. The three cycles η_{ij}, η_{jk}, and η_{ki} mutually form bases of $H_1(X_b,\mathbb{Z})$ because f_{ijk} is a basis triangle. Let C_{ij}, C_{ik}, and $C_{i\ell}$ be three adjacent double curves on V_i. Then by construction of the integral-affine structure on $\Gamma(Y_0)$, we have $\eta_{ij} + \eta_{i\ell} = d_{ik}\eta_{ik}$, where $d_{ik} = -C^2_{ik}$. For $i \neq 0$ let

$$\mu_i \colon (V_i, C_i, \omega_i) \to B_i$$

be an arbitrary almost toric fibration of (V_i, C_i) and for $i = 0$ let μ_0 denote the composition of the two-torus fibration of V_0 over an annulus with the map which collapses the image of D to a point. Then for all i, the components of C_i fiber over the components of the boundary of B_i.

Let F_i be the interior of a face of $\Gamma(Y_0)^\vee$ and consider

$$\mu\big|_{F_i} \colon \mu^{-1}(F_i) \to F_i.$$

Suppose $i \neq 0$. We claim there is a fiber-preserving diffeomorphism between $\mu\big|_{F_i}$ and $\mu_i\big|_{\mathrm{int}(B_i)}$. First note that the vanishing cycles η'_{ij} associated to a component $C_{ij} \subset C_i$ in an almost toric fibration of (V_i, C_i) also satisfy the equation

$$\eta'_{ij} + \eta'_{i\ell} = d_{ik}\eta'_{ik}.$$

Thus, the monodromy of μ_i restricted to a neighborhood of ∂B_i is the same as the monodromy of μ restricted to a neighborhood of ∂F_i. Thus, there is a diffeomorphism between $\mu\big|_{F_i}$ and $\mu_i\big|_{\mathrm{int}(B_i)}$ in a neighborhood of their boundaries. We may furthermore assume that the boundary components of F_i and B_i are identified and that in a neighborhood of a boundary component, η_{ij} and η'_{ij} are identified by the diffeomorphism.

As there is either zero or one irreducible nodal fiber of both μ_i and $\mu\big|_{F_i}$, depending on whether $Q(V_i, C_i) = 0$ or 1, we can extend this diffeomorphism to one between all of $\mu\big|_{F_i}$ and $\mu_i\big|_{\mathrm{int}(B_i)}$. Note that these fibrations are smoothly equivalent, but not necessarily equivalent as Lagrangian torus fibrations. Also, note that $\mu\big|_{F_0}$ and μ_0 are diffeomorphic as they both give the 2-torus fibration on $V_0 - C_0$, and the cycle D is sent to itself.

We now construct a torus fibration in a neighborhood $U \subset Y_t$ of $(Y_0)_{\mathrm{sing}}$ as follows—in a neighborhood of a triple point $p \in Y_0$, we use the model

$\sigma_p \times \tau_p \to \tau_p$ defined above. Near the degeneration to a double curve of Y_0, we have a local model defined by $xy = t$. Furthermore, we may choose a third coordinate z which restricts on the double locus $xy = 0$ to a global coordinate on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (|x|, |y|, |z|)$$

defines a 2-torus fibration on $xy = t$ whose fibers near $z = 0$ and $z = \infty$ are homologous to the fibers of the torus fibration near a triple point. Let $\pi : U \to V$ be this two-torus fibration. Then V is diffeomorphic to a tubular neighborhood of the 1-skeleton of $\Gamma(Y_0)^\vee$, and we may further assume that $c^{-1}((Y_0)_{\text{sing}})$ fibers over the 1-skeleton of $\Gamma(Y_0)^\vee$. The class η'_{ij} defined as the vanishing cycle of C_i in the almost toric fibration μ_i is identified in $c^{-1}(V_i) \cap U$ with the class of $c^{-1}(p_{ij})$ for some generic point $p_{ij} \in C_{ij}$.

Thus, we see that Y_t is the fiber connect sum of the $\mu_i\big|_{\text{int}(B_i)}$, in which η'_{ij} is identified with η'_{ji}. Since $\mu\colon (X, D, \omega) \to \Gamma(Y_0)$ is the fiber connect sum of the $\mu\big|_{F_i}$ in which η_{ij} and η_{ji} are identified, and we have fiber-preserving diffeomorphisms from $\mu_i\big|_{\text{int}(B_i)}$ to $\mu\big|_{F_i}$ which identify η_{ij} with η'_{ij}, we conclude that there is a diffeomorphism $\phi\colon (X, D) \to (Y_t, D_t)$. The cycle D is sent to D_t by construction, thus property 1 is satisfied. Property 2 also follows immediately from construction.

Finally, we show $\phi_*([\omega]) := (\phi^{-1})^*([\omega]) = \pm\lambda \bmod \mathbb{Z}\gamma$, in the sense that

$$\phi_*([\omega])(x) = \lambda \bullet x$$

for any class $x \in H_2(Y_t - D_t; \mathbb{Z})$. First, we must have $\phi_*([\omega])(\gamma) = 0$. This is clear because the fibers of μ are Lagrangian. We henceforth elide ϕ_* and consider (X, D) and (Y_t, D_t) identified.

Proposition 3.9 implies that an element $x \in H_2(Y_0 - D, \partial)$ may be represented by a union of surfaces $S_i \subset V_i$, closed for $i \neq 0$, meeting the C_{ij} transversally and such that $[S_i] \cdot [C_{ij}] = [S_j] \cdot [C_{ji}] := n_{ij}$, and such that $\partial S_0 \subset \partial$. Choose S_i such that $S_i \cap C_{ij} = S_j \cap C_{ji}$ and no S_i contains a triple point of Y_0. Letting $S = \bigcup S_i$ we have that $c^{-1}(S)$ is a surface whose class in $H_2(Y_t - D_t, \partial)$ specializes to x. Let $A_{ij} \subset (X, D, \omega)$ denote a Lagrangian cylinder lying over the edge $e_{ij} \subset \Gamma(Y_0)^{[1]}$ in the one-skeleton of the dual complex. Denote its two boundary components by $U_{ij} \subset X_{v_i}$ and $U_{ji} \subset X_{v_j}$. Note that when X_{v_i} is singular, we may have $U_{ij} = \emptyset$, because U_{ij} could be the vanishing cycle of the node of X_{v_i}. We need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.15. *For $i \neq 0$ there is a (unique) class $[S_i] \in H_2(V_i; \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying*

$[S_i] \cdot [C_{ij}] = n_{ij}$ *if and only if*

$$\sum_j n_{ij}[U_{ij}] = 0 \in H_1(X_{v_i}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

For $i = 0$, there are no linear conditions on the n_{0j}.

Proof. When v_i is nonsingular, (V_i, C_i) is toric, and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to H_2(V_i; \mathbb{Z}) \to \bigoplus_j \mathbb{Z}C_{ij}^* \to \mathbb{Z}^2 \to 0$$

where the first map sends $x \mapsto (n_{ij})$ if $x \cdot C_{ij} = n_{ij}$ and the second map sends $(n_{ij}) \mapsto \sum_j n_{ij} v_{ij}$ where $v_{ij} = e_{ij}$ are the primitive integral vectors spanning the one-dimensional rays of the fan of (V_i, C_i) corresponding to the components C_{ij}. By the description of the Lagrangian cylinders A_{ij} given in Remark 2.9, the condition $\sum n_{ij} v_{ij} = 0$ exactly implies that the sum of the boundaries of $n_{ij}A_{ij}$ lying over v_i are null-homologous.

When v_i is singular and $i \neq 0$, we have $Q(V_i, C_i) = 1$. Let

$$\pi \colon (V_i, C_i) \to (\overline{V_i}, \overline{C}_i)$$

be an internal blow-down to a toric pair, if such a blowdown exists (the general case is easy to deduce from this case). The exceptional curve E meets a component C_{ij_0} such that e_{ij_0} lies in the monodromy-invariant line through v_i. Then every element of $H_2(V_i; \mathbb{Z})$ is of the form $x = nE + \pi^* \overline{x}$ for some $\overline{x} \in H_2(\overline{V_i}; \mathbb{Z})$. Let v_{ij} be the primitive integral vectors in the fan of $\overline{V_i}$. Then there is a class in $x \in H_2(V_i; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $x \cdot C_{ij} = n_{ij}$ if and only if $\sum_j n_{ij} v_{ij}$ lies in the line spanned by v_{ij_0}. Equivalently, if we deformed A_{ij} slightly so that their boundaries lay in a fiber near the fiber over v_i, we would have that $\sum n_{ij} A_{ij}$ is homologous to the circle which when transported along the monodromy-invariant line produces a Lagrangian cylinder. But this circle is exactly the vanishing cycle of the node in the fiber over v_i, and so $\sum_j n_{ij}[U_{ij}]$ is null-homologous in X_{v_i}.

Possibly scaling all the n_{ij} by a large integer, we may construct a class S_0 satisfying $[S_0] \cdot C_{0j} = n_{0j}$ because the components of $C_0 = D'$ span a negative-definite lattice. □

Thus, for $i \neq 0$ the boundary of $\sum n_{ij} A_{ij}$ is the boundary of a chain Z supported in $\bigcup X_{v_i}$. Note that $X_{v_0} = D$, so the boundary of A_{0i} lying over v_0 is contained in ∂. Then under the identification defined by ϕ, the cycle $-Z + \sum n_{ij} A_{ij}$ represents $[c^{-1}(S)]$ because each cylinder A_{ij} gets pinched

by the Clemens collapse to give an intersection point with C_{ij} and a class in $H_2(Y_0 - D, \partial)$ is uniquely determined by the numbers n_{ij}. Since the fibers and A_{ij} are Lagrangian, we conclude that

$$\int_{c^{-1}(S)} \omega = 0.$$

Consider the exact sequence

$$H_2(\partial) \to H_2(Y_t - D_t) \to H_2(Y_t - D_t, \partial)$$

from Section 1.1. While there is no pairing between $H^2(Y_t - D_t)$, which contains $[\omega]$, and $H_2(Y_t - D_t, \partial)$, which contains $[c^{-1}(S)]$, we may conclude that the monodromy-invariant classes in $H_2(Y_t - D_t)$ pair with $[\omega]$ to give zero, since these map to the monodromy-invariant classes in $H_2(Y_t - D_t, \partial)$. We remark that that $[\omega]$ pairs with $\mathbb{Z}\gamma$, the image of $H_2(\partial)$, to be zero.

Since the monodromy-fixed classes in $H_2(Y_t - D_t)$ are those that pair with λ to zero, we conclude $[\omega] = c\lambda \mod \gamma$ in the sense above, for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Finally, we note that

$$[\omega] \cdot [\omega] = 2 \cdot \text{Area}(\Gamma(Y_0)) = \#(\text{triple points of } Y_0) = \lambda \cdot \lambda.$$

Hence $c = \pm 1$. □

We may now strengthen Looijenga's conjecture to give a lower bound for the number of smoothing components of a cusp singularity:

Theorem 3.16. *The number of smoothing components of the cusp singularity p' with minimal resolution D' is greater than or equal to the number of deformation families of anticanonical pairs (X, D).*

Proof. The construction of [3] inputs an anticanonical pair (X, D) and outputs some Type III anticanonical pair Y_0 which is generic such that

$$\mu : (X, D, \omega) \to \Gamma(Y_0)$$

is the extension of a Lagrangian torus fibration as in Proposition 2.10, though ω is not kept track of in [3]. By Proposition 3.14, there is a diffeomorphism $(X, D) \to (Y_t, D_t)$ such that $\phi(D_i) = D_i$. By Theorem 5.14 of [7], the existence of a diffeomorphism between two anticanonical pairs preserving the classes $[D_i]$ implies that the pairs are deformation equivalent. Thus (Y_t, D_t) is deformation-equivalent to (X, D). Hence, we have constructed a semistable model of a smoothing of p' whose generic fiber is deformation-equivalent to (X, D). Furthermore, if two one-parameter smoothings have non-deformation equivalent generic fibers, they lie on different smoothing components. The theorem follows. □

The Type III anticanonical pair Y_0 in Theorem 3.16 is in fact reconstructed from μ by triangulating the base and inverting the procedure defined in Section 2.2 which constructs $\Gamma(Y_0)$ from Y_0. Essentially, Proposition 3.14 and the reconstruction procedure in [3] reduce the problem of finding a Type III degeneration $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ whose monodromy invariant is any given element of $\mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}} \cap \Lambda$ to finding an almost toric fibration $\mu : (X, D, \omega) \to S^2$ whose symplectic form has any given class in $\mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}} \cap \Lambda$. This requires a more delicate construction than in [3], and is the purpose of Section 5.

4 Birational modification and base change of Type III degenerations

In this section we collect various ways to modify a Type III degeneration $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$, and then describe effect of these modifications on the dual complex of the central fiber $\Gamma(Y_0)$, as an integral-affine surface.

Let $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ be a smooth rational curve in a smooth threefold $\mathcal{Y}$ whose normal bundle is $\mathcal{O}_C(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(-1)$. Then the exceptional locus of the blowup $\text{Bl}_C \mathcal{Y}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and we may blow down by contracting along the other ruling of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Such a birational modification is an example of a *flop*. Flops centered on the central fiber of $\mathcal{Y}$ are (rather confusingly) called Type 0, I, and II birational modifications depending on how they meet the double locus of Y_0:

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ be a Type III degeneration. A flop centered on $C \subset Y_0$ is called:

(i) A Type 0 modification if C does not intersect $(Y_0)_{\text{sing}}$. In this case, C is a smooth (-2)-curve on a component of Y_0 and C does not deform to the general fiber. (However, somewhat more general modifications are also allowed.)

(ii) A Type I modification if C intersects $(Y_0)_{\text{sing}}$ but is not contained in it. In this case, C is an exceptional curve on a component V_i of Y_0.

(iii) A Type II modification if C is contained in $(Y_0)_{\text{sing}}$. In this case, $C = C_{ij}$ is an exceptional curve on both components V_i and V_j which contain it.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ be a Type III degeneration, and let $C \subset Y_0$ be the center of a flop.*

(i) *A Type 0 modification does not change the isomorphism type of* Y_0.

(ii) *A Type I modification blows down* C *on the component* V_i *containing it and blows up the point* $C \cap V_j$ *on* V_j*, where* V_j *is the unique component of* Y_0 *such that* $C \cdot C_{ij} = 1$*. See Figure 3.*

(iii) *A Type II modification blows down* $C = C_{ij}$ *on the two components* V_i *and* V_j *containing it, and blows up the points* $C \cap V_k$ *and* $C \cap V_\ell$ *on the two remaining components* V_k *and* V_ℓ *which intersect* C*. See Figure 4.*

Proof. Since the components of Y_0 are locally smooth divisors in $\mathcal{Y}$, the blow-up and blow-down commute with restriction to the components of Y_0. The proposition follows. Note that the Type I modification is an internal blow-down on V_i and an internal blow-up on V_j while the Type II modification is a corner blow-down on V_i and V_j and a corner blow-up on V_k and V_ℓ. □



Figure 3: Two components of the central fiber before and after a Type I modification.

Next, we describe a standard resolution of an order k base change of a Type III degeneration which produces another Type III degeneration.



Figure 4: Four components of the central fiber before and after a Type II modification.



Figure 5: On the right, the components of the central fiber $Y_0[3]$ of the standard resolution $\mathcal{Y}[3] \to \Delta$ an order 3 base change.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ be a Type III degeneration. Consider the order k base change:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} \times_k \Delta & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta & \xrightarrow{t \mapsto t^k} & \Delta \end{array}$$

There is a standard resolution $\mathcal{Y}[k] \to \mathcal{Y} \times_k \Delta$, see Figure 5, such that $\mathcal{Y}[k] \to \Delta$ is a Type III degeneration. As an integral-affine manifold the dual complex of the central fiber $\Gamma(Y_0[k])$ is the subdivision of each triangle of $\Gamma(Y_0)$ into k^2 triangles in the standard manner, where each of the k^2 sub-triangles has the structure of a lattice triangle of area $\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. See [5] for proof of the first statement. The second claim then follows directly from the definition of the integral-affine structure on the dual complex, see Section 2.2. □

Remark 4.4. Note that Type 0, I, and II modifications do not change the monodromy invariant of the degeneration $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ because the punctured family $\mathcal{Y}^* \to \Delta^*$ is unaffected by the modifications. On the other hand, taking the base change $\mathcal{Y}[k] \to \Delta$ multiplies the monodromy invariant by k, because the base change $t \mapsto t^k$ is a k-fold covering of the punctured family.

We now examine the effect of the birational modifications on the dual complex. The Type II modification is simplest:

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{Y} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{Y}'$ be a Type II modification along the double curve $C_{ij} = V_i \cap V_j$. The edge e_{ij} of $\Gamma(Y_0)$ associated to C_{ij} is the diagonal of a quadrilateral (v_i, v_k, v_j, v_ℓ) integral-affine equivalent to a unit square. Furthermore $\Gamma(Y_0)$ and $\Gamma(Y_0')$ are isomorphic as integral-affine manifolds, but their triangulations differ: $\Gamma(Y_0')$ contains the other diagonal of this quadrilateral.*

Proof. Since $C_{ij}^2 = -1$, the directed edges of $\Gamma(Y_0)$ satisfy the equation

$$e_{ik} + e_{i\ell} = e_{ij}. \tag{1}$$

Eq. (1) implies the first statement because the integral-affine structure on (v_i, v_k, v_j, v_ℓ) is uniquely determined by C_{ij}^2 and the unit square satisfies Eq (1). The second statement follows from (iii) of Proposition 4.2: Switching the diagonal of the quadrilateral corresponds exactly to the changes in the self-intersection sequence of the four anticanonical pairs V_i, V_j, V_k, and V_ℓ involved in the Type II modification. □

Before we describe the effect of a Type I modification on $\Gamma(Y_0)$, we must introduce a surgery on integral-affine surfaces:

Definition 4.6. Let S_0 be an integral-affine surface and let $p \in S_0$ be an A_1 singularity. Parameterize a monodromy-invariant ray originating at p by a segment

$$\gamma\colon [0, \epsilon] \to S_0$$

where $\gamma(0) = p$. There is a family of integral-affine manifolds S_t for all $t \in [0, \epsilon]$ which are isomorphic to S_0 in the complement of $\gamma([0, t])$ and which have an A_1 singularity at $\gamma(t)$. We say that S_t is a *nodal slide* of S_0. See [26], Section 6.

Remark 4.7. It is shown in [26] that if the surfaces S_t are bases of almost toric fibrations $(X_t, \omega_t) \to S_t$, then (X_t, ω_t) are symplectomorphic. In particular, the class $[\omega_t]$ is constant under the Gauss-Manin connection.

To quantify the "amount" of nodal slide, we need a natural measure of the length of a straight line segment in an integral-affine manifold:

Definition 4.8. Let $L \subset S$ be a straight line segment with rational slope in an integral-affine surface S. The *lattice length* of L is the unique positive multiple r of a primitive integral vector v such that $rv = L$ in some chart.

We may now describe the effect of a Type I modification on $\Gamma(Y_0)$:

Proposition 4.9. *Let $\mathcal{Y} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{Y}'$ be a Type I modification along a curve C such that $C \cdot C_{ij} = 1$. Then $\Gamma(Y_0)$ and $\Gamma(Y_0')$ are isomorphic as simplicial complexes but the integral-affine structure on $\Gamma(Y_0')$ is the result of a nodal slide of lattice length 1 along the directed edge e_{ij} of $\Gamma(Y_0)$.*

Proof. The proof follows from Proposition 4.2(ii) and Proposition 2.10 of [3], which describes the effect of an internal blow-up on the pseudo-fan of an anticanonical pair. □

Remark 4.10. Let S_t for $t \in [0,1]$ denote the family of integral-affine surfaces in Proposition 4.9, so that $S_0 = \Gamma(Y_0)$ and $S_1 = \Gamma(Y_0')$. Then S_t has an A_1 singularity at t for all $t \in (0,1)$ but at $t = 0, 1$, this singularity may collide with an already existing singularity. In particular, p_i is singular for $t \neq 0$ if $Q(V_i', C_i') \geq 1$ and p_j is singular for $t \neq 1$ if $Q(V_j, C_j) \geq 1$. In these cases, the singularity at p_i or p_j *factors* into an A_1 singularity and the remaining singularity as one performs the nodal slide which extracts the A_1 singularity.

In light of Remark 4.10, we introduce the following definition:

Definition 4.11. Let p be an integral-affine surface singularity. A *factorization of p into A_1 singularities* is a collection of nodal slides of A_1 singularities which collide to form p.

Proposition 4.12. *Let $\mathfrak{F}(V, D)$ be the pseudo-fan of an anticanonical pair (V, D). Let $\pi\colon (V, D) \to (\overline{V}, \overline{D})$ be a toric model, that is, a blowdown of $Q(V, D)$ disjoint internal exceptional curves $\{E_i\}$. Then $(\overline{V}, \overline{D})$ is necessarily toric. Let $n_j := \#\{E_i \,\big|\, E_i \cdot D_j = 1\}$. Then $\mathfrak{F}(V, D)$ admits a factorization into A_1 singularities where n_j of the singularities have monodromy-invariant lines along the edge $e_j \subset \mathfrak{F}(V, D)$ associated to the component D_j.*

Proof. Proposition 2.10 of [3] gives a construction of $\mathfrak{F}(V, D)$ from $\mathfrak{F}(\overline{V}, \overline{D})$ which corresponds exactly to colliding an A_1 singularities with monodromy-invariant lines along an edges of $\mathfrak{F}(\overline{V}, \overline{D})$ associated to components of $\overline{D}$ receiving an internal blow-up. The Proposition follows. □

Example 4.13. The converse to Proposition 4.12 is false. That is, even if $\mathfrak{F}(V, D)$ has a factorization into A_1 singularities with monodromy-invariant lines along a collection of edges e_j, the pair (V, D) may not have a toric model with exceptional curves meeting the associated components D_j. For

instance, keeping track of the labeling, there are two deformation types of anticanonical pairs $(V, D_1 + \cdots + D_5)$ with

$$(D_i^2) = (1, -1-2, -2, -1).$$

One has disjoint exceptional curves meeting D_2 and D_4 and the other has disjoint exceptional curves meeting D_3 and D_5, but these two possibilities are mutually exclusive. For either deformation type, $\mathfrak{F}(V, D)$ is the same. Thus $\mathfrak{F}(V, D)$ admits two factorizations into A_1 singularities, only one of which comes from a toric model of (V, D).

5 Degenerations with given monodromy invariant

5.1 Construction of degenerations

We have most of the necessary tools to prove that any $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}} \cap \Lambda$ is the monodromy invariant of some Type III degeneration, but first we must introduce a surgery on integral-affine surfaces corresponding to an internal blow-up. Let $(X, D, \omega) \to B$ be an almost toric fibration. Then $P = \partial B$ is locally polygonal, and the components $D_i \subset D$ fiber over the edges $P_i \subset P$.

Definition 5.1. An *almost toric blow-up*, see [26] Section 5.4 or [3] Definition 3.3, is a surgery on B which produces a new integral-affine surface with singularities $\tilde{B}$ admitting an almost toric fibration

$$(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}, \tilde{\omega}) \to \tilde{B}.$$

To construct $\tilde{B}$, we remove a triangle, called the *surgery triangle*, in B resting on an edge P_i and glue the two remaining edges together by a matrix conjugate to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

at the expense of introducing an A_1 singularity at the interior vertex of the triangle, whose monodromy-invariant line is parallel to P_i. Then

$$\pi \colon (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \to (X, D)$$

is an interior blow-up at a point on D_i. The *size* b of the surgery is the lattice length of the base of the surgery triangle, and is the area of the exceptional curve with respect to $\tilde{\omega}$. In fact $[\tilde{\omega}] = \pi^*[\omega] - bE$ where E is the class of the exceptional curve. The exceptional curve fibers over a path connecting $\tilde{P}_i$ to the A_1 singularity introduced.

Definition 5.2. Let L be a straight line segment in a non-singular integral-affine surface S and let v be a primitive integral vector pointing along L. Suppose that $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to S$ is a path in S such that $\gamma(0) \in L$. The *lattice distance* (along γ) from $L \subset B$ to $\gamma(1)$ is the integral

$$\int_0^1 \gamma'(t) \times v \, dt.$$

Note that the cross product is preserved by integral-affine transformations, and thus the integral is well-defined. In fact, the lattice length is invariant if we deform the path within the nonsingular locus of B, so long as we fix $\gamma(1)$ and keep the condition $\gamma(0) \in L$. The lattice distance from the interior vertex of a surgery triangle to its base is necessarily equal to the lattice length of the base.

We begin with a theorem regarding the class of an *ample* divisor:

Theorem 5.3. *Let (X, D) be an anticanonical pair. Suppose $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}}$. There exists an almost toric fibration $(X, D, \omega) \to B$ such that $[\omega] = \lambda$.*

Proof. Suppose that $r(D) \geq 3$ and that $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}} \cap H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. By Proposition 1.5, we may express λ in the form

$$\lambda = \sum a_j [D_j] + \sum b_{ij} [E_{ij}]$$

with E_{ij} disjoint exceptional curves meeting D_j. Consider the blowdown

$$(X, D) \to (X_0, D_0)$$

of the curves $\{E_{ij}\}$. By Proposition 1.3 of [10], after some corner blow-ups $(X_1, D_1) \to (X_0, D_0)$ there is a toric model $(X_1, D_1) \to (\overline{X}, \overline{D})$. Since $D \to D_0$ is an isomorphism, we may perform the same sequence of corner blow-ups $\nu\colon (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \to (X, D)$ to produce a toric model

$$\pi\colon (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \to (\overline{X}, \overline{D})$$

which blows down the E_{ij} and possibly other internal exceptional curves.

Pulling back the expression for λ gives

$$\nu^* \lambda = \sum c_j [\tilde{D}_j] + \sum b_{ij} [E_{ij}]$$

for some constants c_j. For simplicity of notation, we index the components of $\tilde{D}$ such that $\nu(\tilde{D}_j) = D_j$. Then $c_j = a_j$ when $\tilde{D}_j$ is not one of the corner

blow-ups of ν. Furthermore, we identify $\nu^{-1}(E_{ij})$ with E_{ij}. Because λ is nef, we have $\lambda \cdot [E_{ij}] = c_j - b_{ij} \geq 0$. Now re-write the above expression as

$$\nu^*\lambda = \sum c_j \pi^*([\overline{D}_j]) + \sum (b_{ij} - c_j)[F_{ij}]$$

where $\{F_{ij}\} \supset \{E_{ij}\}$ is the set of exceptional curves blown down by π. We have $b_{ij} = 0$ exactly for those exceptional curves in $\{F_{ij}\} - \{E_{ij}\}$. The coefficients $b_{ij} - c_j$ are non-positive, and have absolute value less than or equal to c_j with equality if and only if the exceptional curve lies in $\{F_{ij}\} - \{E_{ij}\}$. We then have the following lemma, which proves Theorem 5.3 under a technical assumption which we will later remove.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}} \cap H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ and suppose $r(D) \geq 3$. In the notation above, suppose that $b_{ij} \neq 0$ for all F_{ij}. Then the conclusion of Theorem 5.3 holds for λ.*

Proof. Linearizing the action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ on the space of sections

$$H^0(\overline{X}; \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(\pi_*(\nu^*\lambda)))$$

gives a polytope $\overline{B}$ for $(\overline{X}, \overline{D})$ whose integral points correspond to torus equivariant sections. Note that $\sum c_j \overline{D}_j$ is a torus invariant divisor in the linear system of $\pi_*(\nu^*\lambda)$ because its vanishing locus is a linear combination of boundary components, so it corresponds to a lattice point $p \in \overline{B}$.

The moment map is a Lagrangian torus fibration

$$(\overline{X}, \overline{D}) \to \overline{B}$$

such that the components $\overline{D}_j$ fiber over the components $\overline{P}_j$. We will produce via almost toric blowups on $\overline{B}$ an almost toric fibration $(X, D, \omega) \to B$ such that $[\omega] = \lambda$. We must therefore find for all F_{ij} disjoint surgery triangles of size $m_{ij} := c_j - b_{ij}$ resting on the edge $\overline{P}_j$. To see why we can perform the necessary surgeries, we need the following facts:

> Fact 1: The point p has lattice distance c_j from the edge $\overline{P}_j$. This is a well-known formula in toric geometry.
>
> Fact 2: The lattice length of $\overline{P}_j$ is at least the sum of the lengths of the bases of all surgery triangles which must rest on $\overline{P}_j$.

Fact 2 follows from the ampleness of λ—we have the equation

$$\text{lattice length}(\overline{P}_j) = \pi_*(\nu^*\lambda) \cdot [\overline{D}_j] = \nu^*\lambda \cdot \tilde{D}_j + \sum_j m_{ij}$$

and thus the lattice length of $\overline{P}_j$ is at least $\sum_j m_{ij}$. By Fact 1, p is at least as distant as is necessary to perform the surgeries associated to $\{F_{ij}\}_i$ within the triangle formed by $\overline{P}_j$ and p—each surgery has size m_{ij}. By Fact 2, the length of $\overline{P}_j$ is large enough to accommodate all the surgeries.

Figure 6 illustrates a general method for finding the necessary triangles, though the figure is deceptive in two ways: (1) Two F_{ij} satisfy $b_{ij} = 0$ and correspondingly there are two surgery triangles which involve p, whereas by assumption of the lemma $b_{ij} \neq 0$ and (2) the edges of B will have nonzero lattice length after the surgeries because λ is ample. We will ultimately relax both the ampleness condition and the assumption that $b_{ij} \neq 0$.

Let $P = \partial B$. After surgeries, the lattice length of the edge $P_j \subset P$ over which D_j fibers is $\nu^*\lambda \cdot [\tilde{D}_j]$, which is positive, since $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}}$. Since each edge of B corresponding to one of the corner blow-ups in

$$\nu : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \to (X, D)$$

has length zero, we have that B is in fact the base of an almost toric fibration $(X, D, \omega) \to B$ such that $[\omega] = \lambda$. □

We must now remove the assumption that $b_{ij} \neq 0$. When some $b_{ij} = 0$, the proof of Lemma 5.4 fails because multiple surgery triangles may overlap at the vertex p. See for instance Figure 6 (though as before, the figure is still deceptive because the components P_j have positive lattice length when λ is ample). We may still construct B as an integral-affine surface, but B is not the base of an almost toric fibration, because p need not be an A_k singularity. Thus we show:

Lemma 5.5. *There is a family of integral-affine surfaces B_t for $t \in [0, \epsilon]$ with $B_0 = B$ which factorizes of p into A_1 singularities along nodal slides. Furthermore, B_t for $t \neq 0$ is the base of an almost toric fibration*

$$(X_t, D_t, \omega_t) \to B_t$$

such that $[\omega_t] = \lambda$.

Proof. Each surgery triangle resting on $\overline{P}_j$ introduces an A_1 singularity with monodromy-invariant line parallel to P_j. We may therefore factor p into a collection of A_1 singularities $\{q_{ij}\}$, one for each exceptional curve F_{ij} such that $b_{ij} = 0$. To construct B_t, we simultaneously perform nodal slides along segments which are cyclically ordered about p in the same ordering as the edges of P. Consider the almost toric fibration $(X_t, D_t, \omega_t) \to B_t$. We must show that (X_t, D_t) is diffeomorphic to (X, D) and that $[\omega_t] = \lambda$.



Figure 6: Surgery triangles in $\overline{B}$ of size $m_{ij} := c_j - b_{ij}$.

There is a collection of disjoint paths

$$\gamma_{ij}\colon [0,1] \to B_t$$

satisfying $\gamma_{ij}(0) \in P_j$ and $\gamma_{ij}(1) = q_{ij}$. Furthermore, the monodromy-invariant line of q_{ij} is parallel to P_j under the trivialization of integral-affine structure along γ_{ij}. Thus, as is the case for the usual almost toric blow-up, there are smooth (-1)-spheres F_{ij} which fiber over γ_{ij} and intersect D_j. So (X_t, D_t) and (X, D) have the same toric model, and are thus diffeomorphic. To prove $[\omega_t] = \lambda$, we must show $[\omega_t] \cdot F_{ij} = m_{ij}$. But $\int_{F_{ij}} \omega_t$ is the lattice distance from P_j to q_{ij}. This lattice distance is m_{ij}, because the lattice distance from P_j to p is equal to m_{ij} and nodal slides parallel to P_j keep the lattice distance constant. □

Hence we have proven Theorem 5.3 for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}} \cap H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $r(D) \geq 3$. We may cheaply deduce the result for $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}} \cap H^2(X;\mathbb{Q})$ by scaling the symplectic form. To prove Theorem 5.3 for real classes, we must show that the conclusion of Proposition 1.5 holds with real coefficients for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}}$. We avoid going into details, since we will not use this result in the rest of the paper, but the proof follows from a continuity argument—the polyhedra in $\mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}}$ defined by

$$\left\{ \sum a_j D_j + \sum b_i E_i \,\middle|\, a_j, b_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \right\},$$

where the E_i are disjoint exceptional curves, are locally finite, and thus their union is closed. Furthermore, their union contains $\mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}} \cap H^2(X;\mathbb{Q})$. Thus, any $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}}$ may be expressed as $\sum a_j D_j + \sum b_i E_i$ for some $a_j, b_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and the proof of Theorem 5.3 goes through as before.

Finally, when $r(D) \leq 2$ we perform some corner blow-ups until $r(D) \geq 3$. Then we may apply Proposition 1.5 and the proof applies, with the caveat that we must blow down these initial corner blow-ups (as the symplectic form will be degenerate on them) to produce a non-degenerate almost toric fibration on (X, D). □

Remark 5.6. We can weaken the assumption of Theorem 5.3 by assuming only that λ is nef and big. In this case, we can apply the method of Theorem 5.3, but there are two ways the fibration μ can degenerate.

First, the length of a boundary component $P_j \subset P$ has length zero if $\lambda \cdot [D_j] = 0$. This results in a fibration with $\mu(D_j)$ a point, in fact a vertex of B. Furthermore, the symplectic form is only non-degenerate on the complement of D_j. If $\lambda \cdot [D_j] = 0$ for all j, that is $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then the whole

boundary P consists of a single point, and thus the base of μ is an integral-affine sphere and the symplectic form is only non-degenerate on $X - D$. This is the case shown in Figure 6.

Second, if $\lambda \cdot E_{ij} = 0$ then the surgery associated to E_{ij} will have size zero, that is, $\mu(E_{ij})$ will be some point on the interior of the edge P_j and ω will be the pull-back of a symplectic form from the blowdown of E_{ij}.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $\lambda \in H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ be big and nef. Let $(X, D, \omega) \to B$ be the almost toric fibration constructed in Theorem 5.3, which may be degenerate in the sense of Remark 5.6. There are nodal slides on B until every singularity lies at an integral point.*

Proof. First, undo the nodal slides of Lemma 5.5 which factor p into A_1 singularities, as p is an integral point. Even so, as shown in Figure 6, the surgery associated to an exceptional curve E_{ij} may introduce a singularity at a rational but non-integral point, and thus we must modify the construction of Lemma 5.4 slightly. Within the triangle whose base is $\overline{P}_j$ and whose third vertex is p, we fit triangles Δ_{ij} whose base is a subsegment of $\overline{P}_j$ of lattice length m_{ij} and whose third vertex is p, see Figure 7.

We would like to show that there is a *lattice point* p_{ij} of lattice distance m_{ij} from $\overline{P}_j$ within the triangle Δ_{ij}. Let L_{ij} denote the segment inside Δ_{ij} of points whose lattice distance is m_{ij} from $\overline{P}_j$. Then the lattice length of L_{ij} is equal to

$$m_{ij}\frac{c_j - m_{ij}}{c_j}.$$

If this length is at least 1, then L_{ij} contains an integral point. Note

$$m_{ij}\frac{c_j - m_{ij}}{c_j} \geq 1 \iff m_{ij}(c_j - m_{ij}) \geq c_j.$$

Thus L_{ij} contains an integral point p_{ij} whenever $2 \leq m_{ij} \leq c_j - 2$.

The remaining cases are when $m_{ij} = 0$, 1, $c_j - 1$, or c_j. The cases $m_{ij} = 0$ and $m_{ij} = c_j$ are trivial—in the former case, there is no surgery to make and in the latter case, we must choose $p_{ij} = p$. Consider the cases $m_{ij} = 1$ and $m_{ij} = c_j - 1$. Then L_{ij} has lattice length $\frac{c_j - 1}{c_j}$ and its endpoints are rational points with denominator c_j. Thus it contains a lattice point p_{ij}. Choosing the surgery triangle associated to F_{ij} to be the triangle whose base is the segment of length m_{ij} and whose third vertex is p_{ij} produces an integral-affine surface with singularities at integral points which can be connected to B by nodal slides. □



Figure 7: Finding integral points for the surgery triangles resting on the edge $\overline{P}_j$.

Theorem 5.8. *For all $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}} \cap \Lambda$ there is a Type III degeneration $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ of anticanonical pairs such that the monodromy invariant of $\mathcal{Y}$ is λ.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.3 and Remark 5.6 we may construct a degenerate almost toric fibration $(X, D, \omega) \to B'$ with $[\omega] = \lambda$. Then B' is a sphere, and D maps to a point $v_0 \in B'$. Furthermore, we may assume that the only other singularities of B' are type A_1. By Proposition 5.7, we may assume that there is an integral-affine surface B with singularities at integral points, connected to B' by small nodal slides of lattice lengths in $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}$. By Section 4 of [3], after triangulation, B is the dual complex of the central fiber of a Type III degeneration $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ of anticanonical pairs. But because $\Gamma(Y_0)$ is not generic, we cannot apply Proposition 3.14 directly.

Let $B'[n]$ denote the integral-affine manifold which refines the lattice in B' to order n. Equivalently, we post-compose the charts on B' with multiplication by n. Then $B'[n]$ is the base of an almost toric fibration on $(X, D, n\omega)$. Note that $B'[n]$ has singularities at integral points, and thus after triangulation, $B'[n]$ is the dual complex of the central fiber $Y_0'[n]$ of a Type III degeneration

$$\mathcal{Y}'[n] \to \Delta.$$

Furthermore, $Y_0'[n]$ is generic, and thus by Proposition 3.14, there is a diffeomorphism $\phi\colon X \to Y_t'[n]$ such that $n\phi_*([\omega])$ is the monodromy invariant of $\mathcal{Y}'[n]$.

Let $\mathcal{Y}[n] \to \Delta$ be the standard resolution as in Proposition 4.3 of the base change of $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ of order n. Then $\Gamma(Y_0[n]) = B[n]$ and the triangulation is the standard order n refinement of the triangulation of B. Note that $B'[n]$ is given by a set of nodal slides on $B[n]$ all of integer lattice length. Applying Proposition 4.5, we may perform Type II modifications on $\mathcal{Y}[n]$ to re-triangulate $B[n]$. We produce a Type III degeneration $\mathcal{Y}''[n]$ such that $\Gamma(Y_0''[n]) = B[n]$ contains, as a union of edges, the nodal slides connecting $B[n]$ and $B'[n]$. Then by Proposition 4.9, there is a series of Type I modifications on $\mathcal{Y}''[n]$ which produces $\mathcal{Y}'[n]$, assuming we triangulate $B'[n]$ appropriately.

Thus, $\mathcal{Y}[n]$ and $\mathcal{Y}'[n]$ are birational. By Remark 4.4, $n[\omega] = n\lambda$ is the monodromy invariant of $\mathcal{Y}[n]$. Again by Remark 4.4, the base change of order n multiplies the monodromy invariant by n, and thus the monodromy invariant of $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ is λ under the identification of $H^2(Y_t; \mathbb{Z})$ with $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

We have only proven existence up to diffeomorphism in the sense that we have constructed, for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}(X) \cap \Lambda$, a Type III degeneration $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ and a diffeomorphism $\phi\colon X \to Y_t$ such that $\phi_*[D_i]$ is the class of the corresponding component of D_t and the monodromy invariant of $\mathcal{Y}$ is

the push-forward of λ. By Theorem 5.14 of [7], we can conclude that (X, D) and (Y_t, D_t) are deformation equivalent. Hence, up to self-diffeomorphism of Y_t preserving the components of D_t, every monodromy invariant can be attained. Finally, we note that the subgroup of the self-diffeomorphism group of Y_t which preserves the components of D_t acts on cohomology by the group $\Gamma = \Gamma(Y_t, D_t)$ of admissible isometries, and thus, there is no difference between the monodromy invariant up to such diffeomorphisms and up to the action of Γ. □

5.2 An application to symplectic geometry

Theorem 5.3 has a number of interesting consequences for the symplectic geometry of anticanonical pairs. But first, we cite the following theorem about the symplectic geometry of rational surfaces, due to Li and Liu [14]:

Theorem 5.9. *Let X be a smooth 4-manifold with $b_2^+(X) = 1$ and let $C_{X,K}$ denote the cone of classes of symplectic forms on X with symplectic canonical class K. Then*

$$C_{X,K} = \{x \in \mathcal{C}^+ \colon x \cdot [E] > 0 \text{ for all contractible spheres } E \text{ s.t. } [E] \cdot K = -1\}$$

Let us further restrict to the cone $C_{(X,D)}$ of classes of symplectic forms on X along with a normal crossings symplectic anticanonical divisor D. By Theorem 5.9, we can conclude that

$$C_{(X,D)} \subset \{x \in \mathcal{C}^+ \colon x \cdot [E] > 0 \text{ for all } E \text{ exceptional and } x \cdot [D_j] > 0\} = \mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}}.$$

Conversely, any element $x \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}}$ is in the Kähler cone of a generic (X, D) because every ample class is Kähler. Thus we in fact have an equality $C_{(X,D)} = \mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the *set* of classes of symplectic forms of almost toric fibrations $(X, D, \omega) \to B$. Clearly we have $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C}_{(X,D)}$, but by Theorem 5.3, we also have $\mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}} \subset \mathcal{F}$. Thus, all three are equal:

Corollary 5.10. $\mathcal{F} = C_{(X,D)} = \mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}}$.

In particular, $\mathcal{F}$ is a convex cone. Furthermore, every symplectic anticanonical pair (X, D, ω) has some other symplectic form in the class $[\omega]$ which admits a Lagrangian torus fibration. By the main result of Li and Mak [15], we conclude that when X is rational, any symplectic anticanonical pair (X, D) is symplectic-isotopic (through symplectic anticanonical pairs with cycle D) to an almost toric fibration.

5.3 A conjecture on Type III degenerations

Before we state the conjecture, let us recall the main results from [9]. Let X be a $K3$ surface. The analogue of the group Γ of admissible isometries is the group $O^+(\Lambda_{K3})$ of integral isometries of the $K3$ lattice Λ_{K3}. Let $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ be a (polarized or weakly Kähler) Type III degeneration of $K3$ surfaces. If N is the logarithm of the monodromy of the degeneration $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ acting on $H^2(X)$, then the saturation of $\operatorname{Im} N^2$ is of the form $\mathbb{Z}\gamma$, where γ is a primitive element of Λ_{K3}, and there exists an element $\lambda \in \gamma^\perp = W_0$ such that, for all $x \in H^2(X)$,

$$N(x) = (x \cdot \gamma)\lambda - (x \cdot \lambda)\gamma.$$

It is easy to see that λ is well-defined mod γ, i.e. as an element of $\gamma^\perp/\mathbb{Z}\gamma \cong U^2 \oplus (-E_8)^2$. Furthermore $\lambda^2 > 0$. The analogue of the cone $\mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$ for an anticanonical pair (Y, D) is an appropriate component $\mathcal{C}^+_{K3,\gamma}$ of the positive cone in $(\gamma^\perp/\mathbb{Z}\gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Given an element $\lambda \in (\gamma^\perp/\mathbb{Z}\gamma) \cap \mathcal{C}^+_{K3,\gamma}$, we can associate to λ the pair of positive integers (k, t), where $t = \lambda^2$ and k is the largest positive integer such that $\lambda = k\lambda_0 \bmod \mathbb{Z}\gamma$ for some $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_{K3}$. It is easy to see that the pair (k, t) is a complete set of invariants for the pair (γ, λ), in the sense that, given two pairs (γ, λ) and (γ', λ') as above, the pairs have the same associated pair $(k, t) \iff$ there exists a $\psi \in O^+(\Lambda_{K3})$ such that $\psi(\gamma) = \gamma'$ and, via the induced isometry $\gamma^\perp/\mathbb{Z}\gamma \to (\gamma')^\perp/\mathbb{Z}\gamma'$, $\psi(\lambda) = \lambda'$. The main result of [9] is then:

Theorem 5.11. (i) *For every pair of positive integers (k, t), there exists a Type III degeneration of $K3$ surfaces with invariants (k, t).*

(ii) *Given two Type III degenerations $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ and $\mathcal{X}' \to \Delta$ of $K3$ surfaces, $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ and $\mathcal{X}' \to \Delta$ have the same invariants $\iff$ there exists a locally trivial deformation from X_0 to a Type III $K3$ surface X_0'' over a smooth connected base, with all fibers d-semistable, and a sequence of Type I and II birational modifications $X_0'' \dashrightarrow X_0'$.*

Theorem 5.8 is then the analogue for Type III anticanonical pairs of the existence part (i) of Theorem 5.11. It is natural to conjecture that there is an analogue of (ii) of Theorem 5.11 as well:

Conjecture 5.12. *Let $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta$ and $(\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{D}') \to \Delta$ be two Type III degenerations of anticanonical pairs with monodromy invariants λ and λ', respectively. Assume that D and D' have the same self-intersection sequence and choose compatible labelings on them. Then, in the terminology of [7, Definition 5.7], there exists an admissible isometry $\psi\colon H^2(Y_t; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(Y_t'; \mathbb{Z})$,*



Figure 8: The combinatorial type of two Type III anticanonical pairs.

$t \neq 0$, such that $\psi(\lambda) = \lambda'$ $\iff$ there exists a locally trivial deformation from (Y_0, D) to a Type III anticanonical pair (Y_0'', D) over a smooth connected base, with all fibers d-semistable, and a sequence of Type I and II birational modifications $(Y_0'', D) \dashrightarrow (Y_0', D)$.

In other words, the class $\lambda \bmod \Gamma(Y, D)$ is a complete invariant of the combinatorial type of the central fiber. Note that the existence of an admissible isometry implies that the pairs (Y_t, D) and (Y_t', D) are deformation equivalent, by [7, Theorem 5.13].

Example 5.13. Let D' be the cusp with self-intersection sequence $(-12, -2)$. Consider the possible triples (Y, D, λ), where D is the dual cusp, $\lambda \in \Lambda \cap \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$, and $\lambda^2 = 2$. There are two different Type III fibers $Y_0 = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup V_2$ with 2 triple points. Here V_0 is the Inoue surface, $V_1 = \mathbb{F}_6$ and the self-intersection sequence is $(10, -6)$, and V_2 has self-intersection sequence $(0, 4)$. Thus V_2 can be either $\mathbb{F}_0/\mathbb{F}_2$ (and these are deformation equivalent) or $\mathbb{F}_1$ (see Figure 8). These two Type III fibers deform into two different deformation types of anticanonical pair (Y, D) with dual self-intersection sequence $(-4, -2, \ldots, -2)$. The first is discussed in [6, Example 4.4]. Here Λ is spanned by G_1, G_2 with $G_1^2 = 10$, $G_2^2 = -2$, and $G_1 \cdot G_2 = 0$. It follows that the D_i span a primitive sublattice of $\operatorname{Pic} Y$. There is just one $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda^2 = 2$ in $\mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$. There are no roots (i.e. $R = \emptyset$), $\mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$ is an angular sector not meeting the boundary of $\mathcal{C}^+$ away from 0, and $\Gamma(Y, D) = \{1\}$. This deformation type of (Y, D) corresponds to the case where $V_2 = \mathbb{F}_1$.

To find the second embedding of the dual D in a rational surface, index

the curves in the dual cycle by $D_0, \dots, D_9$ with $D_0^2 = -4$, $D_i^2 = -2$, $i > 0$, and D_i meets D_{i+1}, D_9 meets D_0. Note generally that if there is a -1 curve meeting D_1, then contracting it and then $D_1, \dots, D_9$ leads to a surface $\overline{Y}$ and a nodal curve of square 8 on $\overline{Y}$. Thus $\overline{Y}$ must be either $\mathbb{F}_0/\mathbb{F}_2$ or $\mathbb{F}_1$. The case of $\overline{Y} = \mathbb{F}_1$ is the case considered above. In case $\overline{Y} = \mathbb{F}_0/\mathbb{F}_2$, there is obviously a root for (Y, D) (the proper transform of the -2 curve on $\mathbb{F}_2$). Also, one checks that the D_i span an index two sublattice of $\operatorname{Pic} Y$ and that Λ is spanned by G_1, G_2 with $G_1^2 = G_2^2 = -2$ and $G_1 \cdot G_2 = 3$. One can show that in fact both G_1 and G_2 are roots. It follows that there are infinitely many roots, and hence $\Gamma(Y, D)$ is infinite as well. The set $\mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$ is equal to $\mathcal{C}^+$. It is straightforward to check that $\Gamma = \mathsf{W}(R)$, the reflection group generated by the roots, and all of the $\lambda \in \Lambda \cap \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$ with $\lambda^2 = 2$ are conjugate under Γ. This case then corresponds to the case where V_2 is either $\mathbb{F}_0$ or $\mathbb{F}_2$.

To sum up, we see that within each deformation type, there is exactly one combinatorial possibility for (Y_0, D) and exactly one element of square 2 in $\mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}} \cap \Lambda \mod \Gamma$. So Conjecture 5.12 is true in this case for classes of square 2.

6 Asymptotic behavior of the period map

Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ be a Type III degeneration of anticanonical pairs. Fix a coordinate t on Δ and let $z = \dfrac{\log t}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}$ be the corresponding coordinate on the universal cover $\nu\colon \widetilde{\Delta}^* \to \Delta^*$. Thus $t = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z}$ and $\operatorname{Im} z = -\dfrac{\log |t|}{2\pi}$ is well-defined on Δ^*. As usual, let $\mathcal{Y}^* = \mathcal{Y}\big|_{\Delta^*}$ and let $\rho\colon \mathcal{Y}^* - \mathcal{D} \to \Delta^*$ be the restriction of π. Then $R^2\rho_*\mathbb{Z}/(\text{torsion})$ is a local system with unipotent monodromy T, which we denote by $\mathcal{H}$. Let $N = \log T$. Note that the pullback of $\mathcal{H}$ is trivialized by the choice of a point $t_0 \in \Delta^*$: $\nu^*\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to the constant sheaf with fiber $\overline{H}^2(Y_{t_0} - D; \mathbb{Z})$.

The associated flat vector bundle $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^*}$ has the canonical extension $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ of Deligne [2]: $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ can be taken to be the trivial holomorphic vector bundle $\overline{H}^2(Y_{t_0} - D; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_\Delta = H^2(Y_{t_0} - D; \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_\Delta$, with the connection $D = -\dfrac{N}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\dfrac{dt}{t}$. A (local) flat section over Δ^* is then a section locally of the form $e^{zN}v$, for $v \in H^2(Y_{t_0} - D; \mathbb{C})$. In particular, we see that:

Proposition 6.1. *Let s be a holomorphic section s of $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^*}$. Then s extends to a holomorphic section of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ if and only if, writing ν^*s as a holomorphic function on $\widetilde{\Delta}^*$ with values in $H^2(Y_{t_0} - D; \mathbb{C})$, the function*

$e^{-zN}\nu^* s$, viewed as a function on Δ^*, extends to a (single-valued) holomorphic function on Δ with values in $H^2(Y_{t_0} - D; \mathbb{C})$. □

On the other hand, by [25] (see also [2], [21]), the canonical extension $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is also given by $\mathbb{R}^2\pi_*\Lambda^*_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}(\log \mathcal{D})$. By Corollary 2.21, the subsheaf $R^0\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}(\log \mathcal{D})$ is a rank one subbundle of $\mathbb{R}^2\pi_*\Lambda^*_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}(\log \mathcal{D})$. An easy argument shows that, for $\phi_t \in H^0(Y_t; \omega_{Y_t}(D_t))$, if $\phi_t \neq 0$, then $\displaystyle\int_\gamma \phi_t \neq 0$ (including the case $t = 0$). We uniquely define an everywhere generating holomorphic section $\omega(t)$ of $R^0\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}(\log \mathcal{D})$ by the requirement that

$$\int_\gamma \omega(t) = 1 \text{ for all } t \in \Delta \ .$$

Now fix an integral splitting of the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Lambda^\vee \to \overline{H}^2(Y_{t_0} - D; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0,$$

so that every element of $\overline{H}^2(Y_{t_0} - D; \mathbb{C})$ is uniquely written as $a\hat{\gamma} + \beta$, where $\hat{\gamma} \in \overline{H}^2(Y_{t_0} - D; \mathbb{Z})$ maps to the (oriented) generator of $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta \in \Lambda^\vee_{\mathbb{C}} = \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$. Note that, for $\overline{H}^2(Y_{t_0} - D; \mathbb{Z})$, $N(\xi) = \xi(\gamma)\lambda$, and in particular $N(\hat{\gamma}) = \lambda$, where we identify λ with its Poincaré dual. With our choice of $\omega(t)$, we can write

$$\omega(t) = \hat{\gamma} + \beta(t),$$

where $\beta(t)$ is a multi-valued section of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$. By Proposition 6.1, the section $e^{-zN}\omega(t)$ extends to a single-valued holomorphic function on Δ with values in $H^2(Y_{t_0} - D; \mathbb{C})$. As

$$e^{-zN}(\xi) = \xi - z\xi(\gamma)\lambda,$$

we see that

$$e^{-zN}(\omega(t)) = \hat{\gamma} + \beta(t) - z\lambda = f(t),$$

where $f(t)$ is a single valued holomorphic function on Δ^* with values in $H^2(Y_{t_0} - D; \mathbb{C})$ which extends to a holomorphic function on Δ.

Thus $\omega(t) = z\lambda + f(t)$, or equivalently:

Proposition 6.2. *$\omega(t) = \dfrac{\log t}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\lambda + f(t)$, where $f(t) \in H^2(Y_{t_0} - D; \mathbb{C})$ and f is holomorphic at 0.* □

We then have the following corollary, which is a partial strengthening of [18, III(2.12)]:

Corollary 6.3. *The real, single-valued C^∞ function $\operatorname{Im}\omega(t) = \operatorname{Im}\beta(t)$ with values in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfies: for $|t| \ll 1$, $\operatorname{Im}\omega(t) \in \mathcal{C}^+$, and in fact $\operatorname{Im}\omega(t)$ is in $\mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$.*

Proof. We have

$$\operatorname{Im}\omega(t) = -\frac{\log|t|}{2\pi}\lambda + g(t) = \left(-\frac{\log|t|}{2\pi}\right)\left(\lambda - \frac{2\pi g(t)}{\log|t|}\right),$$

where $g\colon \Delta \to H^2(Y_{t_0} - D; \mathbb{R})$ is a C^∞ function. The function $-\log|t|/2\pi$ is positive and tends to $+\infty$ when $t \to 0$, and hence $2\pi g(t)/\log|t|$ extends to a continuous function on Δ with $\lim_{t\to 0} 2\pi g(t)/\log|t| = 0$. Thus $(\operatorname{Im}\omega(t))^2 > 0$ for all $|t| \ll 1$. With our sign conventions, it follows that $\operatorname{Im}\omega(t) \in \mathcal{C}^+$. Moreover, $\lim_{t\to 0}(\lambda - 2\pi g(t)/\log|t|) = \lambda$. Since $\mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$ is open in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$, for $|t| \ll |t_0|$, $\lambda - 2\pi g(t)/\log|t| \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$. Thus, for $|t| \ll |t_0|$, $\operatorname{Im}\omega(t) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$. □

Remark 6.4. There is a several variable version of the above. The poldisk Δ^k has k boundary components, defined by $t_i = 0$, where t_i is the coordinate on the i^{th} factor. Given $\pi\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \Delta^k$, such that the generic fiber over each boundary component is d-semistable, there is an associated monodromy transformation T_i with $N_i = \log T_i$ and $N_i(x) = -(x \bullet \lambda_i)\gamma$. Then the period $\omega(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ has the form

$$\omega(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\log t_i}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\lambda_i + f(t_1, \ldots, t_k),$$

where $f\colon \Delta^k \to H^2(Y_{t_0} - D; \mathbb{C})$ is holomorphic.

By applying semistable reduction to a one parameter family over a disk $\Delta \subseteq \Delta^k$ which has order of contact n_i with the i^{th} component of the boundary, it follows that, for all $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n_i > 0$, we have $(\sum_i n_i\lambda_i)^2 > 0$. This easily implies that, for $i \neq j$, $\lambda_i \cdot \lambda_j > 0$, and hence either $\lambda_i \in \mathcal{C}^+$ for all i or $-\lambda_i \in \mathcal{C}^+$ for all i. Choosing orientations so that $\lambda_i \in \mathcal{C}^+$ for all i, it follows that, if $|t_i| \ll 1$ for every i, then $\operatorname{Im}\omega(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$.

7 The differential of the period map

We begin by discussing the smooth case, using [7] as a general reference. Let (Y, D) be an anticanonical pair (with a fixed orientation of D). For notational simplicity, we assume that each component of D is smooth, i.e. that $r > 1$; minor modifications handle the case $r = 1$. The *period homomorphism* is the homomorphism $\varphi_Y\colon \Lambda \to \mathbb{C}^*$ defined by: if $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and L_α

is the corresponding line bundle, then $\varphi_Y(\alpha) = L_\alpha\big|_D \in \operatorname{Pic}^0 D \cong \mathbb{C}^*$, and the *period map* is the map $Y \mapsto \varphi_Y \in \operatorname{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}^*)$. The period map is holomorphic: for a fixed $\alpha \in \Lambda$, given a family $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D})$ of pairs over S, after shrinking S we can assume that L_α extends to a holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ over $\mathcal{F}$ and that $\mathcal{D} \cong S \times D$. The line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\alpha\big|_{\mathcal{D}} \cong S \times D$ then defines a holomorphic map from S to $\operatorname{Pic}^0 D$, and fitting these together for each α defines the period map as a holomorphic map $S \to \operatorname{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}^*)$. The relationship between this period map and that considered in the previous section is as follows [7, (3.12)]:

$$\varphi_Y(\alpha) = \exp\left(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\int_\alpha \omega\right).$$

The differential of the period map (for the semi-universal family) is then a map

$$\psi\colon H^1(Y; T_Y(-\log D)) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\Lambda, H^1(D; \mathcal{O}_D)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}, H^1(D; \mathcal{O}_D)).$$

We would like to describe the map ψ. A local calculation shows:

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\nu\colon \widetilde{D} = \coprod_i D_i \to Y$ be the composition of normalization and inclusion. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \Omega^1_Y(\log D)(-D) \to \Omega^1_Y \to \nu_*\Omega^1_{\widetilde{D}} \to 0. \quad \square$$

Given a line bundle L on Y such that $\deg(L\big|_{D_i}) = 0$ for every i, its Chern class $c_1(L) \in H^1(Y; \Omega^1_Y)$ and the image of $c_1(L)$ in $\bigoplus_i H^1(D_i; \Omega^1_{D_i})$ is zero. Thus, from the exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_i H^0(D_i; \Omega^1_{D_i}) = 0 \to H^1(Y; \Omega^1_Y(\log D)(-D)) \to H^1(Y; \Omega^1_Y) \to \bigoplus_i H^1(D_i; \Omega^1_{D_i}),$$

we see that $c_1(L)$ lifts to a unique element of $H^1(Y; \Omega^1_Y(\log D)(-D))$, which we denote by $\hat{c}_1(L)$. Note that $H^1(Y; \Omega^1_Y(\log D)(-D)) \cong \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ and we can think of $\hat{c}_1(L)$ as a Chern class with values in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$. The differential ψ of the period map is then described as follows:

Theorem 7.2. *Let $\partial\colon H^1(D; \mathcal{O}_D) \to H^2(Y; \mathcal{O}_Y(-D))$ be the coboundary map arising from the exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y(-D) \to \mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{O}_D \to 0,$$

which is an isomorphism since $H^1(Y; \mathcal{O}_Y) = H^2(Y; \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$. Then

$$\partial \circ \psi(\theta)(\alpha) = \theta \smile \hat{c}_1(L_\alpha),$$

where $\smile$ denotes the cup product

$$H^1(Y; T_Y(-\log D)) \otimes H^1(Y; \Omega^1_Y(\log D)(-D)) \to H^2(Y; \mathcal{O}_Y(-D)).$$

Corollary 7.3. *ψ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By Theorem 7.2, $\partial \circ \psi$ is the cup product map

$$H^1(Y; T_Y(-\log D)) \to \mathrm{Hom}(H^1(Y; \Omega^1_Y(\log D)(-D)), H^2(Y; \mathcal{O}_Y(-D))).$$

This map is an isomorphism since $\mathcal{O}_Y(-D) = \omega_D$ and by Serre duality. $\square$

Remark 7.4. The vector space $H^1(Y; \Omega^1_Y(\log D)(-D))$ is dual to the vector space $H^1(Y; \Omega^1_Y(\log D)) = \Lambda^\vee_{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}, H^2(Y; \mathcal{O}_Y(-D)))$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(H^0(\Omega^2_Y(\log D)), H^1(Y; \Omega^1_Y(\log D)))$. This gives the usual form of the differential of the period map, via cup product and contraction:

$$H^1(Y; T_Y(-\log D)) \otimes H^0(Y; \Omega^2_Y(\log D)) \to H^1(Y; \Omega^1_Y(\log D)).$$

Proof of Theorem 7.2. The class $\theta \in H^1(Y; T_Y(-\log D))$ corresponds to a first order deformation $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{Spec}\, \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$. Explicitly, the correspondence is as follows: For a sufficiently small open cover $\{U_i\}$ of Y, there exists an isomorphism $\phi_i \colon \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}\big|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{U_i} \cdot \varepsilon$. Moreover, on $U_i \cap U_j$, $\phi_j \circ \phi_i^{-1} - \mathrm{Id} = \theta_{ij}$, where θ_{ij} is a 1-cocycle. The condition that we keep the normal crossings divisor D means that, in local coordinates,

$$\theta_{ij} = a z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + b z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$$

for some holomorphic functions a, b, i.e. that θ_{ij} is a section of $T_Y(-\log D)$ over $U_i \cap U_j$. However, in our situation we can do a little better:

Lemma 7.5. *After possibly shrinking the cover $\{U_i\}$ and modifying $\{\theta_{ij}\}$ by a coboundary, we can assume that $\theta_{ij}\big|_D = 0$, i.e. that θ_{ij} has the local form $a' z_1 z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + b' z_1 z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$ for some holomorphic functions a', b'.*

Proof. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & T_Y(-\log D) & \longrightarrow & T_Y & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_i N_{D_i/Y} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & T_D & \longrightarrow & T_Y\big|_D & \longrightarrow & N_{D/Y} & \longrightarrow & T^1_D \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

A diagram chase shows that $T_Y(-\log D) \to T_D$ is surjective. Hence there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to T_Y(-D) \to T_Y(-\log D) \to T_D \to 0.$$

But an easy calculation gives $H^1(D; T_D) = 0$ (i.e. every locally trivial deformation of D is a product). Thus the natural map $H^1(Y; T_Y(-D)) \to H^1(Y; T_Y(-\log D))$ is surjective, which is the statement of the lemma. $\square$

Returning to the proof of Theorem 7.2, fix once and for all a representative $\{\theta_{ij}\}$ for θ satisfying the conclusion of the lemma. We have the usual exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}^* \to \mathcal{O}_Y^* \to 0,$$

and hence $\operatorname{Pic} \mathcal{Z} \cong \operatorname{Pic} Y$ since $H^1(Y; \mathcal{O}_Y) = H^2(Y; \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$. Hence, given a line bundle L on Y, L lifts uniquely to a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{Z}$, which we see explicitly as follows: Every lifting $\tilde{\lambda}_{ij}$ of λ_{ij} to an element of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}^*|U_i$ is necessarily of the form $\phi_i^{-1}(\lambda_{ij} + \mu_{ij}\varepsilon)$ for some holomorphic functions μ_{ij}. The condition that $\tilde{\lambda}_{ij}$ can be chosen to be a 1-cocycle is the equality

$$\frac{\theta_{ji}(\lambda_{jk})}{\lambda_{jk}} = \lambda_{ij}^{-1}\mu_{ij} - \lambda_{jk}^{-1}\mu_{jk} + \lambda_{ik}^{-1}\mu_{ik} = \delta(\{\rho_{ij}\}),$$

where ρ_{ij} is the 1-cochain $\lambda_{ij}^{-1}\mu_{ij}$ and δ here and for the rest of the proof denotes Čech coboundary. In other words, the 2-cocycle $\theta \smile d\log\lambda_{ij} = \theta \smile c_1(L)$ is zero in $H^2(Y; \mathcal{O}_Y)$. In this case, the transition functions

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{ij}\phi_i^{-1}(\lambda_{ij}(1 + \rho_{ij}\varepsilon))$$

define the lift of L to $\mathcal{L}$. Moreover, $\rho_{ij}\big|_D$ is then a 1-cocycle since

$$\delta(\{\rho_{ij}\}\big|_D) = \frac{\theta_{ji}(\lambda_{jk})}{\lambda_{jk}}\Big|_D = 0,$$

and, in case $L = L_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then $\rho_{ij}\big|_D$ represents the element $\psi(\theta)(\alpha) \in H^1(D; \mathcal{O}_D)$.

We compute $\partial \circ \psi(\theta)(\alpha)$ by lifting to a 1-cochain with values in $\mathcal{O}_Y$ and taking its Čech coboundary. Clearly, ρ_{ij} is such a lift, and thus $\partial \circ \psi(\theta)(\alpha)$ is represented by the 2-cocycle $\theta_{ji}(\lambda_{jk})/\lambda_{jk}$.

Finally we compute the term $\theta \smile \hat{c}_1(L)$. We can write

$$\frac{d\lambda_{ij}}{\lambda_{ij}} = \eta_{ij} + (\delta\{\sigma_i\})_{ij},$$

where η_{ij} is a 1-cocycle with values in $\Omega^1_Y(\log D)(-D)$ representing $\hat{c}_1(L)$ and σ_i is a 0-cochain with values in Ω^1_Y. Thus $\theta \smile \hat{c}_1(L)$ is represented by the 1-cocycle

$$\frac{\theta_{ji}(\lambda_{jk})}{\lambda_{jk}} - \theta_{ji}(\delta\{\sigma_i\})_{jk}.$$

But, since $\delta\theta = 0$,

$$\theta_{ji}(\delta\{\sigma_i\})_{jk} = \theta \smile \delta\{\sigma_i\} = \pm\delta(\theta \smile \{\sigma_i\}).$$

Since θ_{ij} vanishes on D, $\delta(\theta \smile \{\sigma_i\})$ is a 1-coboundary with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_Y(-D)$. Thus, in cohomology, $\partial \circ \psi(\theta)(\alpha) = \theta \smile \hat{c}_1(L_\alpha)$ as claimed. □

We turn now to the case of a Type III degeneration Y_0. We define Λ_0 and $\Lambda_0^\vee$ by analogy with the smooth case:

$$\Lambda_0 = \{L \in \operatorname{Pic} Y_0 : \deg(L\big|_{D_i}) = 0 \text{ for all } i\};$$
$$\Lambda_0^\vee = \operatorname{Pic} Y_0 / \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}[D_i].$$

Note that, by Lemma 2.19,

$$(\Lambda_0)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}} \cong H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}).$$

Lemma 7.6. $(\Lambda_0)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}(-D))$.

Proof. We have the analogue of the exact sequence of Lemma 7.1:

$$0 \to \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}(-D) \to \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0} \to \nu_*\Omega^1_{\widetilde{D}} \to 0.$$

Thus there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}(-D)) \to H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}) \to \bigoplus_i H^1(D_i; \Omega^1_{D_i}).$$

This identifies $H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}(-D))$ with the kernel of

$$H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}) \cong H^2(Y_0; \mathbb{C}) \to \bigoplus_i H^1(D_i; \Omega^1_{D_i}) \cong \bigoplus_i H^2(D_i; \mathbb{C}),$$

which is $(\Lambda_0)_{\mathbb{C}}$. □

There is a period homomorphism $\varphi_{Y_0} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda_0, \mathbb{C}^*)$ and it extends to a holomorphic map on germs of deformations of Y_0. In particular, there is a well-defined differential

$$\psi\colon \mathbb{T}^1_{Y_0}(-\log D) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}((\Lambda_0)_{\mathbb{C}}, H^1(\mathcal{O}_D)).$$

To compute it, note first that the analogue of Lemma 7.1 holds, so that given $L \in \Lambda_0$, we have $\hat{c}_1(L) \in H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)(-D))$ and its image $\bar{c}_1(L) \in H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}(-D))$. Then we have

Theorem 7.7. *Let $\partial\colon H^1(D; \mathcal{O}_D) \to H^2(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}(-D))$ be the coboundary map arising from the exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}(-D) \to \mathcal{O}_{Y_0} \to \mathcal{O}_D \to 0,$$

which is an isomorphism since $H^1(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) = H^2(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) = 0$. Then

$$\partial \circ \psi(\theta)(L) = \langle \theta, \hat{c}_1(L) \rangle,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes Yoneda pairing

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D), \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) \otimes H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)(-D)) \to H^2(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}(-D)).$$

Proof. On the hyperplane $H^1(Y_0; T_{Y_0}(-\log D))$ of $\mathbb{T}^1_{Y_0}(-\log D)$, Yoneda product is just cup product, and the proof is exactly the same as the proof for Theorem 7.2. By linearity, it suffices to prove the formula of Theorem 7.7 for a single class θ projecting onto a nonzero element of $H^0(Y_0; T^1_{Y_0}) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Fix a one parameter smoothing $\pi\colon (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta$. There are relative versions $\mathbb{T}^1_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}(-\log \mathcal{D})$, $R^1\pi_*\Omega^1_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}(\log \mathcal{D})(-\mathcal{D})$, $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}$, $R^2\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(-\mathcal{D})$. We also have the relative Kodaira-Spencer map $\kappa\colon T_\Delta \to \mathbb{T}^1_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}(-\log \mathcal{D})$, and $\kappa(\partial/\partial t)$ is a section which restricts to an element θ with nonzero image in $H^0(Y_0; T^1_{Y_0})$. Given $L \in \mathrm{Pic}\, Y_0$, we can lift L to a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}\, \mathcal{Y}$, and there is a relative (modified) Chern class $\hat{c}_1(\mathcal{L}) \in R^1\pi_*\Omega^1_{\mathcal{Y}/\Delta}(\log \mathcal{D})(-\mathcal{D})$. We want to establish the equality

$$\partial \circ \psi(\kappa(\partial/\partial t))(\mathcal{L}) = \langle \kappa(\partial/\partial t), \hat{c}_1(\mathcal{L}) \rangle.$$

This holds for a general t by Theorem 7.2, and thus follows by continuity for $t = 0$, noting that $R^2\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(-\mathcal{D}) \cong \mathcal{O}_\Delta$ is torsion free (see also Proposition 8.7 below). Restricting to $t = 0$ gives the result for θ. □

Remark 7.8. We will only need this result for $H^1(Y_0; T_{Y_0}(-\log D))$, the hyperplane tangent to the equisingular deformations.

Corollary 7.9. *The restriction of the differential of the period map to* $H^1(Y_0; T_{Y_0}(-\log D))$ *is an isomorphism and the differential of the period map on* $\mathbb{T}^1_{Y_0}(-\log D)$ *is surjective.*

Proof. Note that $T_{Y_0} = Hom(\Omega^1_{Y_0}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) = Hom(\Omega^1_{Y_0}/\tau^1_{Y_0}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_0})$, and similarly for $T_{Y_0}(-\log D)$. Thus there is the cup product map

$$H^1(Y_0; T_{Y_0}(-\log D)) \otimes H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}(-D)) \to H^2(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}(-D)),$$

which is identified via ∂^{-1} with

$$H^1(Y_0; T_{Y_0}(-\log D)) \otimes (\Lambda_0)_{\mathbb{C}} \to H^1(D; \mathcal{O}_D),$$

the differential of the period map. By [4, (2.10)], $H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}(-D))$ and $H^1(Y_0; T_{Y_0}(-\log D))$ are dual under cup product, hence the above cup product is nondegenerate by Serre duality. Moreover clearly

$$\langle \theta, \hat{c}_1(L) \rangle = \langle \theta, \bar{c}_1(L) \rangle,$$

by compatibility of cup product, where $\bar{c}_1(L) \in H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}(-D))$ is the image of $\hat{c}_1(L) \in H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)(-D))$. This proves the first statement and the second then follows. □

8 Surjectivity of the period map

In this section, our goal is to use Theorem 7.7 to prove results about the surjectivity of the period map for families of d-semistable Type III anticanonical pairs, and then to apply this to construct Type III degenerations $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ such that the kernel of the period map on a general fiber Y_t is of a special type.

Let (Y_0, D_0) be a Type III anticanonical pair as defined in Definition 2.1. If Y_0 is the central fiber of a Type III degeneration $\pi\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ of anticanonical pairs, which is the case if and only if Y_0 is d-semistable, then there are f line bundles on Y_0, namely $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(V_i)\big|_{Y_0}$, $i = 0, \ldots, f-1$. By Lemma 2.15,

$$\operatorname{Pic} Y_0 = H^2(Y_0; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \operatorname{Ker}\left(\bigoplus_i H^2(V_i; \mathbb{Z}) \to \bigoplus_{i<j} H^2(C_{ij}; \mathbb{Z}) \right).$$

Define a class $\xi_\ell \in \bigoplus_i H^2(V_i; \mathbb{Z})$ by the rule:

$$\xi_\ell\big|_{V_i} = \begin{cases} [C_{i\ell}], & \text{if } i \neq \ell; \\ -\sum_{j \neq \ell} [C_{j\ell}] = -[C_\ell], & \text{if } i = \ell. \end{cases}$$

By the triple point formula, $\xi_\ell \in \operatorname{Ker}(\bigoplus_i H^2(V_i;\mathbb{Z}) \to \bigoplus_{i<j} H^2(C_{ij};\mathbb{Z}))$, and hence $\xi_0,\dots,\xi_{f-1} \in H^2(Y_0;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \operatorname{Pic} Y_0$. It is easy to check that $\sum_i \xi_i = 0$. Clearly $\xi_i \cdot [D_j] = 0$ for every component D_j of D.

Lemma 8.1. *The homomorphism $\psi\colon \mathbb{Z}^f \to H^2(Y_0;\mathbb{Z})$ defined by*

$$(n_0,\dots,n_{f-1}) \mapsto \sum_i n_i\xi_i$$

has kernel $\{(n_0,\dots,n_{f-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^f : \sum_i n_i = 0\}$ and thus defines an injective homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^f/\mathbb{Z} \to H^2(Y_0;\mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, the cokernel of ψ is torsion free.

Proof. Suppose that $\sum_i n_i\xi_i = 0$. After modifying the n_i by an element of $\{(n_0,\dots,n_{f-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^f : \sum_i n_i = 0\}$, we can assume that $n_0 = 0$ and must show that $n_i = 0$ for all i. But then $\sum_{V_i\cap V_0\neq\emptyset} n_i[C_{0i}] = 0$ in $H^2(V_0;\mathbb{Z})$, so that $n_i = 0$ for all i such that $V_i \cap V_0 \neq \emptyset$. Applying the same argument successively to V_i such that $n_i = 0$ and using the fact that the dual complex of Y_0 is connected, it follows that $n_i = 0$ for all i.

Finally, we must show that $\operatorname{Coker}\psi$ is torsion free. This statement is invariant under a locally trivial deformation, so we can assume that Y_0 is d-semistable by [8, (2.16)], and that $\pi\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ is a smoothing. Thus $\xi_i = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(V_i)\big|_{Y_0}$ as described above. The proof of (i) of Proposition 3.9 shows that there is an inclusion of $\operatorname{Coker}\psi$ in $H^2(Y_t;\mathbb{Z})$ for a general fiber Y_t. Hence $\operatorname{Coker}\psi$ is torsion free. □

We next define the period map for d-semistable Type III anticanonical pairs:

Definition 8.2. Let $\overline{\Lambda}_0$ be the lattice defined by

$$\overline{\Lambda}_0 = \{\alpha \in \operatorname{Pic} Y_0/\operatorname{span}\{\xi_0,\dots,\xi_{f-1}\} : \alpha\cdot[D_i] = 0 \text{ for all } i\}.$$

Note that the condition $\alpha\cdot[D_i] = 0$ is well-defined since $\xi_\ell\cdot[D_i] = 0$ for all ℓ and i. By the proof of Lemma 8.1, if Y_0 is d-semistable and $\pi\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ is a smoothing, then there is an inclusion of $\overline{\Lambda}_0$ in $\Lambda = \Lambda(Y_t, D)$ for a general fiber Y_t.

For a Type III anticanonical pair (Y_0, D), with D oriented, we have the period map $\varphi_{Y_0}\colon \Lambda_0 \to \mathbb{C}^*$ defined by $L \in \operatorname{Pic} Y_0 \mapsto L\big|_D$. If Y_0 is d-semistable, then clearly $\varphi_{Y_0}(\xi_i) = 1$ for every i. We define $\bar{\varphi}_{Y_0}\colon \overline{\Lambda}_0 \to \mathbb{C}^*$ to be the homomorphism induced by φ_{Y_0}. Given a locally trivial deformation of the pair (Y_0, D) with trivial monodromy, over a smooth connected base S, with all fibers d-semistable, there exists a holomorphic map $\operatorname{per}\colon S \to \operatorname{Hom}(\overline{\Lambda}_0, \mathbb{C}^*)$ in the usual way.

The following is then the main result of this section:

Theorem 8.3. *Let $\bar{\varphi}\colon \overline{\Lambda}_0 \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be a homomorphism. Then there exists a locally trivial deformation of Y_0 with trivial monodromy, over a smooth connected base S which we can take to be of the form $(\mathbb{C}^*)^N$, such that all fibers are d-semistable, and a point $s \in S$ such that, if (Y_s, D) is the corresponding Type III anticanonical pair, then $\varphi_{Y_s}(\xi_i) = 1$ for all i and the induced homomorphism $\overline{\Lambda}_0 \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is $\bar{\varphi}$.*

Proof. There are three ways that we can deform Y_0 in a locally trivial way (cf. [9, (4.3)] for more details):

1. We change the gluings $C_{ij} \subseteq V_i \cong C_{ji} \subseteq V_j$ by multiplying by $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$.
2. If V_i is obtained by blowing up a point $p \in C_{ij}^{\text{int}} \cong \mathbb{C}^*$, we can deform the point p to a point $p' \in C_{ij}^{\text{int}}$. (Note that a blowup at a singular point of the anticanonical divisor has no moduli.)
3. In most cases, V_i is obtained via iterated blowups from a minimal pair with no moduli (*taut*, in the terminology of [7]). In a very small number of exceptional cases ($V_i = \mathbb{F}_0$ or $\mathbb{F}_2$ is already minimal and the double curve on V_i is either irreducible nodal or has two components of self-intersection 2), the pair (V_i, C_i) has moduli $\cong \mathbb{C}^*$.

There is then a "universal" deformation $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{D})$ of Y_0, parametrized by $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^M$, corresponding to the different possibilities (1), (2), (3) above. Universal in this sense simply means that every locally trivial deformation of (Y_0, D) appears as a fiber. After a translation on T, we can assume that $1 \in T$ corresponds to Y_0. Furthermore, the monodromy of the family is trivial. Finally, we can assume that there is a unique component of $\mathcal{U}$ isomorphic to $V_0 \times T$.

Let $\Theta = (Y_0)_{\text{sing}} = \bigcup_{i<j} C_{ij}$ be the double curve on Y_0. Then it is easy to check that Θ is rigid under locally trivial deformations and that $\operatorname{Pic}^0 \Theta \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{f-1}$. Furthermore, if $\operatorname{Aut}^0 \Theta$ denotes the neutral component of $\operatorname{Aut} \Theta$, then $\operatorname{Aut}^0 \Theta \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^e$. Since $H^1_{\text{ét}}(T, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$, $H^1_{\text{ét}}(T, \operatorname{Aut}^0 \Theta) = 0$ as well, and thus we can choose an isomorphism of schemes

$$(\mathcal{U})_{\text{sing}} \cong \Theta \times T.$$

Since the monodromy of the family $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{D}) \to T$ is trivial, there is a period map $\operatorname{per}\colon T \to \operatorname{Hom}(\Lambda_0, \mathbb{C}^*)$.

Lemma 8.4. *Let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{D}) \to T$ be the deformation constructed above. Then the period map* $\operatorname{per}\colon T \to \operatorname{Hom}(\Lambda_0, \mathbb{C}^*)$ *is an affine map of tori.*

Proof. Very generally, if $\rho\colon (\mathbb{C}^*)^{N_1} \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^{N_2}$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties, then by a theorem of Rosenlicht ρ is an affine map. We will give an essentially direct argument that per is an affine map. Thus, given a line bundle L on Y_0, we must analyze how $L|_D$ varies as we vary the gluings.

Let $D' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r'} D'_i$ be the dual cycle to D on V_0. As previously noted, $\pi_1(D', *) \cong \pi_1(V_0, *) \cong \pi_1(D, *)$, and hence

$$H^1(D'; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(V_0; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(D; \mathbb{Z});$$
$$H^1(D'; \mathcal{O}_{D'}) \cong H^1(V_0; \mathcal{O}_{V_0}) \cong H^1(D; \mathcal{O}_D).$$

Thus, an orientation on D induces a compatible orientation on D', and

$$\operatorname{Pic}^0 D' \cong \operatorname{Pic}^0 D \cong \operatorname{Pic}^0 V_0 = H^1(V_0; \mathcal{O}^*_{V_0})/H^1(V_0; \mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular, if L'' is a line bundle on V_0 which restricts to a line bundle of multidegree 0 on D, then the map $\lambda \in \operatorname{Pic}^0 V_0 \mapsto (L'' \otimes \lambda)\big|_D$ is an affine isomorphism from $\operatorname{Pic}^0 V_0 \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ to $\operatorname{Pic}^0 D$.

Choose an ordering on the components V_i of Y_0 so that $D'_i = V_i \cap V_0$, $1 \le i \le r'$, i.e. $D'_i = C_{0i}$, where the ordering of the components of D' is compatible with the orientation. Let $Y'_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{f-1} V_i$. Then Mayer-Vietoris applied to the union $Y_0 = Y'_0 \cup V_0$ implies that there is an exact sequence

$$\{1\} \to \operatorname{Pic} Y_0 \to \operatorname{Pic} Y'_0 \times \operatorname{Pic} V_0 \to \operatorname{Pic} D' \to \{1\},$$

i.e. a line bundle L on Y_0 is determined by line bundles L' on Y'_0 and L'' on V_0, such that $L''\big|_{D'} \cong \varphi^*(L'\big|_{D'})$, where φ is the isomorphism $D' \subseteq V_0 \cong D' \subseteq Y'_0$ given by Y_0. Thus, if $s \in T$, and the gluing φ changes by $\varphi \circ \psi(s)$, where $\psi(s) \in \operatorname{Aut}^0 D'$ is given by a surjective homomorphism ψ from T to a subtorus of $\operatorname{Aut}^0 D'$, then the line bundle L deforms to some line bundle L_s corresponding to pairs L'_s, the line bundle corresponding to L' over the corresponding deformation of Y'_0 and $L''_s = L'' \otimes \lambda''(s)$, with $\lambda(s) \in \operatorname{Pic}^0 V_0$, such that

$$(L'' \otimes \lambda''(s))\big|_{D'} \cong \psi(s)^* \varphi^*(L'_s\big|_{D'}).$$

If $\operatorname{Aut}^0 D' \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{r'}$, where the i^{th} factor operates in the usual way on the i^{th} component D'_i of D', then $\operatorname{Aut}^0 D'$ acts trivially on $\operatorname{Pic}^0 D'$, and $(z_1, \dots, z_{r'})$ acts on $\operatorname{Pic}^{d_1,\dots,d_{r'}} D'$, the set of line bundles on D' of multidegree $(d_1, \dots, d_{r'})$, via $z_1^{d_1} \cdots z_{r'}^{d_{r'}}$ and the usual action of $\operatorname{Pic}^0 D' \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ on $\operatorname{Pic}^{d_1,\dots,d_{r'}} D'$. Given L_s and $\psi(s)$, there is a unique line bundle $\lambda''(s) \in \operatorname{Pic}^0 V_0$ for which the compatibility condition holds. Thus, if we can show that $s \mapsto L'_s\big|_{D'}$ is an affine map, it follows that $s \mapsto \lambda''(s)$ is affine and hence

so is $\mathrm{per}(s)(\alpha) = \lambda''(s)\big|_D$, where $\alpha \in \overline{\Lambda}_0$ is the class corresponding to the line bundle L.

The line bundle L' determines a multidegree $\mathbf{d} = (d_{ij})$, with $d_{ij} = \deg(L'\big|_{C_{ij}})$, and hence $d_i = d_{0i}$, $1 \le i \le r'$. Restriction defines a morphism $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}}\,\Theta \to \mathrm{Pic}^{d_1,\dots,d_{r'}}\,D'$, and it is equivariant with respect to the actions of $\mathrm{Pic}^0\,\Theta \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{f-1}$ on $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}}\,\Theta$ and $\mathrm{Pic}^0\,D' \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathrm{Pic}^{d_1,\dots,d_{r'}}\,D'$ via the natural homomorphism $\mathrm{Pic}^0\,\Theta \to \mathrm{Pic}^0\,D'$. We now consider the various ways that the construction of Lemma 8.5 changes the line bundle $L'\big|_\Theta$:

1. Suppose that we change the gluings $C_{ij} \subseteq V_i \cong C_{ji} \subseteq V_j$ by $\lambda \in \mathrm{Aut}\,C_{ij}^{\mathrm{int}}$. Here we may assume that $i, j \ge 1$ since we have already dealt with the gluings from Y_0' to V_0. The line bundle $L'\big|_\Theta$ is then replaced by $\lambda^*(L'\big|_\Theta)$ for the automorphism $\lambda \in \mathrm{Aut}^0\,\Theta$ corresponding to multiplying by $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ on the component C_{ij}.

2. If V_i is obtained by blowing up a point $p \in C_{ij}^{\mathrm{int}} \cong \mathbb{C}^*$, and we replace p by $p' \in C_{ij}^{\mathrm{int}}$, then the line bundle $L'\big|_\Theta$ is then replaced by $L'\big|_\Theta \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Theta(a(p'-p))$ for an appropriate integer a.

3. In this exceptional case, a direct if somewhat tedious calculation shows that the corresponding map $\mathbb{C}^* \to \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}}\,\Theta$ is affine (cf. [9, Lemma 4.6]). Alternatively, it is clear that the map $\mathbb{C}^* \to \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbf{d}}\,\Theta$ is a morphism (i.e. algebraic) and hence it is affine by Rosenlicht's theorem.

Combining the various possibilities, we see that we get an affine map from S to $\mathrm{Pic}^{d_1,\dots,d_{r'}}\,D'$ as claimed. □

Consider the affine map $\rho\colon T \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^{f-1}$ defined by

$$\rho(s) = (\varphi_{Y_s}(\xi_0), \dots, \varphi_{Y_s}(\xi_{f-1})),$$

where we view the image of ρ as contained in the subtorus defined by: the product of the components is 1. Let $S = (\mathrm{Ker}\,\rho)^0$ be the neutral component of $\mathrm{Ker}\,\rho$.

Lemma 8.5. *Let $(\mathcal{U}_S, \mathcal{D}) \to S$ be the restriction of the family $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{D}) \to T$ to the subtorus S of T. Then:*

(i) *For all $s \in S$, Y_s is d-semistable.*

(ii) *The image of the Kodaira-Spencer map $T_{S,0} \to H^1(Y_0; T_{Y_0}(-\log D))$ is*

$$\{\theta \in H^1(Y_0; T_Y(-\log D)) : \theta \smile \hat{c}_1(\xi_0) = \cdots = \theta \smile \hat{c}_1(\xi_{f-1}) = 0\}.$$

Proof. Using arguments similar to the proof of Lemma 8.4, one checks that the map $\rho_1 \colon T \to \operatorname{Pic}^0 \Theta \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{f-1}$ defined by $t \mapsto T^1_{Y_t} = Ext^1(\Omega^1_{Y_t}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_t})$ is an affine map and hence a homomorphism. By definition, the locus of d-semistable deformations is $\rho_1^{-1}(1)$. Then both ρ_1 and ρ are homomorphisms since they take the identity to the identity, and $\rho_1^{-1}(1) \subseteq \rho^{-1}(1)$. The differential of ρ_1 is surjective at $t = 0$ by construction and [4, (5.9) and (4.5)]. Hence the codimension of $\operatorname{Ker}(\rho_1)_*$ is $f - 1$. The differential of ρ is also surjective by Corollary 7.9. Hence $\dim \operatorname{Ker}(\rho_1)_* = \dim \operatorname{Ker}(\rho)_*$. Thus the two subtori $(\operatorname{Ker} \rho_1)^0$ and $S = (\operatorname{Ker} \rho)^0$ have the same tangent space at 1 and hence are equal. In particular, all fibers Y_s are d-semistable. The final statement (ii) about the Kodaira-Spencer map then follows from Theorem 7.7. □

To complete the proof of Theorem 8.3, consider the family $(\mathcal{U}_S, \mathcal{D}) \to S$ described in the remarks before Lemma 8.5. By construction, the family $(\mathcal{U}_S, \mathcal{D}) \to S$ induces a period map $\operatorname{per}_S \colon S \to \operatorname{Hom}(\overline{\Lambda}_0, \mathbb{C}^*)$. By Lemma 8.4, the map per_S is an affine map. We claim that the differential of per_S is surjective. By Lemma 8.5 and the remarks before it, we are reduced to showing the following: let

$$\{\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{f-1}\}^\perp \subseteq H^1(Y_0; T_Y(-\log D))$$

be defined as $\{\theta \in H^1(Y_0; T_Y(-\log D)) : \theta \smile \hat{c}_1(\xi_0) = \cdots = \theta \smile \hat{c}_1(\xi_{f-1}) = 0\}$. We can replace $\hat{c}_1(\xi_i)$ by $\bar{c}_1(\xi_i)$ in the above. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}(H^1(Y_0; \Omega^1_{Y_0}(\log D)/\tau^1_{Y_0}(-D)))/\operatorname{span}\{\bar{c}_1(\xi_0), \dots, \bar{c}_1(\xi_{f-1})\} &\cong (\Lambda_0)_{\mathbb{C}}/\{\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{f-1}\} \\ &= (\overline{\Lambda_0})_{\mathbb{C}}.\end{aligned}$$

Then cup product induces a perfect pairing

$$\{\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{f-1}\}^\perp \otimes (\overline{\Lambda_0})_{\mathbb{C}} \to H^2(Y_0; \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}(-D)) \cong H^1(D; \mathcal{O}_D).$$

This says that the differential of per_S is surjective. Hence per_S is an affine map of tori with surjective differential. It follows that per_S is surjective. This is exactly the statement of Theorem 8.3. □

Remark 8.6. Related methods give a somewhat more direct proof of Theorem 4.1 in [9].

We can relate the period map for a d-semistable Type III anticanonical pair to the period map for nearby smoothings as follows. Suppose that $\pi \colon (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta^k$ is a morphism from the smooth complex manifold $\mathcal{Y}$ to the

polydisk, whose fibers are smooth anticanonical pairs for $t \in \Delta^{k-1} \times \Delta^*$ and such that the fibers over $\Delta^{k-1} \times \{0\}$ are d-semistable Type III anticanonical pairs. We will apply this in the case of the germ of the smoothing component of a d-semistable Type III anticanonical pair below and for one parameter families (the case $k = 1$) in Section 9. In this case, the monodromy on $\Lambda = \Lambda(Y_t, D_t)$ is trivial, there is a well-defined monodromy invariant $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and there is an inclusion $\overline{\Lambda}_0 \to \Lambda$ identifying the image with $(\lambda)^\perp$.

Proposition 8.7. *Let* $\rho\colon \operatorname{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}^*) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\overline{\Lambda}_0, \mathbb{C}^*)$ *denote restriction. The function* $\Phi\colon \Delta^k \to \operatorname{Hom}(\overline{\Lambda}_0, \mathbb{C}^*)$ *defined by*

$$\Phi(t) = \begin{cases} \rho \circ \varphi_{Y_t}, & \text{if } t \in \Delta^{k-1} \times \Delta^*; \\ \bar{\varphi}_{Y_t}, & \text{if } t \in \Delta^{k-1} \times \{0\}^* \end{cases}$$

is holomorphic.

Proof. For a fixed $\alpha \in \overline{\Lambda}_0$, there is a corresponding line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ on $\mathcal{Y}$, well defined up to twisting by components of the inverse image of $\Delta^{k-1} \times \{0\}^*$. Restricting $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ to $\mathcal{D}$ and choosing a trivialization $\mathcal{D} \cong D \times \Delta^k$ (which is always possible locally) gives a holomorphic map $\Delta^k \to \operatorname{Pic}^0 D \cong \mathbb{C}^*$. By definition, this map is independent of the choice of $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ and defines Φ, evaluated on the class α. Doing this construction for a basis of $\overline{\Lambda}_0$ shows that Φ is holomorphic. □

Remark 8.8. Using the alternative formulation of the period map from the preceding section and Proposition 6.2 in the parametrized case, we see that, if t_n is a coordinate defining the last factor of Δ^k in the notation above, and α is a class in Λ, then, for $t \in \Delta^{k-1} \times \Delta^*$,

$$\varphi_{Y_t}(\alpha) = t_n^{(\alpha \cdot \lambda)} h(t),$$

where $h(t)$ extends to a holomorphic, nowhere zero function on Δ^k. Hence, $\varphi_{Y_t}(\alpha)$ extends to a holomorphic function on Δ^k with values in $\mathbb{C}^* \iff \alpha \in \overline{\Lambda}_0$.

We will then need the following, which is in a sense complementary to Theorem 8.3:

Proposition 8.9. *Suppose that* (Y_0, D) *is a* d*-semistable Type III anticanonical pair. Let* Υ *be a sublattice of* $\overline{\Lambda}_0$ *such that* $\bar{\varphi}_{Y_0}(L) = 1$ *for all* $L \in \Upsilon$*. Then there exists a Type III degeneration* $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$*, with special fiber* Y_0 *such that, via the specialization map*

$$\operatorname{sp}\colon \operatorname{Pic} Y_0 \cong H^2(Y_0; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(Y_t; \mathbb{Z}),$$

$\varphi_{Y_t}(\operatorname{sp}(L)) = 1$ *for all* $t \in \Delta^*$.

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{D}) \to (X, 0)$ be the germ of the subspace of the semiuniversal deformation of the pair (Y_0, D) corresponding to the smoothing component and, on the discriminant locus, to preserving the condition of d-semistability. Note that $\operatorname{Pic} \mathcal{V} \cong \Lambda_0$ and that the classes $\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{f-1}$ extend to Cartier divisors on $\mathcal{V}$ with trivial restriction to $\mathcal{D}$. By Proposition 8.7, there is a well-defined, holomorphic period map (on the level of germs) $\Phi\colon X \to \operatorname{Hom}(\overline{\Lambda}_0, \mathbb{C}^*)$. Via restriction, there is a surjection

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\overline{\Lambda}_0, \mathbb{C}^*) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\Upsilon, \mathbb{C}^*),$$

and in particular the differential of the surjection is also surjective. Taking the composition with the period map, there is a (germ of a) holomorphic map $\Phi_\Upsilon\colon X \to \operatorname{Hom}(\Upsilon, \mathbb{C}^*)$. Theorem 7.7 and Corollary 7.9 imply that Φ_Υ is a submersion at $0 \in X$ and that $\Phi_\Upsilon^{-1}(1)$ is transverse to the discriminant hypersurface. Taking a generic map of the disk $(\Delta, 0)$ to $\Phi_\Upsilon^{-1}(1) \subseteq (X, 0)$ (and which is in particular transverse to the discriminant) gives the existence of the Type III degeneration $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ above. □

9 Good sublattices and adjacent RDP singularities

Throughout this section, we fix a deformation type of a negative definite anticanonical pair (Y, D), $\Lambda = \Lambda(Y, D)$ and R denotes the set of roots of Λ. We begin by describing a class of negative definite sublattices of Λ:

Lemma 9.1. *Let Υ be a negative definite sublattice of Λ, not necessarily primitive. Suppose that*

(i) *Υ is spanned by elements of R.*

(ii) *The period homomorphism φ_Y satisfies:* $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi_Y \cap R = \Upsilon \cap R$.

More concisely, the above assumptions are equivalent to: Υ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi_Y \cap R$. *Then $\Upsilon \cap R$ is a root system in the real vector space $\Upsilon_\mathbb{R} = \Upsilon \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and there exist a set of simple roots $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \Upsilon$ such that*

(i) *For every i, $\beta_i = [C_i]$, where C_i is a smooth rational curve.*

(ii) *If $\beta \in R$ and $\varphi_Y(\beta) = 1$, then $\beta = \pm \sum_i n_i C_i$, where the n_i are nonnegative integers. In particular, if C is a (-2)-curve on Y, then $C = C_i$ for some i.*

Proof. If $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Upsilon \cap R$, then $r_{\beta_1}(\beta_2) \in R$ by [7, (6.9)] and $\varphi_Y(r_{\beta_1}(\beta_2)) = 1$ by [7, (6.4)]. Thus $r_{\beta_1}(\beta_2) \in \Upsilon \cap R$. It then follows that $\Upsilon \cap R$ is a root system in $\Upsilon_{\mathbb{R}}$ (and in fact that every element of square -2 in the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of $\Upsilon \cap R$ is a root).

Let Δ_Y be the set of (-2)-curves on Y, let $\mathsf{W}(\Delta_Y)$ be the reflection group generated by Δ_Y, and let $R_Y^{\mathrm{nod}} = \mathsf{W}(\Delta_Y) \cdot \Delta_Y$. Then, by [7, Theorem 6.6], $R_Y^{\mathrm{nod}} = \operatorname{Ker} \varphi_Y \cap R = \Upsilon \cap R$. Let $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n \in \Upsilon$ be the simple roots for a Weyl chamber in $\Upsilon_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by an ample divisor on Y. Then the positive roots are the effective curves which are nonnegative integral combinations of (-2)-curves on Y. Hence the simple roots β_i are exactly the classes of the (-2)-curves on Y. □

Lemma 9.2. *Let Υ be a negative definite sublattice of Λ and suppose that Υ is spanned by $R \cap \Upsilon$. Then there exists a $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{gen}}$ such that $\Upsilon \subseteq (\lambda)^{\perp}$.*

Proof. Let Υ' be the saturation of Υ, and let Υ'' be the finite index sublattice of Υ' spanned by $R \cap \Upsilon'$. Then there exists a $\varphi\colon \Lambda \to \mathbb{C}^*$ with $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi = \Upsilon'$. Hence $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi \cap R = R \cap \Upsilon' = R \cap \Upsilon''$, and Υ'' is spanned by $R \cap \Upsilon''$. Using the surjectivity of the period map, there exists a Y such that $\varphi = \varphi_Y$. By Lemma 9.1, there exist -2-curves $C_1, \ldots, C_k$ whose classes span Υ''. Moreover, there is a nef and big divisor H on Y such that $H \cdot C_j = 0$ for all j, $H \cdot D_i = 0$ for all i and $H \cdot C > 0$ for every curve $C \neq C_j$ or D_i. If $\lambda = [H]$, then $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{gen}}$ and $\lambda \cdot [C_j] = 0$ for all j, hence $\lambda \cdot x = 0$ for all $x \in \Upsilon$. □

We can then rephrase the conditions of Lemma 9.1 as follows:

Lemma 9.3. *Let λ be a class in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{gen}}$. Let $\beta \in \Lambda$, $\beta^2 = -2$. Suppose that $\beta \cdot \lambda = 0$. Then $\beta \in R$.*

Hence, the condition (i) *of Lemma 9.1 is equivalent to: there exists a $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{gen}}$ such that $\Upsilon \subseteq (\lambda)^{\perp}$ and*

(i)′ *Υ is spanned by elements of Λ of square -2.*

Proof. Since λ is in the interior of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{gen}}$, it is easy to check that λ is not orthogonal to any exceptional curve and hence that there is a neighborhood of λ in $\mathcal{C}^+$ which is disjoint from W^E for every exceptional curve E. There exist positive r_i such that $(\sum_i r_i[D_i]) \cdot [D_j] < 0$ for all j, and hence

$$(\lambda - \sum_i r_i[D_i]) \cdot [D_j] > 0$$

for all j. Multiplying the r_i by a positive $t \ll 1$, we can further assume that $\lambda - \sum_i r_i[D_i]$ is not separated from λ by a wall of the form W^E, where E is

exceptional, and hence $\lambda - \sum_i r_i[D_i] \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{gen}}$. Thus W^β meets the interior of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{gen}}$. It follows from [7, Theorem 6.6] that $\beta \in R$. □

Definition 9.4. Let Υ be a negative definite sublattice of Λ, not necessarily primitive. Then Υ is *good* if

(i) Υ is spanned by elements of R.

(ii) There exists a homomorphism $\varphi\colon \Lambda \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\operatorname{Ker}\varphi \cap R = \Upsilon \cap R$.

Equivalently, Υ is a negative definite sublattice of Λ and there exists a homomorphism $\varphi\colon \Lambda \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that Υ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of $\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_Y \cap R$. The lattice Υ determines an RDP configuration (possibly consisting of more than one singular point) by taking the appropriate type of a set of simple roots for $\Upsilon \cap R$. We say that the corresponding RDP configuration is *of type* Υ.

Given $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$, the lattice Υ is λ-*good* if it is good and if $\Upsilon \subseteq (\lambda)^\perp$. Note that, if $\Upsilon \subseteq (\lambda)^\perp$, then it is automatically negative definite. By Lemma 9.2, every good sublattice is λ-good for some λ.

Let Υ be a negative definite lattice spanned by elements of R. If Υ is primitive, then it is good. If Υ' is the saturation of Υ and Υ'/Υ is cyclic, then Υ is good. If $\Upsilon = \bigoplus \Upsilon_i$ is the decomposition of Υ into its irreducible summands, then Υ is good $\iff$ Υ_i is good for every i (this follows easily from the fact that, if $\beta = \sum_i \beta_i \in \Lambda$ with $\beta_i^2 < 0$ for all i and $\beta_i \cdot \beta_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$, then $\beta^2 = -2 \iff \beta = \beta_i$ for some i and $\beta_i^2 = -2$).

To explain the geometric meaning of good lattices, we start with the following definition:

Definition 9.5. A *generalized anticanonical pair* is a pair $(\overline{Y}, D)$, where $\overline{Y}$ is a rational surface, possibly with rational double points, and D is a cycle contained in the smooth locus of $\overline{Y}$, such that, if $\pi\colon Y \to \overline{Y}$ is the minimal resolution, then (Y, D) is an anticanonical pair.

We then have the following alternate characterization of RDP configurations of type Υ (cf. [18, II (2.7)]):

Proposition 9.6. *Suppose that $(\overline{Y}, D)$ is a generalized anticanonical pair with minimal resolution $\pi\colon Y \to \overline{Y}$ such that every (-2)-curve on Y is contained in a fiber of π. Then the classes of the components of fibers of π generate a good sublattice Υ of $\Lambda(Y, D)$, and every good sublattice of $\Lambda(Y, D)$ arises in this way.*

Hence the RDP configurations of type Υ, for some good sublattice of $\Lambda(Y, D)$, are exactly the RDP configurations which appear on a generalized

anticanonical pair $(\overline{Y}, D)$ *whose minimal resolution has deformation type* $[(Y, D)]$ *and which satisfy the condition that every* (-2)*-curve on the minimal resolution is contained in a fiber of* π*.*

Proof. Let $(\overline{Y}, D)$ and Υ be as in the statement of the proposition. If $\beta \in \operatorname{Ker} \varphi_Y \cap R$, then, by [7, 6.6], there exists a $w \in \mathsf{W}(\Delta_Y)$ such that $\pm w(\beta) = [C]$, where C is a (-2)-curve on Y and $\mathsf{W}(\Delta_Y)$ is the reflection group generated by the classes of (-2)-curves on Y, and hence by the classes of components of fibers of π. Thus $w(\beta) \in \Upsilon$ and hence $\beta \in \Upsilon$. It follows that Υ is a negative definite lattice spanned by $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi_Y \cap R$, so that Υ is good.

Conversely, suppose that Υ is a good sublattice. By the surjectivity of the period map, we can choose an anticanonical pair (Y, D) such that $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi_Y \cap R = \Upsilon \cap R$ and Υ is spanned by $\Upsilon \cap R$. By Lemma 9.1, there exists a set of simple roots $\beta_1 = [C_1], \ldots, \beta_k = [C_k]$, where the C_i are exactly the (-2)-curves on Y. Since Υ is negative definite, there exists a morphism $\pi\colon Y \to \overline{Y}$, where $\overline{Y}$ is normal and π exactly contracts the C_i. Hence $(\overline{Y}, D)$ is a generalized anticanonical pair with minimal resolution $\pi\colon Y \to \overline{Y}$ such that every (-2)-curve on Y is contained in a fiber of π. □

We now relate the above discussion to the existence of adjacent RDP singularities.

Theorem 9.7. *Let* $\pi\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ *be a Type III degeneration with monodromy invariant* λ*. Let* $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$ *be the finite set of elements* β *of* R *such that* $\beta \cdot \lambda = 0$*. Then, for all* $t \in \Delta^*$*,* $|t| \ll 1$ *and for all* $\beta \in R$*,* $\varphi_{Y_t}(\beta) = 1 \iff \beta = \beta_i$ *for some* i *and the function* $f(t) = \varphi_{Y_t}(\beta_i)$ *is identically equal to* 1 *for all* $t \in \Delta^*$*.*

Proof. $\Longleftarrow$: Obvious.
$\Longrightarrow$: Let U be a neighborhood of λ in $\mathcal{C}^+$ such that, if $\beta \in R$ and W^β is a wall with $W^\beta \cap U \neq \emptyset$, then $\beta = \beta_i$ for some i, i.e. W^β is one of the finitely many walls corresponding to elements of R and passing through λ.

Given $\varphi_{Y_t}\colon \Lambda \to \mathbb{C}^*$, the function $\log|\varphi_{Y_t}|\colon \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}$ is a well-defined, C^∞ function on Δ^* and thus corresponds to a function $\Delta^* \to \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^\vee = \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$, which we continue to denote by $\log|\varphi_{Y_t}|$. By [7, (3.12)],

$$\log \varphi_{Y_t} = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\omega(t)$$

as multi-valued functions on Δ^*. Hence, by Proposition 6.2,

$$\log \varphi_{Y_t} = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\omega(t) = (\log t)\lambda + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(t)$$

for a single-valued holomorphic function f which extends to a holomorphic function at 0. Taking real parts, we obtain an equality of (single-valued) functions

$$\log|\varphi_{Y_t}| = \mathrm{Re}((\log t)\lambda + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(t)) = \log|t|\lambda + g(t),$$

where $g(t)$ is a C^∞ function at 0. Dividing by $\log|t|$ gives

$$\frac{\log|\varphi_{Y_t}|}{\log|t|} = \lambda + \frac{g(t)}{\log|t|}.$$

Thus $\log|\varphi_{Y_t}|/\log|t|$ defines a continuous function $h(t)\colon \Delta \to \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $h(0) = \lambda$. Thus, for $|t| \ll 1$, $h(t) \in U$. It follows that, if $t \in \Delta^*$, $|t| \ll 1$, $\beta \in R$, and $\varphi_{Y_t}(\beta) = 1$, then $h(t)$ lies on W^β and so $\beta = \beta_i$ for some i.

Given β_i as above, since $\beta_i \in (\lambda)^\perp$, the function $t \in \Delta^* \mapsto \varphi_{Y_t}(\beta_i)$ extends to a holomorphic function on Δ, by Proposition 6.2 or Proposition 8.7. Thus, either $\varphi_{Y_t}(\beta_i)$ is identically equal to 1 for all $t \in \Delta$ or $\varphi_{Y_t}(\beta_i) = 1$ for at most finitely many $t \in \Delta^*$. Choosing $|t|$ smaller if need be, we can then assume that, for all i, if $\varphi_{Y_t}(\beta_i)$ is not identically equal to 1, then $\varphi_{Y_t}(\beta) \neq 1$ for all $t \in \Delta^*$. □

Given a Type III degeneration $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$, by a theorem of Shepherd-Barron [24, Theorem 2A, p. 157], there exists a birational morphism $f\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ over Δ, where $f_0 = f\big|_{Y_0}$ contracts $\bigcup_{i>0} V_i$ and the cycle D' to a point and, for $t \neq 0$, $f_t = f\big|_{Y_t}\colon Y_t \to \overline{Y}_t$ is the minimal resolution of a union of rational double points. We then have the following (compare [18, III (2.12)]):

Proposition 9.8. *For $0 < |t| \ll 1$, the irreducible components of the exceptional fibers of f_t are exactly the (-2)-curves on Y_t.*

Proof. Clearly, if C_t is an irreducible component of an exceptional fiber of f_t, then C_t is a (-2)-curve on Y_t. Conversely, suppose that C_t is a (-2)-curve on Y_t for some for $0 < |t| \ll 1$ and let $[C_t] = \beta$. Then $\varphi_{Y_t}(\beta) = 1$. By Theorem 9.7, β is orthogonal to λ and $\varphi_{Y_t}(\beta)$ is identically 1. It follows from Proposition 3.9 that β corresponds to a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$. For all $s \in \Delta^*$, $\pm\beta$ is the class of an effective curve, by [6, Lemma 2.4(ii)]. The condition on $s \in \Delta^*$ that β is effective on Y_s is closed (by semicontinuity) and open (because we can choose a relatively ample divisor $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$ over small open subsets of Δ^*). Since β is effective on Y_t, it follows that β is effective for all $s \in \Delta^*$, and, for s generic, $\beta = [C_s]$ is the class of an irreducible curve. Since $h^0(Y_s; \mathcal{L}\big|_{Y_s}) > 0$ for all $s \in \Delta^*$, arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.11, after modifying $\mathcal{L}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\sum_i a_i V_i)$, we can assume that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{C})$ where $\mathcal{C}$

is a surface on $\mathcal{Y}$, not containing V_i for any i, and such that $\mathcal{C} \cap Y_t = C_t$. In particular, there exists an effective Cartier divisor $C_0 = \mathcal{C} \cap Y_0$ on Y_0 such that $C_0 \cdot D_j = 0$ for every j. But every such divisor C_0 is a sum of the form $\sum_i n_i D_i$, where $n_i \geq 0$, plus components disjoint from D, and hence is contained in $\bigcup_{i>0} V_i$. Since $(D_i \cdot D_j)$ is negative definite, this is only possible if $n_i = 0$ for all i. Thus $C_0 \subseteq \bigcup_{i>0} V_i$, so that, via the relative contraction morphism $f\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ over Δ, C_0 is contracted to a point. Since $\mathcal{C}$ fibers over Δ and f contracts the fiber C_0 to a point, f must contract all fibers of $\mathcal{C} \to \Delta$ to points as well. Thus f_t contracts C_t to a point. □

We can now state the main result concerning possible adjacencies of the cusp to RDP singularities:

Theorem 9.9. (i) *Suppose that $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$ and that Υ is a λ-good sublattice of Λ. Let (Y_0, D) be a Type III anticanonical pair of type λ. Then the cusp D' is adjacent to an RDP configuration of type Υ. More precisely, after a locally trivial deformation of Y_0 through d-semistable varieties, there exists a Type III degeneration $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ with central fiber Y_0 and a blowdown $\mathcal{Y} \to \overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ over Δ, such that the central fiber of $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ is V_0 with D' contracted and the singular locus of the general fiber is an RDP configuration of type Υ.*

(ii) *Conversely, suppose that the cusp D' is adjacent to an RDP configuration via a one parameter family $\bar{\pi}\colon \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \to \Delta$ of $\mathbb{Q}$-type λ. For $|t| \ll 1$, if Y_t is the minimal resolution of a general fiber $\overline{Y}_t$ of $\bar{\pi}$, then the components of the exceptional curves generate a λ-good sublattice Υ of Λ, and the RDP configuration on the general fiber is of type Υ.*

Proof. (i) Given the λ-good sublattice Υ, choose a $\varphi\colon \Lambda \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\operatorname{Ker}\varphi \cap R = \Upsilon \cap R$. Let $\bar{\varphi}$ be the restriction of φ to $\overline{\Lambda}_0 = (\lambda)^\perp$. By Theorem 8.3, after a locally trivial deformation of Y_0 through d-semistable varieties, we can assume that $\bar{\varphi}_{Y_0} = \bar{\varphi}$. By Proposition 8.9, there exists a Type III degeneration $\mathcal{Y} \to \Delta$ with central fiber Y_0 such that, under the natural identification of Υ and $\overline{\Lambda}_0$ with sublattices of $\Lambda(Y_t)$, $\varphi_{Y_t}(\beta) = 1$ for all $\beta \in \Upsilon \cap R$ and all $t \in \Delta^*$. Conversely, by Theorem 9.7, for $|t| \ll 1$, if $\beta \in R$ and $\varphi_{Y_t}(\beta) = 1$, then $\varphi_{Y_t}(\beta)$ is identically 1 and $\beta \in (\lambda)^\perp$, hence $\beta \in \overline{\Lambda}_0$. Using the continuity of $\varphi_{Y_t}(\beta)$ (Proposition 8.7), $\bar{\varphi}_{Y_0}(\beta) = 1$ as well. Thus $\beta \in \Upsilon \cap R$. In particular, by Lemma 9.1, the (-2)-curves on Y_t correspond to a set of simple roots for Υ. By Proposition 9.8, these are exactly the exceptional fibers of the blowdown $Y_t \to \overline{Y}_t$. Hence the singular locus of Y_t is an RDP configuration of type Υ.

(ii) After a base change, we can assume that $\bar{\pi}\colon \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \to \Delta$ is birational to a Type III degeneration of $\mathbb{Q}$-type λ. For $0 < |t| \ll 1$, let Υ be the sublattice

of Λ generated by $\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_{Y_t} \cap R$. By Theorem 9.7, Υ is independent of t, and, if $\beta \in \operatorname{Ker}\varphi_{Y_t} \cap R$, then $\beta \in (\lambda)^\perp$. Hence $\Upsilon \subseteq (\lambda)^\perp$, so that Υ is negative definite and good, in fact it is λ-good. By Lemma 9.1, the classes of the (-2)-curves on Y_t are a set of simple roots for Υ. By Proposition 9.8, the (-2)-curves on Y_t are exactly the fibers of the morphism $Y_t \to \overline{Y}_t$. Hence the RDP configuration on Y_t is of type Υ. □

Corollary 9.10. *Let p' be a cusp singularity with minimal resolution D' and let D be the dual cycle. Suppose that (Y, D) is an anticanonical pair. Then the possible nearby RDP configurations on some smoothing component of p' of type (Y, D) are exactly the RDP configurations of type Υ, where Υ is a good sublattice of $\Lambda(Y, D)$.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a nearby RDP configuration on some smoothing component of p' of type (Y, D). Then we can choose a one parameter deformation $\pi\colon (\overline{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta$, where the central fiber $(\overline{Y}_0, D)$ is the Inoue surface with D' contracted. After base change, there is a birational model for $\pi\colon (\overline{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{D}) \to \Delta$ which is a Type III degeneration with monodromy invariant λ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$. By (ii) of Theorem 9.9, the RDP configuration on a general fiber of $\bar{\pi}$ is of type Υ, where Υ is a λ-good and hence good sublattice of $\Lambda(Y, D)$.

Conversely, suppose that Υ is a good sublattice of $\Lambda(Y, D)$. By Lemma 9.2, Υ is λ-good for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{gen}}$. By Theorem 5.8, there exists a Type III anticanonical pair (Y_0, D) with monodromy invariant λ. Hence, by (i) of Theorem 9.9, p' is adjacent to an RDP of type Υ. □

References

[1] C.H. Clemens, Jr., *Picard-Lefschetz theorem for families of nonsingular algebraic varieties acquiring ordinary singularities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (1969), 93–108.

[2] P. Deligne, *Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 163. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.

[3] P. Engel, *A proof of Looijenga's conjecture via integral-affine geometry*, `http://arxiv.org/pdf/1409.7676`.

[4] R. Friedman, *Global smoothing of varieties with normal crossings*, Annals of Math. **118** (1983), 75–114.

[5] R. Friedman, *Base change, automorphisms, and stable reduction for type III $K3$ surfaces*, in *The Birational Geometry of Degenerations* pp. 277–298, Progr. Math. **29**, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1983.

[6] R. Friedman, *On the ample cone of a rational surface with an anti-canonical cycle*, Algebra & Number Theory **7** (2013), 1481–1504.

[7] R. Friedman, *On the geometry of anticanonical pairs*, `arxiv.org/abs/1502.02560`.

[8] R. Friedman and R. Miranda, *Smoothing cusp singularities of small length*, Math. Ann. **263** (1983), 185–212.

[9] R. Friedman and F. Scattone, *Type III degenerations of $K3$ surfaces*, Invent. Math. **83** (1986), 1–39.

[10] M. Gross, P. Hacking, and S. Keel, *Moduli of surfaces with an anti-canonical cycle*, Compos. Math. **151** (2015), 265–291.

[11] M. Gross, P. Hacking, and S. Keel, *Mirror symmetry for log Calabi-Yau surfaces I*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **122** (2015), 65–168.

[12] M. Inoue, *New surfaces with no meromorphic functions II*, in *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry*, 91–106. Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1977.

[13] Y. Kawamata and Y. Namikawa, *Logarithmic deformation of normal crossing varieties and smoothing of degenerate Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Invent. Math. **118** (1994), 395–409.

[14] T.-J. Li and A.-K. Liu, *Uniqueness of symplectic canonical class, surface cone and symplectic cone of 4-manifolds with $B^+ = 1$*, J. Differential Geom. **58** (2001), 331–370.

[15] T.-J. Li and C. Y. Mak, *Symplectic log Calabi-Yau surface—deformation class*, `http://arxiv.org/pdf/1510.06131`.

[16] E. Looijenga, *On the semi-universal deformation of a simple-elliptic hypersurface singularity. II. The discriminant*, Topology **17** (1978), 23–40.

[17] E. Looijenga, *The smoothing components of a triangle singularity. II*, Math. Ann. **269** (1984), 357–387.

[18] E. Looijenga, *Rational surfaces with an anti-canonical cycle*, Annals of Math. **114** (1981), 267–322.

[19] E. Looijenga and J. Wahl, *Quadratic functions and smoothing surface singularities*, Topology **25** (1986), 261–291.

[20] U. Persson, *On Degenerations of Algebraic Surfaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1977), no. 189.

[21] C.A.M. Peters and J.H.M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, **52**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[22] H. C. Pinkham, *Simple elliptic singularities, Del Pezzo surfaces and Cremona transformations*, in *Several complex variables* (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXX, Part 1, Williams Coll., Williamstown, Mass., 1975), 69–71. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1977.

[23] H. C. Pinkham, *Groupe de monodromie des singularités unimodulaires exceptionnelles*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **284** (1977), A1515–A1518.

[24] N. I. Shepherd-Barron, *Extending polarizations on families of $K3$ surfaces*, in *The Birational Geometry of Degenerations* pp. 135–171, Progr. Math. **29**, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1983.

[25] J.H.M Steenbrink, *Limits of Hodge structures*, Invent. Math. **31** (1975/76), 229–257.

[26] M. Symington, *Four dimensions from two in symplectic topology*, in *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, Vol. 71, pp. 153–208. Amer. Math. Soc., 2003.

[27] J. Wahl, *Elliptic deformations of minimally elliptic singularities*, Math. Ann. **253** (1980), 241–262.

Philip Engel
Department of Mathematics
Harvard University
Cambridge, MA 02138
USA
engel@math.harvard.edu

Robert Friedman
Department of Mathematics

Columbia University
New York, NY 10027
USA
rf@math.columbia.edu